615: Dirac and Weyl Fields Last Latexed: September 20, 2013 at 17:04 2

Lecture 7: Dirac and Weyl Fields Sept. 26, 2013 Copyright©2005 by Joel A. Shapiro

We have seen that we expect to construct our field theory from fields which transform "simply" under Poincaré transformations, with

$$U(\Lambda)\phi_a(x)U^{-1}(\Lambda) = D_{ab}(\Lambda^{-1})\phi_b(\Lambda x), \qquad (1)$$

where D is a finite dimensional representation of the Lorentz group. We also saw that such representations are in fact products of representations of two SO(3) groups generated by $\vec{L}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{J} \pm i\vec{K})$. Thus in general there are two spins, s_{\pm} and the field has two indices, the eigenvalues of $\vec{L}_{\pm z}$ respectively. The derivative terms of (L6 Eq. 10) can be simplified

$$\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{J}^{\mu}_{\ \nu} x^{\nu} \partial_{\mu} = + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} \theta_i \left(\mathcal{L}_{jk} \right)^{\mu}_{\ \nu} x^{\nu} \partial_{\mu} = i \vec{\theta} \cdot (\vec{x} \times \vec{\nabla}),$$

$$\vec{\kappa} \cdot \vec{K}^{\mu}_{\ \nu} x^{\nu} \partial_{\mu} = \kappa_i \left(\mathcal{L}_{0j} \right)^{\mu}_{\ \nu} x^{\nu} \partial_{\mu} = -i \vec{\kappa} \cdot \vec{x} \partial_0 - i t \vec{\kappa} \cdot \vec{\nabla}.$$

Then the operators $\vec{\mathbf{J}}$ and $\vec{\mathbf{K}}$ have commutators with fields given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{J}}, \phi_{m_{+},m_{-}}(x) \end{bmatrix} = -D^{A}_{m_{+},m'_{+}}(\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{L}})\phi_{m'_{+},m_{-}}(x) -D^{B}_{m_{-},m'_{-}}(\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{L}})\phi_{m_{+},m'_{-}}(x) +i\vec{\theta} \cdot (\vec{x} \times \vec{\nabla})\phi_{m_{+},m_{-}}(x) \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\kappa} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{K}}, \phi_{m_{+},m_{-}}(x) \end{bmatrix} = +iD^{A}_{m_{+},m'_{+}}(\vec{\kappa} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{L}})\phi_{m'_{+},m_{-}}(x) -iD^{B}_{m_{-},m'_{-}}(\vec{\kappa} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{L}})\phi_{m_{+},m'_{-}}(x) + -i\vec{\kappa} \cdot \vec{x}\phi_{m_{+},m_{-}}(x) - it\vec{\kappa} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\phi_{m_{+},m_{-}}(x).$$

In particular, we considered a field (whose name I will now change to ψ_R , which transforms with $A = \frac{1}{2}, B = 0$, and we saw that

$$[\vec{\mathbf{J}},\psi_{Rm}(x)] = -\frac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma}_{mm'}\psi_{Rm'}(x) + i\vec{x}\times\vec{\nabla}\psi_{Rm}(x).$$

We will consider this field further, but before we do, let us also note that the Poincaré algebra $[\mathbf{L}_{\alpha\beta}, \mathbf{P}_{\nu}] = -ig_{\alpha\nu}\mathbf{P}_{\beta} + ig_{\beta\nu}\mathbf{P}_{\alpha}$ means

$$[\mathbf{J}_{i}, \mathbf{P}_{j}] = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{iab} [\mathbf{L}_{ab}, \mathbf{P}_{j}] = i \epsilon_{ijk} \mathbf{P}_{k}, \qquad [\mathbf{J}_{i}, \mathbf{P}_{0}] = 0.$$
(2)
$$[\mathbf{K}_{i}, \mathbf{P}_{j}] = [\mathbf{L}_{0i}, \mathbf{P}_{j}] = -i \delta_{ij} \mathbf{P}_{0}, \qquad [\mathbf{K}_{i}, \mathbf{P}_{0}] = [\mathbf{L}_{0i}, \mathbf{P}_{0}] = -i \mathbf{P}_{i}.$$
(3)

The
$$(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$$
 Field ψ_R

We are going to look for scalar combinations of fields, in order to construct a Lagrangian density \mathcal{L} . The coordinate derivative terms will work out as they should for any representation, so in what follows I am going to drop the derivative terms, with just a warning (+d.t.) that I have done so.

First suppose that ψ_R transforms with $A = \frac{1}{2}, B = 0$, so

$$[\mathbf{J}_i, \psi_R] = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma_i\psi_R, \qquad [\mathbf{K}_i, \psi_R] = +i\frac{1}{2}\sigma_i\psi_R \qquad (+\text{d.t.})$$

Hermitean conjugate gives, as **J** and **K** are hermitean operators on the hilbert space, but the 2×2 representation of **K** is not,

$$[\mathbf{J}_i, \psi_R^{\dagger}] = \frac{1}{2} \psi_R^{\dagger} \sigma_i, \qquad [\mathbf{K}_i, \psi_R^{\dagger}] = +i \frac{1}{2} \psi_R^{\dagger} \sigma_i, \qquad (+\text{d.t.})$$

What can we make that is quadratic in ψ and its hermitian conjugate, and how do these terms transform?

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\mathbf{J}_{i},\psi_{R}^{\dagger}\psi_{R}\right] &= \frac{1}{2}\psi_{R}^{\dagger}\sigma_{i}\psi_{R} - \frac{1}{2}\psi_{R}^{\dagger}\sigma_{i}\psi_{R} = 0 \quad (+\text{d.t.}) \\ \left[\mathbf{J}_{i},\psi_{R}^{\dagger}\sigma_{j}\psi_{R}\right] &= \frac{1}{2}\psi_{R}^{\dagger}[\sigma_{i},\sigma_{j}]\psi_{R} = i\epsilon_{ijk}\psi_{R}^{\dagger}\sigma_{k}\psi_{R} \quad (+\text{d.t.}) \\ \left[\mathbf{K}_{i},\psi_{R}^{\dagger}\psi_{R}\right] &= i\psi_{R}^{\dagger}\sigma_{i}\psi_{R} \quad (+\text{d.t.}) \\ \mathbf{K}_{i},\psi_{R}^{\dagger}\sigma_{j}\psi_{R}\right] &= \frac{i}{2}\psi_{R}^{\dagger}\{\sigma_{i},\sigma_{j}\}\psi_{R} = i\delta_{ij}\psi_{R}^{\dagger}\psi_{R} \quad (+\text{d.t.}) \end{aligned}$$

Combining with (2) we see that $\psi_R^{\dagger}\psi_R$, $\psi_R^{\dagger}\sigma_j P_j\psi_R$ and $\psi_R^{\dagger}P_0\psi_R$ commute with \mathbf{J}_i . We seek a combination which commutes with \mathbf{K}_i as well.

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_i, \psi_R^{\dagger} \psi_R P_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} K_i, \psi_R^{\dagger} \psi_R \end{bmatrix} P_0 + \psi_R^{\dagger} \psi_R [K_i, P_0] \\ = i \psi_R^{\dagger} \sigma_i \psi_R P_0 - i \psi_R^{\dagger} \psi_R P_i$$
(4)

$$K_{i}, \sum_{j} \psi_{R}^{\dagger} \sigma_{j} \psi_{R} P_{j} \bigg| = \bigg| K_{i}, \sum_{j} \psi_{R}^{\dagger} \sigma_{j} \psi_{R} \bigg| P_{j} + i \sum_{j} \psi_{R}^{\dagger} \sigma_{j} \psi_{R} [K_{i}, P_{j}]$$
$$= i \psi_{R}^{\dagger} \psi_{R} P_{i} - i \psi_{R}^{\dagger} \sigma_{i} \psi_{R} P_{0}$$
(5)

so

1

$$\left[\mathbf{K}_{i},\psi_{R}^{\dagger}\psi_{R}P_{0}+\sum_{j}\psi_{R}^{\dagger}\sigma_{j}\psi_{R}P_{j}\right]=0\qquad(+\mathrm{d.t.}).$$

The $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ Field ψ_L

On the other hand, suppose ψ_L transforms with $A = 0, B = \frac{1}{2}$, so

$$D(J_i - iK_i) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i, \ D(J_i + iK_i) = 0 \implies D(J_i) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i, \ D(K_i) = +i\frac{1}{2}\sigma_i,$$

The commutations with \mathbf{J}_i are therefore all the same, while the one of the fields with \mathbf{K}_i are reversed, but not those of \mathbf{K} with P. So now the first terms in the final expressions in (4) and (5) have their signs reversed, and the combination which is a scalar is

$$\psi_L^{\dagger}\psi_L P_0 - \sum_i \psi_L^{\dagger}\sigma_i\psi_L P_i.$$

Notice there is no invariant we can make from just ψ_R without a momentum, or from just ψ_L without a momentum, but if we mix ψ_R with ψ_L , we see $\psi_R^{\dagger} \psi_L$ commutes with **J** as before, and also

$$[\mathbf{K}_i, \psi_R^{\dagger} \psi_L] = i \frac{1}{2} \psi_R^{\dagger} \sigma_i \psi_L - i \frac{1}{2} \psi_R^{\dagger} \sigma_i \psi_L = 0$$

so $\psi_R^{\dagger} \psi_L$ is an invariant. Similarly $\psi_L^{\dagger} \psi_R$ is invariant.

1 Invariant Lagrangians

The momentum transforms the same way a derivative does, so we see that the Hermitean quadratic invariants we can form from ψ_R and ψ_L are

$$i\psi_{R}^{\dagger}\partial_{0}\psi_{R} + i\psi_{R}^{\dagger}\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\nabla}\psi_{R}$$
$$i\psi_{L}^{\dagger}\partial_{0}\psi_{L} - i\psi_{L}^{\dagger}\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\nabla}\psi_{L}$$
$$\psi_{R}^{\dagger}\psi_{L} + \psi_{L}^{\dagger}\psi_{R}$$
and
$$i\psi_{R}^{\dagger}\psi_{L} - i\psi_{L}^{\dagger}\psi_{R}$$

The only one which involves only ψ_R is the first, and if we vary with respect to ψ_R^{\dagger} , we get the equation of motion

$$i\partial_0\psi_R + i\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\nabla}\psi_R = 0.$$

Multiplying by $-i(\partial_0 - \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla})$ gives¹

$$0 = (\partial_0 - \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla})(\partial_0 + \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla})\psi_R = (\partial_0^2 - \sigma_i \partial_i \sigma_j \partial_j)\psi_R$$

$$= (\partial_0^2 - \frac{1}{2} \{\sigma_i, \sigma_j\}\partial_i \partial_j)\psi_R = (\partial_0^2 - \delta_{ij}\partial_i \partial_j)\psi_R$$

$$= (\partial_0^2 - \vec{\nabla}^2)\psi_R = \partial^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_R.$$

In the second line we have used the fact that $\partial_i \partial_j = \partial_j \partial_i$ to replace $\sigma_i \sigma_j$ by half the anticommutator, which we then evaluate to a Kronecker delta. We see the result is that ψ_R obeys the Klein-Gordon equation, but with zero mass. The same is true for the second lagrangian, with only ψ_L . Only by including a term with a mixture of ψ_R and ψ_L can we create a mass.

Let's define²

$$\sigma_R^{\mu} = (1, \sigma_i), \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_L^{\mu} = (1, -\sigma_i)$$

Then we can write the first two lagrangian densities as $i\psi_R^{\dagger}\sigma_R^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi_R$ and $i\psi_L^{\dagger}\sigma_L^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi_L$, and the equations of motion from them individually as $\sigma_R^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi_R = 0$ and $\sigma_L^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi_L = 0$.

If, however, we take a combination to form the lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L} = i\psi_R^{\dagger}\sigma_R^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi_R + i\psi_L^{\dagger}\sigma_L^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi_L - m(\psi_R^{\dagger}\psi_L + \psi_L^{\dagger}\psi_R),$$

we get the equations of motion

$$i\sigma_{R}^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi_{R} - m\psi_{L} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} -m & i\sigma_{R}^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} \\ i\sigma_{L}^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi_{L} - m\psi_{R} = 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} -m & i\sigma_{R}^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} \\ i\sigma_{L}^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} & -m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{L} \\ \psi_{R} \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Because we are mostly interested in massive fields, we will prefer to consider ψ_L and ψ_R as parts of a four component field. Define

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L \\ \psi_R \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $\gamma^{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_R^{\mu} \\ \sigma_L^{\mu} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

¹Properties of $\vec{\sigma}$: $\sigma_j = \sigma_j^{\dagger}$; $\sigma_i \sigma_j = \delta_{ij} + i\epsilon_{ijk}\sigma_k$, so $\{\sigma_i, \sigma_j\} = 2\delta_{ij}$ and $[\sigma_i, \sigma_j] = 2i\epsilon_{ijk}\sigma_k$. The usual representation, which we will assume, is

$$\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

²The book, and indeed everyone else who defines these, uses σ^{μ} for what I call σ^{μ}_{R} and $\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}$ for what I call σ^{μ}_{L} . But that notation is not ideal.

which means

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{I}_{2\times 2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{2\times 2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ -\sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the equation above becomes

$$(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - m)\psi = 0.$$

This is known as the Dirac equation.

A good part of learning how to calculate scattering amplitudes for fermions is becoming agile with the algebra of the γ matrices. From

$$\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^{\mu}_{R}\sigma^{\nu}_{L} & 0\\ 0 & \sigma^{\mu}_{L}\sigma^{\nu}_{R} \end{pmatrix}$$

we see that

$$\{\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\} = 2g^{\mu\nu} \times \mathbb{I}_{4 \times 4},\tag{6}$$

which of course means $\gamma^{0^2} = 1$, $\gamma^{i^2} = -1$.

Premultiplying the equation of motion $0=(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m)\psi$ by $-(i\gamma^\nu\partial_\nu+m)$ we see that

$$0 = -(i\gamma^{\nu}\partial_{\nu} + m)(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - m)\psi = \left(\frac{1}{2}\left\{\gamma^{\nu}, \gamma^{\mu}\right\}\partial_{\nu}\partial_{\mu} + m^{2}\right)\psi$$
$$= \left(g^{\nu\mu}\partial_{\nu}\partial_{\mu} + m^{2}\right)\psi = \left(\partial^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} + m^{2}\right)\psi,$$

so the Dirac equation implies the Klein-Gordon equation with mass m, but has additional information in it.

The γ matrices will prove to be much more often used than our σ_L^{μ} and σ_R^{μ} , so we need to reexpress our Lagrangian in terms of them. Notice that $\gamma^0 \gamma^{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_L & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_R \end{pmatrix}$ so our lagrangian can be written

$$\mathcal{L} = i\psi^{\dagger}\gamma^{0}\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi - m\psi^{\dagger}\gamma^{0}\psi.$$

That looks very strange, not even covariant, but the reason for this is that ψ^{\dagger} does not transform as we might expect, because ψ transforms under a representation $D(\Lambda) = \Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}$ (or $(\frac{1}{2}, 0) + (0, \frac{1}{2})$), which is **not** a unitary representation of the Lorentz group, because \vec{L}_{\pm} involves $i\vec{K}$. Under $\psi \to \Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}\psi$,

we have $\psi^{\dagger}\psi \rightarrow \psi^{\dagger}\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\dagger}\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}\psi$, and if $\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}$ were unitary we would have $\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\dagger} = \Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}^{-1}$, and $\psi^{\dagger}\psi$ would be invariant, as it appears. But this is not the case.

What is $\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}?$ It turns out there is a simple expression for its generators in terms of

$$S^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{4} \left[\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu} \right].$$

From the anticommutation relations of the gammas (6) simple algebraic manipulations show that $D(L^{\mu\nu}) = S^{\mu\nu}$ obeys the Lorentz algebra commutation relations, and thus is a representation. In fact, from

$$S^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_k & 0\\ 0 & \sigma_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad S^{0j} = -\frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_j & 0\\ 0 & -\sigma_j \end{pmatrix},$$

we see that this is exactly how ψ transforms, or rather that

$$\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(e^{-\frac{i}{2}\omega^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{L}_{\mu\nu}}\right) = e^{-\frac{i}{2}\omega^{\mu\nu}S_{\mu\nu}}.$$

Now notice that $\gamma_0^{-1}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_0 = \gamma_{\mu} = (\gamma^{\mu})^{\dagger}$, which means that $\gamma_0^{-1}S_{\mu\nu}^{\dagger}\gamma_0 = S_{\mu\nu}$ and $\gamma_0^{-1}\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\dagger}\gamma_0 = \Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}^{-1}$. Thus if we define $\bar{\psi} := \psi^{\dagger}\gamma_0$, under a Lorentz transformation

$$\bar{\psi} \to \psi^{\dagger} \Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\dagger} \gamma_0 = \psi^{\dagger} \gamma_0 \gamma_0^{-1} \Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\dagger} \gamma_0 = \bar{\psi} \Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}^{-1},$$

so $\bar{\psi}\psi$ is invariant, and so is $\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi$. Thus we can rewrite the free Dirac lagrangian density as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Dirac}} = \bar{\psi}(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - m)\psi$$