

Degenerate Pert's Theory

Now let's tackle the degenerate case.

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{matrix} E_n^{(0)} & 0 \\ 0 & E_n^{(0)} \end{matrix} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots \end{pmatrix} + \epsilon V$$

$\underbrace{\quad}_{0 \text{ (N \times N)}}$

$$E_{m_1}^{(0)} = E_{m_2}^{(0)}$$

$$m_1, m_2 \in D$$

Our previous treatment breaks down b/c we assumed $E_m^{(0)} \neq E_n^{(0)}$ $\forall m \neq n$

Formulas break down

$$\begin{cases} |n^{(1)}\rangle = \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{V_{mn}}{E_n^{(0)} - E_m^{(0)}} |m^{(0)}\rangle \\ E_n^{(1)} = \sum_{m \neq n} |V_{mn}|^2 \end{cases}$$

\leftarrow Division by 0!

Also $E_n^{(1)} = \langle n^{(0)} | V | n^{(0)} \rangle$

is ambiguous \rightarrow which $|n^{(0)}\rangle$ do we use??

w/ degen $H^{(0)}$, have equiv bases under unitary transf.

$$0 \rightarrow U \cdot 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} |n_1\rangle \\ \vdots \\ |n_j\rangle \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow U \begin{pmatrix} |n_1\rangle \\ \vdots \\ |n_j\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

Where did we go wrong in general ~~deriv~~ deriv?

$$(H^{(0)} + \epsilon V) |n\rangle = (E_n^{(0)} + \epsilon \Delta_n) |n\rangle$$

$$\langle n^{(0)} | \times (\dots) \Rightarrow \boxed{\Delta_n = \langle n^{(0)} | V | n \rangle} \text{ OK}$$

$$m \neq n \quad \langle m^{(0)} | \times (\dots) \Rightarrow E_m^{(0)} + \epsilon \langle m^{(0)} | V | n \rangle = E_n^{(0)} + \epsilon \Delta_n \langle m^{(0)} | n \rangle$$

$$C_{nm} = \frac{\epsilon (V_{mn}^{(0)} + \sum_{k \neq n} C_{nk} V_{km}^{(0)})}{E_n^{(0)} - E_m^{(0)} + \epsilon \Delta_n} \quad (*)$$

$$\langle m^{(0)} | n \rangle = \frac{\epsilon \langle m^{(0)} | V | n \rangle}{E_n^{(0)} - E_m^{(0)} + \epsilon \Delta_n}$$

changes master eqn for all m !

Now if $E_m^{(0)} = E_n^{(0)}$ it fails!

instead get

$$\langle n^{(0)} | n \rangle = 0 \quad \langle m^{(0)} | V | n \rangle \quad m \neq n \quad m \in \mathcal{D}$$

At 0th order \Rightarrow

$$\langle n^{(0)} | (H^{(0)} + \epsilon H^{(1)} + \dots) = \langle n^{(0)} | V | n^{(0)} \rangle + \epsilon \langle n^{(0)} | V | n^{(1)} \rangle + \dots$$

$$\langle n^{(0)} | V | n^{(0)} \rangle = 0$$

correct basis where V is diagonal in \mathcal{D} !

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & & 0 & 0 \\ & E_n^{(0)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & V \\ 0 & 0 & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} + \epsilon \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ & V_{nn} & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

offdiag still non zero

\downarrow then $E_n^{(1)} = \langle n^{(0)} | V | n^{(0)} \rangle$ is ambiguous!

~~Assume V splits energies @ 1st order.~~
 looks okay

• What about $E_n^{(2)}$?

$$E_n^{(2)} = \langle n^{(0)} | V | n^{(1)} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{|V_{mn}|^2}{E_n^{(0)} - E_m^{(0)}} \quad \checkmark$$

$$|n^{(1)}\rangle = \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{V_{mn}}{E_n^{(0)} - E_m^{(0)}} |m^{(0)}\rangle + \sum_{m \neq 0} c_{mn} |m^{(0)}\rangle$$

• What about $|n^{(1)}\rangle$?

→ c_{mn} for $m \neq 0$?

don't restrict since V_0 is diag!

Need to unpack Δ_n :

$$\Delta_n \langle n^{(0)} | n \rangle = \langle n^{(0)} | V | n \rangle$$

$$\Delta_n c_{mn} = \sum_{m' \neq 0} \frac{V_{m'n} V_{mm'}}{E_n^{(0)} - E_{m'}^{(0)}} + V_{mn} c_{mn}$$

$$\Rightarrow c_{mn} = \sum_{m' \neq 0} \frac{V_{m'n} V_{mm'}}{(E_n^{(0)} - E_{m'}^{(0)}) (E_n^{(1)} - E_n^{(0)})}$$

note: requires degen lifted @ 1st order!!

• Comment: c_{mn} looks 2nd order (V^2)

but $E_n^{(1)} - E_n^{(0)}$ in denom $\Rightarrow \frac{E^2}{E} = E$ 1st order!

Q: what if it's not?

HW:

check

get same answer w/ c_{mn} this way

• More generally, can absorb V_0 into defn of $H^{(0)}$

$$H^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} \dots & & & & \\ & E_n^{(0)} + \epsilon V_{11} & & & \\ & & \dots & & \\ & & & E_n^{(0)} + \epsilon V_{44} & \\ & & & & \dots \end{pmatrix} \quad \epsilon \tilde{V} = \epsilon \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{V}_{11} & \tilde{V}_{12} & \tilde{V}_{13} \\ \tilde{V}_{21} & 0 & \tilde{V}_{23} \\ \tilde{V}_{31} & \tilde{V}_{32} & \tilde{V}_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

then if degen lifted @ 1st order, can apply non-degen pert - they do this redefined system!

~~Then~~
Summary

For degen pert^{ly} th_y

- Diagonalize V on degen subspace

These are the "good" basis vectors

- If lifted @ 1st order, apply non degen pert^{ly} th_y to redefined system

- Be careful about \mathcal{O} orders in ϵ !

- need 2nd order in ϵ to get 1st order states!

- If not lifted @ 1st order, can still use \mathcal{O} basis

~~for~~ $\mathcal{E}_n^{(1)}$ & $\mathcal{E}_n^{(2)}$. need to work even harder to identify "good" basis...