

Recall the FP eq'n in the presence of an external field:

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} + \vec{u} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{r}} W + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{u}} W = \beta \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{u}} \cdot (W \vec{u}) + q_0 \nabla_{\vec{u}}^2 W$$

If $\vec{k} = 0$ (the particles are free), this eq'n reduces to:

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} + \vec{u} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{r}} W = 3\beta W + \beta \vec{u} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{u}} W + q_0 \nabla_{\vec{u}}^2 W,$$

which actually has a closed-form solution (cf. Eq. (286) in Chandrasekhar)

Let us consider a more general situation where we expect the system to relax into the Maxwell-Boltzmann (MB) distribution:

$$W \sim e^{-\frac{(m|\vec{u}|^2 + \overbrace{2m\tilde{u}(\vec{r})}^{\text{potential energy}})}{2k_B T}}$$

Here, $\vec{k} = -\vec{\nabla}_{\vec{r}} \tilde{u}(\vec{r})$.

As before, assume that the stochastic process is Markovian:

$$W(\vec{r}, \vec{u}, t + \Delta t) = \iint d(\Delta\vec{r}) d(\Delta\vec{u}) W(\vec{r} - \Delta\vec{r}, \vec{u} - \Delta\vec{u}, t) \times \Psi(\vec{r} - \Delta\vec{r}, \vec{u} - \Delta\vec{u}; \Delta\vec{r}, \Delta\vec{u}),$$

where the transition probability is given by:

$$\Psi(\vec{r}, \vec{u}; \delta\vec{r}, \delta\vec{u}) = \Psi(\vec{r}, \vec{u}; \delta\vec{u}) \times \\ \times \delta(\Delta x - u_1 \Delta t) \delta(\Delta y - u_2 \Delta t) \delta(\Delta z - u_3 \Delta t).$$

Now

$$W(\vec{r}, \vec{u}, t + \Delta t) = \int d(\delta\vec{u}) W(\vec{r} - \vec{u} \Delta t, \vec{u} - \vec{K} \Delta t - \delta\vec{u}, t) \times \\ \times \Psi(\vec{r} - \vec{u} \Delta t, \vec{u} - \vec{K} \Delta t - \delta\vec{u}; \vec{K} \Delta t + \delta\vec{u})$$

Here,
$$\begin{cases} \Delta\vec{u} = \vec{K} \Delta t + \underbrace{\delta\vec{u}(\Delta t)}_{-\beta\vec{u} \Delta t + \vec{B}(\Delta t)} \\ \Delta\vec{r} = \vec{u} \Delta t. \end{cases}$$

stochastic process
of the Brownian type

Alternatively,

$$W(\vec{r} + \vec{u} \Delta t, \vec{u} + \vec{K} \Delta t, t + \Delta t) = \\ = \int d(\delta\vec{u}) W(\vec{r}, \vec{u} - \delta\vec{u}, t) \Psi(\vec{r}, \vec{u} - \delta\vec{u}; \delta\vec{u})$$

Now we expand the LHS and the RHS as before, to obtain:

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial W}{\partial t} + \vec{u} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{r}} W + \vec{K} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{u}} W \right\} \Delta t + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^2) = \\ = - \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} (W \langle \delta u_i \rangle) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_i^2} (W \langle \delta u_i^2 \rangle) + \\ + \sum_{i < j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_i \partial u_j} (W \langle \delta u_i \delta u_j \rangle) + \mathcal{O}(\delta u^3),$$

where all moments are defined w.r.t $\Psi(\vec{r}, \vec{u}; \delta\vec{u})$.

Now, assume that

$$\begin{cases} \langle \delta u_i \rangle = \mu_i \Delta t + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^2), \\ \langle \delta u_i^2 \rangle = \mu_{ii} \Delta t + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^2), \\ \langle \delta u_i \delta u_j \rangle = \mu_{ij} \Delta t + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^2), \end{cases}$$

and that all higher-order moments are at least $\mathcal{O}(\Delta t^2)$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial W}{\partial t} + \vec{u} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{r}} W + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{u}} W = & - \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} (W \mu_i) + \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_i^2} (W \mu_{ii}) + \sum_{i < j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_i \partial u_j} (W \mu_{ij}) \end{aligned}$$

FP eq'n

The MB distr'n must satisfy this eq'n identically. Indeed, the LHS is

$$\sim \left(-\frac{m}{k_B T}\right) \vec{u} \cdot \underbrace{\vec{\nabla}_{\vec{r}} \tilde{u}(\vec{r})}_{\text{"} -\vec{k} \text{"}} + \left(-\frac{m}{2k_B T}\right) 2 \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u} = 0,$$

(note that $\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} = 0$ @ equilibrium)

such that

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \left[e^{-\frac{m|\vec{u}|^2}{2k_B T}} \mu_i \right] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_i^2} \left[e^{-\frac{m|\vec{u}|^2}{2k_B T}} \mu_{ii} \right] + \\ & + \sum_{i < j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_i \partial u_j} \left[e^{-\frac{m|\vec{u}|^2}{2k_B T}} \mu_{ij} \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

must hold at equilibrium.

Clearly, if $\delta \vec{u} = -\beta \vec{u} \Delta t + \vec{B}(\Delta t)$,

$$\Psi(\vec{u}; \delta \vec{u}) = \frac{1}{(4\pi q \Delta t)^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{|\beta \vec{u} \Delta t + \delta \vec{u}|^2}{4q \Delta t}}$$

Therefore, (*) $\begin{cases} \mu_i = -\beta u_i, \\ \mu_{ii} = 2q, \\ \mu_{ij} = 0 \end{cases} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^2) \text{ terms}$

$$\beta \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \left[e^{-\frac{m|\vec{u}|^2}{2k_B T}} u_i \right] + \frac{1}{2} (2q) \sum_i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_i^2} \left[e^{-\frac{m|\vec{u}|^2}{2k_B T}} \right] =$$

$$= \dots + q \left(-\frac{m}{k_B T} \right) \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \left[e^{-\frac{m|\vec{u}|^2}{2k_B T}} u_i \right] =$$

$$\downarrow \frac{q m}{k_B T} = \beta$$

$$= \beta \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} [\dots] - \beta \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} [\dots] = \underline{\underline{0}}$$

However, this does not mean that (*) represent the most general set of moments possible, from the point of view of satisfying the equilibrium condition above.