

## Lecture 8      Free Dirac Particles      Sept. 30, 2013

Last time we learned that we could make a Dirac field

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L \\ \psi_R \end{pmatrix}$$

with the Dirac equation

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi = 0$$

arising from a Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi.$$

We learned that  $\psi$  transforms under Lorentz Transformations by

$$L_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow S_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{4} [\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu],$$

and we saw that the Dirac equation implies the Klein-Gordon equation,  $(\partial^\mu \partial_\mu + m^2)\psi = 0$ , though it has more restrictions on the four complex components of  $\psi$ . The matrices we are dealing with are defined by

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2 \times 2} & \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} \\ \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} & 0_{2 \times 2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2 \times 2} & \sigma_i \\ -\sigma_i & 0_{2 \times 2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2 \times 2} & \sigma_R^\mu \\ \sigma_L^\mu & 0_{2 \times 2} \end{pmatrix},$$

and the six generators  $S$  are then

$$S^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_k & 0_{2 \times 2} \\ 0_{2 \times 2} & \sigma_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad S^{0j} = -\frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_j & 0_{2 \times 2} \\ 0_{2 \times 2} & -\sigma_j \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{J}_j = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{jkl} \mathbf{L}^{kl} \approx \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{jkl} S^{kl} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_j & 0_{2 \times 2} \\ 0_{2 \times 2} & \sigma_j \end{pmatrix} =: \frac{1}{2} \Sigma^j.$$

We will now continue with pages 45—51 of the text, with a few notes:

Near equations 3.49 and 3.50 it may be useful to note

$$\begin{aligned} E + p &= m(\cosh \eta + \sinh \eta) = me^\eta, \\ E - p &= m(\cosh \eta - \sinh \eta) = me^{-\eta}, \\ P_\pm &:= \left( \frac{1 \pm \sigma_3}{2} \right) \implies P_\pm^2 = P_\pm, \quad P_\pm P_\mp = 0, \quad \text{so} \end{aligned}$$

$$m \left( e^{\eta/2} P_- + e^{-\eta/2} P_+ \right)^2 = E - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma} = p_\mu \sigma^\mu$$

and similarly, by reversing the sign of  $\vec{p}$ ,

$$m \left( e^{\eta/2} P_+ + e^{-\eta/2} P_- \right)^2 = E + \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma} = p_\mu \bar{\sigma}^\mu,$$

which justifies 3.50, for  $E > |\vec{p}|$ .

For 3.51, note that

$$p_\mu \sigma^\mu p_\nu \bar{\sigma}^\nu = \frac{1}{2} p_\mu p_\nu (\sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu + \sigma^\nu \bar{\sigma}^\mu) = p_0^2 - p_i^2 = p^2.$$

In saying 3.50 satisfies the Dirac equation, we are replacing  $\partial_\mu \rightarrow -ip_\mu$ , so Eq. 3.43 becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} -m & p \cdot \sigma \\ p \cdot \bar{\sigma} & -m \end{pmatrix} u = 0.$$

For 3.77, see “Little Note on Fierz”, on the Supplementary Notes page.

On 3.78, note the upper rhs is antisymmetric under  $u_{2R} \leftrightarrow u_{4R}$  which, applied to lhs, gives the last expression.

At the bottom of P49, it is worthwhile to note<sup>1</sup>

$$\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}^{-1} \gamma^\mu \Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}} = \Lambda^\mu_\alpha \gamma^\alpha.$$

Don't forget to carefully read pages 45-51, as I will not be rewriting them!

---

<sup>1</sup>Proof: Consider the family of Lorentz transformations  $\Lambda = e^{-it\omega^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{L}_{\mu\nu}}$ , parameterized by one real index  $t$ . If we then consider

$$f(t) := \Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}^{-1} \gamma_\mu \Lambda^\mu_\alpha \Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}},$$

with  $\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}} = e^{-it\omega^{\mu\nu} S_{\mu\nu}}$  and  $\Lambda^\mu_\alpha = (e^{-it\omega^{\rho\sigma} \mathcal{L}_{\rho\sigma}})^\mu_\alpha$ , we see that  $f(0) = \gamma_\alpha$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{df}{dt} &= e^{it\omega^{\rho\sigma} S_{\rho\sigma}} [i\omega^{\rho\sigma} S_{\rho\sigma}, \gamma_\mu] e^{-it\omega^{\rho\sigma} S_{\rho\sigma}} \left( e^{-it\omega^{\rho\sigma} \mathcal{L}_{\rho\sigma}} \right)^\mu_\alpha \\ &\quad + e^{it\omega^{\rho\sigma} S_{\rho\sigma}} \gamma_\mu e^{-it\omega^{\rho\sigma} S_{\rho\sigma}} (-i\omega^{\rho\sigma} \mathcal{L}_{\rho\sigma})^\mu_\beta \left( e^{-it\omega^{\rho\sigma} \mathcal{L}_{\rho\sigma}} \right)^\beta_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

But  $i\omega_{\rho\sigma} [S^{\rho\sigma}, \gamma_\mu] = -\frac{1}{2} \omega_{\rho\sigma} [\gamma^\rho \gamma^\sigma, \gamma_\mu] = -\frac{1}{2} \omega_{\rho\sigma} \gamma^\rho \{ \gamma^\sigma, \gamma_\mu \} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\rho\sigma} \{ \gamma^\rho, \gamma_\mu \} \gamma^\sigma = -\omega_{\rho\mu} \gamma^\rho$  and  $\gamma_\mu (-i\omega^{\rho\sigma} \mathcal{L}_{\rho\sigma})^\mu_\beta = \omega^\rho_\beta \gamma_\rho = \omega_{\rho\beta} \gamma^\rho$ , so the two terms cancel,  $f$  is a constant  $\gamma_\alpha$ . Multiplying by  $\Lambda^\nu_\beta g^{\alpha\beta} g_{\rho\nu}$ , which is the inverse of  $\Lambda^\mu_\alpha$ , gives  $\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}^{-1} \gamma^\mu \Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}} = \Lambda^\mu_\alpha \gamma^\alpha$ .