

Little Note on Fierz

What is $(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma_\mu)_{\gamma\zeta}$?

If $\alpha = \beta$, only $\sigma^0 = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\sigma^3 = \delta_{\alpha\beta}(-1)^{\alpha+1}$ contribute, giving

$$\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{\gamma\zeta} \left(1 - (-1)^{\alpha+\gamma}\right) = 2\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{\gamma\zeta} (1 - \delta_{\alpha\gamma}) = 2\epsilon_{\alpha\gamma}\epsilon_{\beta\zeta} \quad \text{for } \alpha = \beta.$$

If $\alpha \neq \beta$, only σ^1 and σ^2 can contribute, in which case only $\gamma \neq \zeta$ gives nonzero, and then

- either $\alpha = \gamma$, and the two terms cancel, $(\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^1)^2 + (\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2)^2 = 0$,
- or $\alpha = \zeta$, $\beta = \gamma$ $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^1\sigma_{\gamma\zeta}^1 = 1$, $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2\sigma_{\gamma\zeta}^2 = 1$, so we get -2 .

Thus when $\alpha \neq \beta$, $(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma_\mu)_{\gamma\zeta} = 2\epsilon_{\alpha\gamma}\epsilon_{\beta\zeta}$.

So in either case,

$$(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma_\mu)_{\gamma\zeta} = 2\epsilon_{\alpha\gamma}\epsilon_{\beta\zeta}.$$

Another Approach

Consider the mapping of 2×2 matrices

$$M \rightarrow \sum_{\mu} \sigma^\mu M \sigma_\mu.$$

This is a linear real transformation, so we may describe it by its action on a basis of 2×2 matrices, in particular using the identity and the Pauli matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I} &\rightarrow (\sigma^0)^2 - \sum_{j=1}^3 (\sigma^j)^2 = -2\mathbb{I} \\ \sigma^j &\rightarrow \sigma^0\sigma^j\sigma^0 - \sum_{k=1}^3 \sigma^k\sigma^j\sigma^k = \sigma^j + \sum_{k=1}^3 \left[-2\delta_{jk}\sigma^k + \sigma^j(\sigma^k)^2\right] = (1 - 2 + 3)\sigma^j \\ &= 2\sigma^j \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^3 p_\mu \sigma^\mu \rightarrow -2 \sum_{\mu=0}^3 p_\mu \sigma_\mu,$$

which is strange, but reverses σ_L and σ_R , in addition to multiplying by -2 .

Now if we consider the right hand side of the Fierz identity as a transformation on $M_{\beta\gamma}$

$$M \rightarrow 2\epsilon M^T \epsilon,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I} &\rightarrow -2\mathbb{I} \\ \sigma^j &\rightarrow 2(i\sigma_2)(\sigma^j)^T(i\sigma_2) = 2\sigma^j \end{aligned}$$

So, of course, these are the same transformation.

This latter approach is a useful one to take to consider the Fierz identity for Dirac matrices, rearranging the Dirac indices (a, b, c, d) on $\gamma_{ab}^\mu \gamma_{\mu cd}$.