

# Geodesics in Riemannian Space

Copyright ©2010 by Joel A. Shapiro

We saw that in generalized coordinates, even if we restrict our point transformations to be time-independent, the kinetic energy is in general given by a more complicated quadratic in the velocities,

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk} g_{jk}(\{x\}) \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k,$$

where the mass matrix  $g_{jk}(\{x\})$  can be a function on coordinate space  $\{x\}$ , and can have off-diagonal elements, though it is a real symmetric matrix. We can think of  $g$  as providing a metric, a measure on infinitesimal displacements  $dx_i$

$$(ds)^2 = \sum_{jk} g_{jk}(\{x_i\}) dx_j dx_k.$$

Aside from weighting the distance each particle moves by its mass, this also allows for distances to be described appropriately for non-cartesian coordinates.

Consider a system with no forces, no potential. Then the action is just (half) the “distance” as defined by the metric  $g$ , so we expect the path to be of minimum length, to be a “straight line”. What does that mean if the space is not Euclidean?

If a path in  $\{x\}$  space is given by  $x_i(\lambda)$ , the length of the path is

$$\ell = \int_{\lambda_i}^{\lambda_f} \sqrt{(ds)^2} = \int_{\lambda_i}^{\lambda_f} \sqrt{\sum_{jk} g_{jk}(\{x_i\}) \frac{dx_j}{d\lambda} \frac{dx_k}{d\lambda}} d\lambda.$$

This is like Hamilton with  $L \rightarrow f = \sqrt{\sum_{jk} g_{jk}(\{x_i\}) \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k}$ , with  $t \rightarrow \lambda$ . Then the shortest length is a stationary action, given by the Lagrange equations based on

$$f(\{x_j\}, \{\dot{x}_k\}) = \sqrt{\sum_{jk} g_{jk} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k},$$

where  $\dot{x}_j := dx_j/d\lambda$ , not the time derivative.

(a) Thus

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}_i} = \frac{\sum_k g_{ik} \dot{x}_k}{\sqrt{\sum_{jk} g_{jk} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k}}$$

while

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk} \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x_i} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k / \sqrt{\sum_{jk} g_{jk} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k}.$$

We notice that life would be a lot simpler if we could assume  $\sum_{jk} g_{jk} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k = 1$ . We will do so later, after having justified it, but for now we just plod along.

Lagrange’s equations give

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{d\lambda} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{jk} \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x_j} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k + \sum_k g_{ik} \ddot{x}_k}{\sqrt{\sum_{jk} g_{jk} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k}} \\ &\quad - \frac{\left( \sum_k g_{ik} \dot{x}_k \right) \left( \sum_{jk} 2g_{jk} \dot{x}_j \ddot{x}_k + \sum_{jkm} \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x_m} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k \dot{x}_m \right)}{2 \left( \sum_{jk} g_{jk} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k \right)^{3/2}} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_{jk} \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x_i} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k}{\sqrt{\sum_{jk} g_{jk} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by  $(\sum_{mn} g_{mn} \dot{x}_m \dot{x}_n)^{3/2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{jkmn} \left( g_{mn} \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x_j} - \frac{1}{2} g_{in} \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x_m} - \frac{1}{2} g_{mn} \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x_i} \right) \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k \dot{x}_m \dot{x}_n \\ &\quad + \sum_{kmn} (g_{mn} g_{ik} - g_{im} g_{nk}) \dot{x}_m \dot{x}_n \ddot{x}_k. \end{aligned}$$

We seem to have three differential equations for our three functions  $x_i(\lambda)$ , but if we multiply by  $\dot{x}_i$  and sum on  $i$ , we get an identity, because the  $g$  factors in parentheses vanish when contracted with expressions symmetric under  $i \leftrightarrow j$ , under  $j \leftrightarrow m$ , and under  $i \leftrightarrow n$ . So we see the three equations are not independent. Why?

(b) The length has been written in a form independent of the variable used to describe the position along the path, as can be seen by the chain rule, as  $\sqrt{\sum_{jk} g_{jk} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial \sigma}} = \frac{d\lambda}{d\sigma} \sqrt{\sum_{jk} g_{jk} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \lambda} \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial \lambda}}$ . But if  $m = \lambda + \delta\lambda$ ,  $\delta x_i = \dot{x}_i \delta\lambda$ , so  $\dot{x}_i$  times the variation due to  $\delta x_i$  gives zero for any path.

We may use this independence of parameterization to justify taking our parameter  $\lambda$  to be the distance  $s$  from the beginning up to the point in question, in which case  $(d\lambda)^2 = \sum_{jk} g_{jk} dx_j dx_k$  and  $\sum g_{jk} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \lambda} \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial \lambda} = 1$ . Thus we can ignore this denominator in our Lagrange equation, and get

$$0 = \frac{d}{ds} \sum_k g_{ik} \dot{x}_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk} \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x_i} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k = \sum_k g_{ik} \ddot{x}_k + \sum_{jk} \left( \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x_j} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x_i} \right) \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k.$$

To extract the equations with individual  $d^2 x_k / ds^2$ , define  $G_{\ell i}$  to be the *inverse matrix* to  $g_{ik}$ , or more precisely, because we are talking about matrices and not their matrix elements,  $G = g^{-1}$ . Also notice that, because it is multiplied by  $\dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k$ , we can replace the  $\frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x_i}$  in the second term with  $\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x_j} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{ji}}{\partial x_k}$  so we find the *geodesic equation*

$$\frac{d^2 x_i}{ds^2} + \sum_{jk} \Gamma^i_{jk} \frac{dx_j}{ds} \frac{dx_k}{ds} = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \Gamma^i_{jk} := \frac{1}{2} \sum_m G_{im} \left( \frac{\partial g_{mk}}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial g_{mj}}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x_m} \right).$$

Generalized to four-dimensional space with the appropriate generalization of the Minkowski metric,  $\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$  is called the **Christoffel symbol** or **affine connection**.