

Geodesics in Riemannian Space

Copyright ©2010 by Joel A. Shapiro

We saw that in generalized coordinates, even if we restrict our point transformations to be time-independent, the kinetic energy is in general given by a more complicated quadratic in the velocities,

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk} g_{jk}(\{x\}) \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k,$$

where the mass matrix $g_{jk}(\{x\})$ can be a function on coordinate space $\{x\}$, and can have off-diagonal elements, though it is a real symmetric matrix. We can think of g as providing a metric, a measure on infinitesimal displacements dx_i

$$(ds)^2 = \sum_{jk} g_{jk}(\{x_i\}) dx_j dx_k.$$

Aside from weighting the distance each particle moves by its mass, this also allows for distances to be described appropriately for non-cartesian coordinates.

Consider a system with no forces, no potential. Then the action is just (half) the “distance” as defined by the metric g , so we expect the path to be of minimum length, to be a “straight line”. What does that mean if the space is not Euclidean?

If a path in $\{x\}$ space is given by $x_i(\lambda)$, the length of the path is

$$\ell = \int_{\lambda_i}^{\lambda_f} \sqrt{(ds)^2} = \int_{\lambda_i}^{\lambda_f} \sqrt{\sum_{jk} g_{jk}(\{x_i\}) \frac{dx_j}{d\lambda} \frac{dx_k}{d\lambda}} d\lambda.$$

This is like Hamilton with $L \rightarrow f = \sqrt{\sum_{jk} g_{jk}(\{x_i\}) \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k}$, with $t \rightarrow \lambda$. Then the shortest length is a stationary action, given by the Lagrange equations based on

$$f(\{x_j\}, \{\dot{x}_k\}) = \sqrt{\sum_{jk} g_{jk} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k},$$

where $\dot{x}_j := dx_j/d\lambda$, not the time derivative.

(a) Thus

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}_i} = \frac{\sum_k g_{ik} \dot{x}_k}{\sqrt{\sum_{jk} g_{jk} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k}}$$

while

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk} \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x_i} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k \bigg/ \sqrt{\sum_{jk} g_{jk} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k}.$$

We notice that life would be a lot simpler if we could assume $\sum_{jk} g_{jk} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k = 1$. We will do so later, after having justified it, but for now we just plod along.

Lagrange's equations give

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{d\lambda} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{jk} \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x_j} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k + \sum_k g_{ik} \ddot{x}_k}{\sqrt{\sum_{jk} g_{jk} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k}} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_k g_{ik} \dot{x}_k \right) \left(\sum_{jk} 2g_{jk} \dot{x}_j \ddot{x}_k + \sum_{jkm} \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x_m} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k \dot{x}_m \right)}{\left(\sum_{jk} g_{jk} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k \right)^{3/2}} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk} \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x_i} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k}{\sqrt{\sum_{jk} g_{jk} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by $(\sum_{mn} g_{mn} \dot{x}_m \dot{x}_n)^{3/2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{jkmn} \left(g_{mn} \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x_j} - \frac{1}{2} g_{in} \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x_m} - \frac{1}{2} g_{mn} \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x_i} \right) \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k \dot{x}_m \dot{x}_n \\ &\quad + \sum_{kmn} (g_{mn} g_{ik} - g_{im} g_{nk}) \dot{x}_m \dot{x}_n \ddot{x}_k. \end{aligned}$$

We seem to have three differential equations for our three functions $x_i(\lambda)$, but if we multiply by \dot{x}_i and sum on i , we get an identity, because the g factors in parentheses vanish when contracted with expressions symmetric under $i \leftrightarrow j$, under $j \leftrightarrow m$, and under $i \leftrightarrow n$. So we see the three equations are not independent. Why?

(b) The length has been written in a form independant of the variable used to describe the position along the path, as can be seen by the chain rule, as $\sqrt{\sum g_{jk} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial \sigma}} = \frac{d\lambda}{d\sigma} \sqrt{\sum g_{jk} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \lambda} \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial \lambda}}$. But if $m = \lambda + \delta\lambda$, $\delta x_i = \dot{x}_i \delta\lambda$, so \dot{x}_i times the variation due to δx_i gives zero for any path.

We may use this independence of parameterization to justify taking our parameter λ to be the distance s from the beginning up to the point in question, in which case $(d\lambda)^2 = \sum_{jk} g_{jk} dx_j dx_k$ and $\sum g_{jk} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \lambda} \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial \lambda} = 1$. Thus we can ignore this denominator in our Lagrange equation, and get

$$0 = \frac{d}{ds} \sum_k g_{ik} \dot{x}_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk} \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x_i} \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k = \sum_k g_{ik} \ddot{x}_k + \sum_{jk} \left(\frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x_j} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x_i} \right) \dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k.$$

To extract the equations with individual $d^2 x_k / ds^2$, define $G_{\ell i}$ to be the *inverse matrix* to g_{ik} , or more precisely, because we are talking about matrices and not their matrix elements, $G = g^{-1}$. Also notice that, because it is multiplied by $\dot{x}_j \dot{x}_k$, we can replace the $\frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x_j}$ in the second term with $\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x_j} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x_k}$ so we find the *geodesic* equation

$$\frac{d^2 x_i}{ds^2} + \sum_{jk} \Gamma^i_{jk} \frac{dx_j}{ds} \frac{dx_k}{ds} = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \Gamma^i_{jk} := \frac{1}{2} \sum_m G_{im} \left(\frac{\partial g_{mk}}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial g_{mj}}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x_m} \right).$$

Generalized to four-dimensional space with the appropriate generalization of the Minkowski metric, $\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$ is called the **Christoffel symbol** or **affine connection**.