

M M

Current loops have magnetic moments. Rotating charge distributions as well.

$$\vec{m} = \frac{1}{2} \int \vec{r} \times \vec{J}(\vec{r}) d^3r$$

for moving charges $\vec{m} = \frac{1}{2} \int \rho_Q \vec{r} \times \vec{v}(\vec{r}) d^3r$ looks like the angular momentum with $\rho_m \rightarrow \rho_Q$. If ratio is uniform,

$$\frac{\rho_Q}{\rho_m} = \frac{Q}{m} \implies \vec{m} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q}{m} \vec{L} \text{ (SI units)} = \frac{Q}{2mc} \vec{L} \text{ (Gaussian).}$$

If ratio is not constant, there is a correction factor g , with

$$\vec{m} = g \frac{Q}{2mc} \vec{L}.$$

For an electron in an atom, angular momentum is sum of orbital \vec{L} and spin \vec{s} .

spin (rotation) $s_z = \pm \hbar/2$ For atomic-sized particles we call the magnetic moment $\vec{\mu}$ instead of \vec{m} .

Physics 504,
Spring 2010
Electricity
and
Magnetism
Shapiro

Spin and
Thomas
Precession
M
M

Physics 504,
Spring 2010
Electricity
and
Magnetism
Shapiro

Spin and
Thomas
Precession
M
M

A magnetic moment in a uniform \vec{B} has energy $U = -\vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{B}$ and torque $\tau = \vec{\mu} \times \vec{B}$. For a magnetic dipole at rest with respect to observer \mathcal{O}' with angular momentum = spin \vec{s}' , with magnetic moment $\vec{\mu} = \frac{ge}{2mc} \vec{s}'$, we have

$$\frac{d\vec{s}'}{dt'} = \frac{ge}{2mc} \vec{s}' \times \vec{B}', \quad U' = -\frac{ge}{2mc} \vec{s}' \cdot \vec{B}'. \quad (1)$$

The Lorentz transformation $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}'$ is, to first order in \vec{v}/c ,

$$\begin{aligned} A^\mu{}_\nu &= \delta^\mu_\nu - v^\mu \delta_\nu^0/c + v_\nu \delta_0^\mu/c \text{ so} \\ F^{ij} &= F^{ij} - v^i F^{0j}/c + v_j F^{i0}/c \\ \implies -\epsilon_{ij\ell} B'_\ell &= -\epsilon_{ij\ell} B_\ell + 2v^i E_j/c, \end{aligned}$$

or $\vec{B}' = \vec{B} - \frac{\vec{v}}{c} \times \vec{E}$ to order $\mathcal{O}(v^2/c^2)$.

Suppose \mathcal{O} = atom, \mathcal{O}' = electron with potential energy $V(r)$, so

$$e\vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla}V(\vec{r}) = -\frac{\vec{r}}{r} \frac{dV}{dr},$$

Physics 504,
Spring 2010
Electricity
and
Magnetism
Shapiro

Spin and
Thomas
Precession
M
M

Physics 504,
Spring 2010
Electricity
and
Magnetism
Shapiro

Spin and
Thomas
Precession
M
M

Energy includes

- ▶ dipole energy, $\frac{ge}{2mc} \vec{s}' \times \vec{B}$, gives correct anomalous Zeeman effect with the expected (from Dirac) $g = 2$, and
- ▶ spin-orbit, fine-structure splitting,

$$-\frac{g}{2m^2 c^2} \vec{s}' \times \vec{L} \frac{1}{r} \frac{dV}{dr}$$

better fit to experiment with $g = 1$!

What did we do wrong?

We will discuss this two ways

- 1) We will examine more carefully the Lorentz transformations from \mathcal{O} to \mathcal{O}' , and do better at asking who \mathcal{O}' is.
- 2) We will figure out how to express these things co-variantly, so we don't need to worry about doing LT's.

First, fixing our Lorentz transformations,

Physics 504,
Spring 2010
Electricity
and
Magnetism
Shapiro

Spin and
Thomas
Precession
M
M

Physics 504,
Spring 2010
Electricity
and
Magnetism
Shapiro

Spin and
Thomas
Precession
M
M

For orbital motion, we certainly have $\rho_Q/\rho_m = e/m$ constant, so we expect $g = 1, \vec{\mu} = e\vec{L}/2mc$. For spin, no classical picture, but Dirac equation gives a different value, $g = 2$.

The magnetic moment can be measured in a constant magnetic field, as $U = -\vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{B}$. Particles have quantized angular momenta $\hbar\ell$, with ℓ an integer, and the component in the direction of the magnetic field (say z) is restricted to $L_z = m\hbar$, m an integer $\in [-\ell, \ell]$, with an odd number of possible values. The split levels is known as the *Zeeman effect*.

Before spin, expect an odd number, *normal Zeeman*, and $g = 1$ with spin, *anomalous Zeeman*, can have even number, and $g \neq 1$.

Then Eq. 1: $\frac{d\vec{s}'}{dt'} = \frac{ge}{2mc} \vec{s}' \times \vec{B}', \quad U' = -\frac{ge}{2mc} \vec{s}' \cdot \vec{B}'$

seems to give

$$\begin{aligned} U' &= -\vec{\mu} \cdot \left(\vec{B} - \frac{\vec{v}}{c} \times \vec{E} \right) \\ &= -\frac{ge}{2mc} \vec{s}' \cdot \vec{B} - \frac{g}{2mc^2} \vec{s}' \cdot (\vec{v} \times \vec{r}) \frac{1}{r} \frac{dV}{dr} \\ &= -\frac{ge}{2mc} \vec{s}' \cdot \vec{B} + \frac{g}{2m^2 c^2} \vec{s}' \cdot \vec{L} \frac{1}{r} \frac{dV}{dr}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{d\vec{s}'}{dt'} = \frac{ge}{2mc} \vec{s}' \times \vec{B} - \frac{g}{2m^2 c^2} \vec{s}' \times \vec{L} \frac{1}{r} \frac{dV}{dr} \quad (3)$$

But this is not verified experimentally!

Two boosts contain a rotation

- 1) What did we do here?
 - a) We have a \vec{B} and \vec{E} in the "lab", the rest frame of the atom, and we transformed to the frame \mathcal{O}' with respect to whom the electron was at rest (momentarily) at time t , with a pure boost.
 - b) \mathcal{O}' calculated how much \vec{s}' would change in time Δt .
 - c) we transformed back to the lab. (this may not have been obvious, because to first order in v we don't expect U and \vec{s} to change.) We used a pure boost. But \vec{v} does change in the time Δt ! So the combined boosts were actually $A^\mu{}_\nu(-\vec{v} - \Delta\vec{v})A^\nu{}_\rho(\vec{v})$. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-(\vec{v} + \Delta\vec{v}) \cdot \vec{K}} e^{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{K}} &= \mathbb{I} - \Delta\vec{v} \cdot \vec{K} + \frac{1}{2} v_i (\Delta v)_j [K_i, K_j] + \mathcal{O}(v^2 \Delta v) \\ &= \mathbb{I} - \Delta\vec{v} \cdot \vec{K} - \frac{1}{2} (\vec{v} \times \Delta\vec{v}) \cdot \vec{S}. \end{aligned}$$

The last term means there is an apparent rotation in the lab even if the electron did not rotate in its rest frame!

Thomas precession

There is a Thomas precession

$$\vec{\omega}_T = -\frac{1}{2}\vec{v} \times \vec{a} = -\frac{1}{2m}\vec{v} \times \vec{F} = -\frac{1}{2m}\vec{r} \times \vec{v} \frac{dV}{dr}.$$

Adding $\vec{\omega}_T \times \vec{s}'$ into the equation for $\frac{d\vec{s}'}{dt}$ we have the corrected formula

$$\frac{d\vec{s}'}{dt'} = \vec{s}' \times \left(\frac{ge}{2mc}\vec{B} - \frac{g-1}{2m^2c^2}\vec{L} \frac{1}{r} \frac{dV}{dr} \right).$$

This same change needs to be made in the energy expression¹, changing the g in the fine structure term to $g-1$. As g is very nearly 2, $g-1$ is very nearly not there.

This is a good physical argument, but perhaps you are worried about signs? Let's be more formal.

¹why? $\tau = -i\vec{L}U = \mu \times \vec{C} \implies U = -\mu \cdot \vec{C}$ See lecture notes.

Physics 504,
Spring 2010
Electricity
and
Magnetism

Shapiro

Spin and
Thomas
Precession
M
M

Covariant treatment of spin

Spin is angular momentum, $s_i \sim \epsilon_{ijk}\mathcal{L}_{jk}$, a 3-vector which is the angular momentum *in the rest frame of the particle*. So we can use $U^\alpha = (c, 0, 0, 0)$ in that frame, to define $S^\alpha \sim \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\zeta}U_\beta\mathcal{L}_{\gamma\zeta}$.

S^α is a 4-vector but $U_\alpha S^\alpha = 0$, only three independent components, all spatial in the rest frame of the particle. In the rest frame, the first of Eq. (1) is

$$\frac{dS^i}{d\tau} = \frac{ge}{2mc}\epsilon_{ijk}S^j(-\epsilon_{k\ell p}F^{\ell p}/2) = (ge/2mc)S^\ell F^i{}_\ell.$$

Can we simply replace i with α to get a 4-D equation? No, we only know it to be true in the rest frame, it doesn't determine $U_\alpha dS^\alpha/d\tau$. But we may write

$$\frac{dS^\alpha}{d\tau} - \frac{1}{c^2}U^\alpha U_\beta \frac{dS^\beta}{d\tau} = \frac{ge}{2mc} \left(F^\alpha{}_\beta S^\beta - \frac{1}{c^2}U^\alpha U_\zeta F^\zeta{}_\beta S^\beta \right),$$

Physics 504,
Spring 2010
Electricity
and
Magnetism

Shapiro

Spin and
Thomas
Precession
M
M

As $U_\alpha S^\alpha = 0$ at all times, $U_\alpha dS^\alpha/d\tau = -(dU_\alpha/d\tau)S^\alpha$. If the only force on the particle is the Lorentz force²

$$\frac{dU_\alpha}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{m} \frac{dp_\alpha}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{m} \frac{e}{c} F_{\alpha\beta} U^\beta.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dS^\alpha}{d\tau} &= \frac{ge}{2mc} \left(F^\alpha{}_\beta S^\beta - \frac{1}{c^2}U^\alpha U_\zeta F^\zeta{}_\beta S^\beta \right) - \frac{e}{mc^3} U^\alpha S^\beta F_{\beta\gamma} U^\gamma \\ &= \frac{e}{mc} \left[\frac{g}{2} F^\alpha{}_\beta S^\beta + \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{g}{2} - 1 \right) U^\alpha S_\beta F^{\beta\zeta} U_\zeta \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

²We assume so, with \vec{B} uniform. See lecture notes for complications.

Physics 504,
Spring 2010
Electricity
and
Magnetism

Shapiro

Spin and
Thomas
Precession
M
M

In rest frame $S'^\mu = (0, \vec{s})$, so applying a Lorentz transformation

$$S^0 = \gamma \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{s}, \quad \vec{S} = \vec{s} + \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma+1} (\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{s}) \vec{\beta}.$$

[To see this, first take $\vec{v} \parallel x$, then replace $s_x \rightarrow \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{s}/\beta$. To compare with previous result, which is $\mathcal{O}(v^1)$, care is needed, because $d\vec{\beta}/d\tau = e\vec{E}/mc$ is zeroth order in v . So

$$\frac{d\vec{s}}{d\tau} = \frac{d\vec{S}}{d\tau} - \frac{e}{2mc^2} \left[(\vec{s} \cdot \vec{E})\vec{v} + (\vec{s} \cdot \vec{v})\vec{E} \right],$$

and using (4),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dS_i}{d\tau} &= \frac{e}{mc} \left[\frac{g}{2} F^i{}_j s_j + \frac{g}{2} F^i{}_0 \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{s} - \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{g}{2} - 1 \right) v_i s_j F^{j0} c \right] \\ \frac{d\vec{S}}{d\tau} &= \frac{e}{mc} \left[\frac{g}{2} \vec{s} \times \vec{B} + \frac{g}{2} (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{s}) \vec{E}/c - \frac{1}{c} \left(\frac{g}{2} - 1 \right) \vec{v} (\vec{s} \cdot \vec{E}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Physics 504,
Spring 2010
Electricity
and
Magnetism

Shapiro

Spin and
Thomas
Precession
M
M

To repeat:

$$\frac{d\vec{s}}{d\tau} = \frac{d\vec{S}}{d\tau} - \frac{e}{2mc^2} \left[(\vec{s} \cdot \vec{E})\vec{v} + (\vec{s} \cdot \vec{v})\vec{E} \right] \quad \text{to } \mathcal{O}(v),$$

$$\frac{d\vec{S}}{d\tau} = \frac{e}{mc} \left[\frac{g}{2} \vec{s} \times \vec{B} + \frac{g}{2} (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{s}) \vec{E}/c - \frac{1}{c} \left(\frac{g}{2} - 1 \right) \vec{v} (\vec{s} \cdot \vec{E}) \right].$$

Putting the terms together, to first order in v ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\vec{s}}{d\tau} &= \frac{e}{mc} \left[\frac{g}{2} \vec{s} \times \vec{B} + \frac{g}{2} (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{s}) \vec{E}/c - \frac{1}{c} \left(\frac{g}{2} - 1 \right) \vec{v} (\vec{s} \cdot \vec{E}) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{e}{2mc^2} \left[(\vec{s} \cdot \vec{E})\vec{v} + (\vec{s} \cdot \vec{v})\vec{E} \right] \\ &= \frac{e}{mc} \vec{s} \times \left[\frac{g}{2} \vec{B} - \frac{g-1}{2c} \vec{v} \times \vec{E} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Notice the \vec{E} term has $g-1$

$g \approx$

The Dirac equation predicts $g=2$ for the electron. This is not the full story, because there are small corrections from Quantum Field Theory.

For $g=2$, the second term in

$$\frac{d\vec{s}}{d\tau} = \frac{d\vec{S}}{d\tau} - \frac{e}{2mc^2} \left[(\vec{s} \cdot \vec{E})\vec{v} + (\vec{s} \cdot \vec{v})\vec{E} \right],$$

vanishes. If we have a pure magnetic field (with $F^0{}_\beta = 0$), the zero'th component vanishes as well, so $S^0 = \gamma \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{s}$ is a constant. But so are γ and $|\beta|$, so the helicity $\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{s}$ is conserved.

Thus the precession of helicity is a very sensitive way to measure $g-2$.

Physics 504,
Spring 2010
Electricity
and
Magnetism

Shapiro

Spin and
Thomas
Precession
M
M

Test of Quantum Field Theory

In quantum field theory there are many higher order (in $e^2/4\pi\hbar c \approx 1/137$) which give corrections. Checking the measured values of $g - 2$ against the (extremely complicated) theoretical calculations is the finest check on theoretical ideas in science.

Experiment says³

$$\frac{g - 2}{2} = \begin{array}{l} 0.001\,159\,652\,180\,73 \\ \pm 0.000\,000\,000\,000\,28 \end{array}$$

and theory says⁴

$$\frac{g - 2}{2} = \begin{array}{l} 0.001\,159\,652\,182\,79 \\ \pm 0.000\,000\,000\,000\,71 \end{array}$$

certainly one of the most accurately measured quantities in physics.

³Hanneke, Fogwell, Gabrielse, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 120801 (2008). 34.

⁴Aoyama, Hayakawa, Kinoshita, Nio, Phys. Rev. D 77, 053012 (2008)