

## Ampère's Law — Project 1 Solution

We need to derive the macroscopic form of Ampère's law, including the displacement current.

Start with Ampère's law in the microscopic description,

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{b}(\vec{x}, t) - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{e}(\vec{x}, t) = \mu_0 \vec{j}(\vec{x}, t),$$

where  $\vec{b}$  and  $\vec{e}$  are the microscopic fields and  $\vec{j}(\vec{x}, t)$  is the microscopic current density<sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{j}(\vec{x}, t) &= \sum_{j \text{ free}} q_j \vec{v}_j \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_j) \\ &+ \sum_{n \text{ mol}} \sum_{j(n)} q_j (\vec{v}_n + \vec{v}_{jn}) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n - \vec{x}_{jn}). \end{aligned}$$

Here, as in our treatment of the macroscopic version of Gauss' law,  $q_j$  are the point charges, divided into the free ones and the ones belonging to molecules. Again  $n$  indexes molecules at positions  $\vec{x}_n$  (and velocities  $\vec{v}_n$ ) and  $\vec{x}_{jn}$  is the relative position of the  $j$ 'th charge of the  $n$ 'th molecule from its center, with  $\vec{v}_{jn} = \frac{d\vec{x}_{jn}}{dt}$ .

As the smearing commutes with  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ , upon smearing the left hand side becomes  $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}(\vec{x}, t) - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{E}(\vec{x}, t)$  while

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{j}(\vec{x}, t) \rangle &= \int d^3x' f(\vec{x} - \vec{x}') \left( \sum_{j \text{ free}} q_j \vec{v}_j \delta(\vec{x}' - \vec{x}_j) \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{n \text{ mol}} \sum_{j(n)} q_j (\vec{v}_n + \vec{v}_{jn}) \delta(\vec{x}' - \vec{x}_n - \vec{x}_{jn}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The delta functions means we need  $f(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_j)$  for the free charges and

$$\begin{aligned} f(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n - \vec{x}_{jn}) &\approx f(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) - \sum_{\mu} \vec{x}_{jn\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}} f(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu\nu} \vec{x}_{jn\mu} \vec{x}_{jn\nu} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{\mu} \partial x_{\nu}} f(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>We are assuming the motion is non-relativistic, and ignoring any fundamental term from the spin of elementary particles.

for the bound charges.

Treating  $\vec{v}_{jn}$  as the same order as  $\vec{x}_{jn}$ , the zeroth order term is

$$\vec{J}(\vec{x}, t) := \left\langle \sum_{j \text{ free}} q_j \vec{v}_j \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_j) + \sum_{n \text{ mol}} \sum_{j(n)} q_j \vec{v}_n \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) \right\rangle$$

The first order term is

$$\sum_{n \text{ mol}} \sum_{j(n)} q_j \left( \frac{\partial \vec{x}_{jn}}{\partial t} f(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) - \frac{d\vec{x}_n}{dt} \sum_{\mu} x_{jn\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}} f(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) \right)$$

Recall  $\vec{P}(\vec{x}, t) = \sum_{n,j} \vec{q}_j \vec{x}_{jn} f(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n)$ , so the time derivative of the macroscopic polarization is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{P}(\vec{x}, t) = \sum_{n \text{ mol}} \left( \frac{d\vec{p}_n}{dt} f(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) - \vec{p}_n \sum_{\mu} \frac{dx_{n\mu}}{dt} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}} f(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) \right).$$

With  $\vec{p}_n = \sum_{j(n)} q_j \vec{x}_{jn}$ , we see that the last two expressions are similar, differing only in which index gets contracted.

So the  $\alpha$ 'th component of first order term in  $\vec{j}(\vec{x}, t)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_{\alpha}(\vec{x}, t) \\ & + \sum_{\substack{n \text{ mol} \\ j(n)}} q_j \sum_{\beta} \left( x_{jn\alpha} \frac{dx_{n\beta}}{dt} - x_{jn\beta} \frac{dx_{n\alpha}}{dt} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}} f(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n). \end{aligned}$$

As  $\sum_{j(n)} q_j x_{jn\alpha} = p_{n\alpha}$ , the second row here is

$$\sum_{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}} \left\langle \sum_n (p_{n\alpha} v_{n\beta} - p_{n\beta} v_{n\alpha}) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) \right\rangle. \quad (1)$$

This agrees with the second line of Jackson 6.96

Jackson claims we should define a *molecular magnetic moment*

$$\vec{m}_n = \sum_{j(n)} \frac{q_j}{2} (\vec{x}_{jn} \times \vec{v}_{jn})$$

and the *macroscopic magnetization*

$$\vec{M}(\vec{x}, t) = \left\langle \sum_{n \text{ mol}} \vec{m}_n \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) \right\rangle.$$

His equation for  $\langle j_\alpha(\vec{x}, t) \rangle$  contains a term

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} M_\gamma(\vec{x}, t) = \\ \sum_{\beta\gamma\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{\gamma\mu\nu} \sum_{n \text{ mol}} \sum_{j(n)} \frac{q_j}{2} x_{jn\mu} v_{jn\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} f(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of  $\vec{D}$  (Jackson 6.92), there is one more term in  $\partial(D - \epsilon_0 E)/\partial t$ , which is

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sum_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} \mathbf{Q}_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n,j,\beta} q_j \frac{d}{dt} (x_{jn\alpha} x_{jn\beta}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} f(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n,\beta} \left( \sum_{j(n)} q_j x_{jn\alpha} x_{jn\beta} \right) \sum_\gamma v_{n\gamma} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\beta \partial x_\gamma} f(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n). \end{aligned}$$

The first line here and the magnetization expression both involve

$\sum_{n,j} \frac{q_j}{2} x_{jn\mu} v_{jn\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} f(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n)$ , the magnetization term contracted into  $\sum_\gamma \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{\gamma\mu\nu} = \delta_{\alpha\mu} \delta_{\beta\nu} - \delta_{\alpha\nu} \delta_{\beta\mu}$ , and the  $D - \epsilon E$  term contracted into  $-\delta_{\alpha\mu} \delta_{\beta\nu} - \delta_{\alpha\nu} \delta_{\beta\mu}$ , so the two add to

$$-\sum_{n,j} q_j x_{jn\beta} v_{jn\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} f(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n).$$

The second line is

$$\frac{1}{6} \sum_n \sum_{\beta\gamma} v_{n\gamma} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\beta \partial x_\gamma} \langle \mathbf{Q}_{n\alpha\beta} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) \rangle$$

All together,

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{M})_\alpha - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sum_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} \mathbf{Q}_{\alpha\beta} \\ = -\sum_{n,j,\beta} q_j x_{jn\beta} v_{jn\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} f(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) \\ + \frac{1}{6} \sum_n \sum_{\beta\gamma} v_{n\gamma} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\beta \partial x_\gamma} \langle \mathbf{Q}_{n\alpha\beta} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

How does this compare to what we need for  $\langle j_\alpha(\vec{x}, t) \rangle$ ?

The second order (in  $x_{jn}$  and  $v_{jn}$ ) terms in  $\langle j_\alpha(\vec{x}, t) \rangle$  are

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{n \text{ mol} \\ j(n)}} q_j \left( v_{n\alpha} x_{nj\beta} x_{nj\gamma} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\beta \partial x_\gamma} - v_{nj\alpha} x_{nj\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} \right) f(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \sum_n v_{n\alpha} \sum_{\beta\gamma} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\beta \partial x_\gamma} \langle \mathbf{Q}_{n\beta\gamma} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) \rangle + (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{M})_\alpha \\ & \quad - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} \mathbf{Q}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{6} \sum_n v_{n\beta\gamma} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\beta \partial x_\gamma} \langle \mathbf{Q}_{n\alpha\beta} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This verifies Jackson's equation 6.96. As the expression averaged in (1) is antisymmetric in  $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$ , we can write (1) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum \epsilon_{\alpha\mu\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} \epsilon_{\gamma\mu\nu} \left\langle \sum_n p_{n\mu} v_{n\nu} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) \right\rangle \\ &= \left( \vec{\nabla} \times \left\langle \sum_n \vec{p}_n \times \vec{v}_n \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) \right\rangle \right)_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

The same applies to the quadripole terms, where we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{6} \sum_{\beta\gamma\mu\rho} \epsilon_{\rho\alpha\gamma} \epsilon_{\rho\mu\nu} \sum_n v_{n\mu} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\beta \partial x_\gamma} \langle \mathbf{Q}_{n\nu\beta} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) \rangle \\ &= \left( \vec{\nabla} \times \sum_n \left( \vec{v}_n \times \sum_\nu \hat{e}_\nu \sum_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} \langle \mathbf{Q}_{n\nu\beta} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) \rangle \right) \right)_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

$\vec{H}$  at last

Let us define the *magnetic field* as

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{H} &= \frac{1}{\mu_0} \vec{B} - \vec{M} - \left\langle \sum_n \vec{p}_n \times \vec{v}_n \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) \right\rangle \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{6} \sum_n \left( \vec{v}_n \times \sum_\nu \hat{e}_\nu \sum_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} \langle \mathbf{Q}_{n\nu\beta} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then Ampère's microscopic law smeared,  $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} - (1/c^2) \partial \vec{E} / \partial t = \mu_0 \langle j(\vec{x}, t) \rangle$  gives us Ampère + Maxwell in media:

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t} = \vec{J}(\vec{x}, t).$$

$$\text{with } \vec{J}(\vec{x}, t) := \left\langle \sum_{j \text{ free}} q_j \vec{v}_j \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_j) + \sum_{n \text{ mol}} \sum_{j(n)} q_j \vec{v}_n \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_n) \right\rangle$$

For most purposes, we may drop the terms other than  $\vec{M}$  in the difference between  $\vec{B}$  and  $\mu_0 \vec{H}$ .