Antiferroelectricity in oxides: a reexamination
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The fundamental physics of antiferroelectric oxides and their properties are reviewed. First, the difficulties in formulating a precise definition of antiferroelectricity are discussed, drawing on previous discussion in the literature. We arrive at the following definition: an antiferroelectric is like a ferroelectric in that its structure is obtained through distortion of a nonpolar high-symmetry reference phase; for ferroelectrics, the distortion is polar, while for antiferroelectrics it is nonpolar. However, not all nonpolar phases thus obtained are antiferroelectric: in addition, there must be an alternative low-energy ferroelectric phase obtained by a polar distortion of the same high-symmetry reference structure, and an applied electric field must induce a first-order transition from the antiferroelectric phase to this ferroelectric phase, producing a characteristic P-E double-hysteresis loop.

For analysis of the characteristic properties of antiferroelectrics, we use Landau theory functionals. The microscopic origins of the macroscopic behavior are examined. This is fairly straightforward for systems with clearly-defined reorientable local-dipolar entities, such as antiferroelectric liquid crystals and hydrogen-bonded antiferroelectrics, and these systems are not discussed in any detail in this article. The main focus is on the subtler case of antiferroelectric oxides, for which models based on symmetry-adapted lattice modes prove to be useful. Specific examples of antiferroelectric oxides are presented with available first principles results and discussion of the role of compositional tuning in producing antiferroelectricity, and the nature of the electric-field-induced ferroelectric phases. In thin films and superlattices, additional tuning is possible through the effects of strain and finite size. The article concludes with some remarks on materials design, including the optimization of properties relevant to technological applications of antiferroelectrics.

PACS numbers: 81.05.Zx

INTRODUCTION

As the result of progress both in synthesis and in first-principles studies, there has been a lot of interest and progress in the investigation and rational design of functional materials with enhanced or novel properties [1–8]. Insofar as functional properties arise from coupling to macroscopic fields and stresses, attention has focused on ferroic materials: ferromagnets, ferroelectrics, piezoelectrics, and multiferroic combinations, with order parameters that have macroscopic conjugate fields. The potential of antiferroic materials for functional properties is less obvious, since an antiferroic order parameter does not couple directly to a macroscopic field, but rather to a microscopic staggered field. However, such materials can also exhibit characteristic functional behavior in applied macroscopic fields. Antiferromagnets have been well-studied [9]. Here, we consider the electric analogue: antiferroelectrics. The definition of antiferroelectricity is in general more subtle than for antiferromagnets, as only certain classes of materials have well-defined localized electric dipoles that can be regarded as analogues of the local magnetic moments in antiferromagnets. In this paper, these materials, which include hydrogen-bonded antiferroelectrics and antiferroelectric liquid crystals, will not be discussed. Rather, the focus is on antiferroelectricity in oxides, which requires a more broadly applicable definition of antiferroelectricity and criteria for establishing a material as antiferroelectric.

As will be discussed more fully below, antiferroelectrics are characterized by an antipolar crystal structure with a related ferroelectric polar structure at low free energy. As recognized by Shirane in the earliest studies of antiferroelectric perovskite oxides [10, 11], the competition between ferroelectric and antiferroelectric phases is an intrinsic feature of antiferroelectrics (Figure 1). The free energy difference is in general tunable in a variety of ways, including composition substitution, epitaxial strain and size effects. Therefore, proximity to ferroelectric phases though a first-order phase boundary in the phase diagram is an important indication of antiferroelectricity. The most characteristic property resulting from the low free-energy difference is the electric-field induced transition from the antiferroelectric to the related ferroelectric state in a double hysteresis loop (Figure 2). In systems where the two phases have different cell volume or shape, this transition is accompanied by large nonlinear strain responses. Other characteristic properties include dielectric anomalies at the antiferroelectric-paraelectric transition in zero field, large electrostriction coefficients and giant electrocaloric effects [13, 14]. The types of applications this functionality would enable [15–17] include high-energy storage capacitors, electrocaloric refrigerators, high-strain actuators and transducers. For
realization of these applications, a number of materials properties, including operating temperature, critical electric fields, strain change at the field-induced transition, and switching time and reversibility of the field-induced transition, need to be optimized. This tuning is accomplished largely through compositional substitution, leading to multi-component materials with complex stoichiometries.

In this article, I review aspects of the fundamental physics of antiferroelectric materials and their properties. First, the difficulties in formulating a precise definition of antiferroelectricity are discussed, drawing on previous discussion in the literature. For analysis of the characteristic properties of antiferroelectrics, we use Landau theory functionals. The microscopic origins of the macroscopic behavior are examined. This is fairly straightforward for systems with clearly-defined reorientable dipolar entities, such as antiferroelectric liquid crystals and hydrogen-bonded antiferroelectrics, and these systems are not discussed in any detail in this article. The main focus is on the subtler case of antiferroelectric oxides, for which models based on symmetry-adapted lattice modes prove to be useful. Specific examples of antiferroelectric oxides are presented with available first principles results and discussion of the role of compositional tuning in producing antiferroelectricity, and the nature of the electric-field-induced ferroelectric phases. In thin films, additional tuning is possible through the effects of strain and finite size. The article concludes with some remarks on materials design, including the optimization of properties relevant to technological applications of antiferroelectrics.

**DEFINITION AND CHARACTERISTIC PROPERTIES**

According to the standard reference book by Lines and Glass [18], an antiferroelectric is a phase obtained by condensation of a nonpolar lattice mode which “exhibits large dielectric anomalies near the transition temperature and which can be transformed to an induced ferroelectric phase by application of an electric field.” A similar definition, emphasizing that the concept of antiferroelectricity is “based not only upon the crystal structure, but also upon the dielectric behavior of the crystal,” appears in a recent overview article in Landolt Bornstein [19]. There is a close analogy to the definition for a ferroelectric as a phase obtained by condensation of a polar lattice mode, which can be switched between two or more symmetry-related variants by an applied electric field. These definitions of both ferroelectrics and antiferroelectrics are often extended to include the possibility that the transition temperature is above the decomposition temperature of the material, or that the critical electric field is above (but not too far above) the breakdown field of the material. The definition clearly distinguishes antiferroelectrics from the much larger group of materials with centrosymmetric structures which can be described by unit cells with oppositely directed dipoles generated by ionic displacements from a higher-symmetry reference structure, but without any distinctive behavior in applied electric field.

Other formulations of the definition of antiferroelectricity are more closely based on the analogy to antiferromagnetism, with a staggered electric polarization playing the role of the staggered magnetization. In the simplest cases, the formulation of the staggered polarization involves identification in the crystal structure of two (or more) symmetry-related sublattices of polarized ions or molecular units with opposite polarization; this is, in essence, the definition introduced in 1951 by Kittel [20]. An energetic criterion was subsequently added to this
structure-based definition by Shirane [10, 11], yielding a
definition of an antiferroelectric [21] as an antipolar crys-
tal whose free energy is comparable to that of the polar
crystal obtained by aligning the sublattice polarizations.
This extends the analogy to antiferromagnetism, with a
transition to a ferroelectric phase being induced by an
applied electric field.

The importance of both structural and energetic as-
pects of the definition of antiferroelectrics can be made
clear using a Landau energy functional formulation. Us-
ing the concept of a well-defined sublattice polarization
as a starting point, Kittel [20] established some charac-
teristic properties of antiferroelectrics by introducing the
free energy expression

\[ A(P_a, P_b, T) = A_0 + f(P_a^2 + P_b^2) + gP_aP_b + h(P_a^4 + P_b^4) + j(P_a^6 + P_b^6) - P_a^2P_b^2F \]

where \( P_a \) and \( P_b \) are the polarizations of two sublattices
and \( E \) is the electric field, with \( g > 0 \) favoring the
antipolar alignment of the two sublattices. This can be
transformed into a Landau functional [22–24]

\[ G_1(P_F, P_A, T) = (1/2)(f + g/2)P_F^2 + (1/2)(f - g/2)P_A^2 + (h/8)(P_F^4 + P_A^4) + j(P_F^6 + P_A^6) - P_F^2P_A^2F \]

where \( P_F = P_a + P_b \) is the macroscopic polarization and
\( P_A = P_a - P_b \) is the staggered polarization. Temperature
dependence is introduced into the quadratic coefficients
as \( f(T) = g/2 + \lambda(T - T_c) \), so that the quadratic coef-
ficient of \( P_A \) vanishes at \( T_c \) and \( \lambda > 0 \) corresponds to
a low-temperature antiferroelectric phase. The tempera-
ture dependences of the quadratic terms in \( P_F \) and \( P_A \)
are linked, as are the quartic coefficients, by the original
two-sublattice model. Depending on the choice of param-
eters, the transition can be first or second order. For a
second order transition, \( h > 0 \) and the sixth order terms can
be neglected. There is a phase transition from the high-
 temperature phase to the antiferroelectric phase at
\( T_c \), below which the spontaneous polarization of the sub-
lattices and thus \( P_A \) become nonzero. The temperature
dependence of the dielectric constant is such that it is a
maximum at the Curie temperature and changes slope
slightly. For a first order transition, \( h < 0 \) and \( j > 0 \),
and there will be a discontinuity in the sublattice po-
lariztion and the dielectric constant at the paraelectric-
antiferroelectric transition.

Using this function, the analysis of the behavior in ap-
plied electric field is straightforward [22–24]. For values
of the parameters giving antiferroelectric states in zero
field, double hysteresis loops are obtained, correspond-
ing to the loss of local stability of the higher energy
state. This assumption yields a hysteresis loop that will
in general be wider than would be expected in a real
system, where more complex switching mechanisms may
allow the system to overcome the barrier to the lower-
free-energy phase before the loss of local stability of the
higher energy state. Antiferroelectrics also exhibit an
electrocaloric effect, with a change in temperature with
applied field under adiabatic conditions [13], which can
be described with a thermodynamic analysis.

In addition to characteristic electrical properties, ant-
iferroelectrics exhibit characteristic properties related
to coupling of the sublattice polarizations to strain;
polarization-strain coupling is significant in many per-
ovskite ferroelectrics [25]. Most nonpolar space groups
forbid piezoelectricity (one exception being \( P4\bar{3}m \)) and
therefore most antiferroelectrics are not piezoelectric.
Symmetry does not forbid electrostriction. A change in
the temperature dependence of the lattice parameters,
with an accompanying effect on dielectric properties, is
generally observed at the transition from the antiferro-
electric to the paraelectric phase. There is also generally
a lattice parameter change at the electric-field-induced
antiferroelectric-ferroelectric transition; in \( \text{PbZrO}_3 \), this
takes the form of a large volume expansion [26]. In that
case, the transition back to the antiferroelectric state can
also be driven by compressive stress at fields close to the
critical field [27, 28]. This corresponds to the observa-
tion that an antiferroelectric phase is generally stabilized
\( \Delta P^2 \) – \( P_F E \).

An important refinement of the model involves intro-
ducing the coupling of the antiferroelectric and ferro-
electric order parameters to strain [31]. The addition of
strain terms is generally at lowest order. A simple model
incorporating hydrostatic pressure and volume change
was introduced in Ref. 32 via a transformation from a
Kittel model generalized to include strain:

\[ G_1 = \frac{1}{2} \alpha P_F^2 + P_A^2 + \frac{\beta}{8}(P_F^4 + 6P_F^2P_A^2 + P_A^4) + \frac{\gamma}{24}(P_F^6 + 15P_F^4P_A^2 + 15P_F^2P_A^4 + P_A^6) \]

where \( p \) is the hydrostatic pressure and \( Q \) and \( \Omega \) are
electrostrictive coefficients. Choice of \( \beta < 0, \gamma > 0 \) and
\( \eta > 0 \) gives a first-order antiferroelectric transition. \( \alpha \)
is the only temperature-dependent parameter, with an
assumed linear dependence \( \frac{d\alpha}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \), where \( \epsilon_0 \) is
the permittivity of free space and \( C \) is the Curie-Weiss con-
stant. Analysis of the model shows that the antiferro-
electric transition temperature \( T_N(p) \) depends on
pressure according to \( T_N(p) = T_N - 2Q\epsilon_0 C(1 - \Omega)p \).
If \( \Omega < 0 \), there is the possibility of a antiferroelectric-to-
ferroelectric phase transition with increasing pressure at a
critical pressure \( (\eta/2Q)|\Omega| \); conversely, if \( \Omega > 0 \), a
material can be driven from a ferroelectric to an anti-
ferroelectric phase with applied pressure. In the latter
case, a poled ferroelectric ceramic releases all polarization
charges and can supply very high instantaneous current
[33]. Finally, the volume change and entropy change at
the antiferroelectric-paraelectric transition are related to
the sublattice polarization \( P_A \) by \( \Delta V/V = Q(1 - \Omega)P_A^2 \)
and \( \Delta S = \{(1/2)\epsilon_0 C\}P_A^4 \). By fitting to experimental data,
the Q value for \( \text{PbZrO}_3 \) (2.03 x 10^{-2} m^2 C^{-2}) was found
to be similar to that of perovskite ferroelectrics such as
\( \text{BaTiO}_3 \) and \( \text{PbTiO}_3 \), while that for \( \text{Pb(Mg_1/2W_1/2)} \)O_3
is several times larger; the difference was associated with

the degree of cation disorder.

Models are valuable for a unified description of the properties of antiferroelectrics. They are also useful for the study of individual materials, such as PbZrO$_3$, for which a detailed phenomenological model has been obtained [34], and can be used as well to predict the behavior of epitaxially strained thin films and thin layers in superlattices.

**MICROSCOPIC ORIGINS OF MACROSCOPIC BEHAVIOR**

The question now becomes that of identifying antiferroelectric materials and relating their macroscopic behavior to microscopic aspects of the crystal structure and energetics. To make this macroscopic-microscopic connection, we begin by considering simple microscopic models in which the degrees of freedom are reorientable localized electric dipoles on a bipartite lattice, so that the lattice sites can be divided into two sublattices, with each site being neighbor by sites in the other sublattice. Early theoretical studies [20, 35, 37] took the interactions between dipoles to be given simply by the electrostatic dipole-dipole interaction. However, it has been well established in first-principles studies of ferroelectricity that short-range interactions deviate strongly from this asymptotic form, and that the form of the short-range interactions dominates the phase energetics [38, 39]. Furthermore, a single nearest-neighbor interaction favoring antialignment is not enough to give the characteristic double-hysteresis loop with a jump in polarization from the antiferroelectric state to the field-induced ferroelectric state [40]. Next-nearest neighbor intra-sublattice interactions to stabilize sublattice polarization formation have been shown to produce a double hysteresis loop in an Ising model (fixed-length dipole with two opposite orientations) [41]. This model yields the correct stabilization of an antiferroelectric phase and a ferroelectric phase with similar energy because a substantial energy is associated with formation of the sublattice polarization, with a smaller energy associated with the difference between alignment and antialignment of the polarizations of the two sublattices.

To connect this genre of models directly to real antiferroelectric materials requires the identification of localized reorientable dipoles occupying well-defined sites in the crystal, in analogy to the case of antiferromagnets. However, isolation of dipoles on two (or more) sublattices is much less common in the case of antiferroelectrics than in antiferromagnets, in which many systems have easily identified localized spins arising from open d or f shells in constituent atoms. Indeed, this has been the subject of much attention in the investigation of ferroelectric materials, where most are not describable as a lattice of separable reorientable dipoles or polarizable entities, and definitions of the polarization incorporate not only ion positions but the quantum mechanical wavefunctions of the electrons [42, 43]. Similarly in the present case, a definition of antiferroelectrics that required localized dipoles would be too restrictive, including only hydrogen bonded antiferroelectrics (in which the dipole is created by the two positions for a hydrogen in the bond), crystals incorporating small reorientable dipolar molecules [37] and, if the requirement for crystallinity is loosened, antiferroelectric liquid crystals where the dipole is associated with a single molecule or molecular subunit.

Another approach to making the macroscopic-microscopic connection is to follow the analogy to the soft mode theory of ferroelectricity. The first step is to identify the lattice modes of definite symmetry in the structure of the antiferroelectric phase relative to a high-symmetry reference structure (generally the paraelectric phase), and then to expand the energy in symmetry invariants to obtain the energy in the form of a Landau functional [44]. A simple Kittel-type antiferroelectric would be characterized by a single lattice mode in which the ions involved are divided into two groups with equal and opposite displacements. This mode would be expected to have a wave vector at the zone boundary, doubling the unit cell; however if the high-symmetry unit cell contains an even number of symmetry-equivalent ions involved in the mode, then the antiferroelectric phase need not have an enlarged unit cell [44].

In the more general case, other types of modes can generate the structure of the antiferroelectric phase. The distortion produced by the mode might involve more than two sublattices, with either collinear or noncollinear sublattice polarizations [45, 46], the only requirement being that the net polarization is zero. The mode might have its wave vector in the interior of the Brillouin zone, and it could be commensurate or incommensurate. Moreover, as we will see in the discussion of individual ferroelectric materials below, the antiferroelectric phase can be described by a group of coupled modes [47], with a primary unstable antipolar mode accompanied by other distortions such as oxygen octahedron rotations to which coupling is symmetry-allowed, lowering the energy of the phase.

The other key ingredient for an antiferroelectric is the presence of a low-energy alternative ferroelectric phase, which we presume to be obtained as a distortion of the same high-symmetry reference structure. The latter condition promotes a small energy difference and ease of transformation between the two phases. For the simple Kittel-type antiferroelectric, this is described by including in the model the single polar mode which is obtained from the antipolar mode by reversing the polarization of one of the two sublattices. In the more general case, the ferroelectric phase is generated by a more general zone-center polar mode and may be accompanied by other modes that are observed to be present in the low-energy
alternative ferroelectric phase. The relation of this polar mode to the primary unstable antipolar mode might be more complex than simple alignment of antipolar ionic displacements. In three dimensions, there is also the possibility that the direction of the polarization of the ferroelectric phase could be different from the direction of the sublattice polarizations of the antiferroelectric phase and could depend on the direction and magnitude of the applied electric field, so the zone-center polar mode included should be more than one dimensional.

Levanyuk [48] has pointed out that the form of the Landau functional obtained for antiferroelectrics from a Kittel-type model is not specific to a antipolar phase with well-defined sublattices, but describes any system with a nonpolar structural transition. Specifically, any system with a nonpolar structural transition, described by order parameter $\eta$, will have a generic $n^2P^2$ coupling that will give the double hysteresis loops and dielectric anomalies of the Kittel model which we consider to be the defining macroscopic properties of an antiferroelectric, and thus, according to Levanyuk, “the concept of an antiferroelectric state turns out to be superfluous.”

However, this statement is too strong: it should not be inferred from this analysis that any system with a nonpolar structural transition should be considered an antiferroelectric. Particular values of the parameters are required to get a structural transition with an alternative ferroelectric phase at low energy, and thus to produce the characteristic behavior in accessible applied electric fields. The Kittel two-sublattice model, when mapped to the Landau form, leads to relations between the coupling coefficients that satisfy these requirements, though this is a sufficient but not necessary condition for obtaining parameters that give antiferroelectric behavior. So, we propose here to recognize that the “antipolar” character of materials to be regarded as antiferroelectrics in fact varies on a continuum. Classic Kittel two-sublattice systems lie at one extreme. Close to this extreme is the subclass of antiferroelectrics in which the antipolar and polar instabilities are clearly related: the eigenvectors transform directly one into the other with change in wavevector, or belong to the same isolated unstable phonon branch in the high-symmetry reference structure, as computed from first principles. At the other extreme are cases where the relation between the nonpolar and ferroelectric structures is weakened to the level that the two structures are merely distortions of the same high-symmetry reference structure with a small energy difference separating the ferroelectric phase from the nonpolar phase. As there is no clear demarcation point between the two extremes, we include all of these as antiferroelectrics; this is further justified as the materials at this opposite end of the spectrum still exhibit the macroscopic properties considered characteristic of antiferroelectrics.

As an application of this formulation of the definition of antiferroelectricity, we consider orthorhombic $Pnma$ GdFeO$_3$-type perovskites. This structure is generated from the cubic ideal perovskite structure by an M-point oxygen octahedron rotation around [001] combined with an R-point oxygen octahedron rotation around [110]. In this space group, additional lattice modes are symmetry allowed, including a mode at the X point $\frac{2}{3}(001)$ which involves antipolar displacements of the A cations along the orthorhombic a direction (approximately along the primitive perovskite [110] direction); cations in the same x-y plane move together and the displacements alternate from plane to plane. However, this antipolar distortion alone is not sufficient to establish the material as antiferroelectric. In the general case, these displacements are induced by the primary oxygen-octahedron rotation instabilities and cannot be aligned by an applied field, so that there is no related ferroelectric phase at low energy. A $Pnma$ perovskite can be antiferroelectric in the Kittel limit if the antipolar X mode belongs to a unstable phonon branch that includes a related polar instability and is in fact the primary instability, with the oxygen octahedron rotations resulting in a relatively small further lowering of the energy. First-principles calculations of the phonon dispersion can assist in identifying a material of this type, with BiCrO$_3$, to be discussed below in Section , as a possible example [49]. With the broader definition of antiferroelectricity that we are using here, the high-symmetry reference structure need only have a zone-center instability that produces a competing ferroelectric phase at a sufficiently low energy that an electric field can drive a first order transition to this phase. In this sense, (Bi,Sm)FeO$_3$ satisfies this condition near the rhombohedral-orthorhombic compositional phase boundary, with a first-order electric-field induced phase transition from the orthorhombic $Pbam$ phase to the polar $R3c$ phase [50].

We have seen here that reexamination of the definition and of the generally accepted properties of antiferroelectrics leads to a rather inclusive definition of antiferroelectricity. The definition hinges not on a structural criterion, as almost any nonpolar distortion of a high-symmetry reference structure could satisfy the requirements, but on energetic criteria. The essential point is that the candidate antiferroelectric phase be close in energy to a competing ferroelectric phase, to which it can be driven by an applied electric field through a first order transition. This criterion can be evaluated in several ways. If the candidate antiferroelectric phase is generated by a single antipolar mode with a clear relation to a zone center polar mode, it can be inferred that the zone center polar mode will also be unstable and generate the necessary competing ferroelectric phase. The presence of a low energy competing ferroelectric can also be inferred from proximity, across a first-order phase boundary, of the candidate antiferroelectric phase to ferroelectric phases in the generalized phase diagram for the system which includes control parameters such as
pressure, epitaxial strain and compositional substitution. First principles calculations can be used to establish the relation between antipolar and zone center polar instabilities and the low energy of a competing ferroelectric phase. In the end, direct experimental observation of an electric field induced transition to a ferroelectric phase is the most definitive indication of antiferroelectricity. In general, even if the phase satisfies this energetic criterion even for some range of control parameters, the entire phase will be referred to as antiferroelectric; this corresponds to the analogous case for ferroelectrics, in which a phase is referred to as ferroelectric even if it is not switchable by applied fields throughout its region of stability in the phase diagram.

ANTIFERROELECTRIC MATERIALS: STRUCTURE AND PROPERTIES

In this section, we consider the structure and properties of individual antiferroelectric materials as determined from experimental observation and theoretical analysis. The main focus will be on PbZrO$_3$ and related systems; two excellent recent reviews [15, 17] provide additional details and references. Other well-established antiferroelectric materials include certain niobate perovskites, vanadates and complex perovskite oxides; in each of these cases we will consider the extent to which the system satisfies the structural and energetic criteria for antiferroelectricity. The possibility of antiferroelectricity has also been raised for a number of additional systems, and the evidence relating to these systems will be discussed.

PbZrO$_3$ is, by far, the most thoroughly studied antiferroelectric oxide. Ref. 15 provides a recent detailed review; here, we highlight the most relevant aspects of the structure and properties. At high temperatures, PbZrO$_3$ has a paraelectric cubic ideal perovskite structure, and at temperatures below T$_c$ = 505 K, the structure is an antiferroelectric orthorhombic distorted perovskite structure [10]. In a very narrow temperature range separating these two phases, an intermediate ferroelectric rhombohedral phase with polarization along [111] has been reported in some studies [51].

The details of the structure of the antiferroelectric phase were controversial for some time, with even the space group assignment differing between nonpolar orthorhombic Pbam and polar orthorhombic Pbca. The main features of the structure can be described in terms of modes of the primitive perovskite structure. In addition to the $\Sigma_2$ mode [52] at $q = \frac{2\pi}{a}(1/4,1/4,0)$, producing an antipolar arrangement with shifts of the lead ions along $[111]$, shown in Figure 3, the other dominant distortion in the structure is an oxygen octahedron rotation mode $R_{\pi}$ with rotation around $[110]$. Symmetry analysis shows that these two modes generate the space group Pbam with a $\sqrt{2}a_0 \times 2\sqrt{2}a_0 \times 2a_0$ unit cell (8 formula units), as shown in Figure 4. In this structure, additional modes $R_{\pi}^T$, $M_{\pi}^T$, $X_{\pi}^T$ and a mode with $q=\frac{2\pi}{a}(1/4,1/4,1/2)$ are allowed without breaking further symmetry. These are found to be relatively small, and indeed are set to zero in some structure determinations to reduce the number of free parameters in the refinement (see, for example, Ref. 54). It has been suggested that the structure also includes a small zone-center polar distortion, putting it into the Pba2 space group [55]. First principles calculations [56–58] played a critical role in resolving this ambiguity in favor of the nonpolar Pbam structure. These calculations showed that optimized positions of the O atoms in the Pbam structure were in good agreement with available experiments, including subsequent determinations [54]. In the initial study [56], the energy of the antiferroelectric Pbam structure was found to be slightly lower (20 meV/f.u.) than that of the optimized rhombohedral R3m ferroelectric structure; in Ref. 58, this comparison was extended to the Pbam structure with full optimization of oxygen positions and the rhombohedral R3c ferroelectric structure observed to be stable with 7% Ti substitution [59], with the antiferroelectric structure found to be 31 meV/f.u. lower. This small energy difference is a key signature of an antiferroelectric material and is also consistent with the transformation to the rhombohedral FE phase at small Ti doping.

As shown in Figure 2, double hysteresis loops were observed in the earliest work on PbZrO$_3$, identifying it as an antiferroelectric [10]. The critical field is rather high, and can be lowered by doping as discussed below. The change in volume at the field-induced transition is rela-
electric distortion is described by an order parameter that does not specifically require a two-sublattice character for the distortion.

The compositional-substitution phase diagrams of PbZrO$_3$ provide further evidence of its antiferroelectric character. The fact that in many cases, a small fraction of compositional substitution induces a first-order transition to a ferroelectric phase confirms that there is an alternative ferroelectric phase at low energy in pure PbZrO$_3$. By lowering the energy difference in favor of the ferroelectric phase, these substitutions also lower the critical field for the electric-field induced transition [63]. Some examples include Pb$_{1-x}$Ba$_x$ZrO$_3$ [64] in which Ba substitution reduces the relative free energy of the ferroelectric phase, thus lowering the critical field, and widening the range of stability of the intermediate ferroelectric phase. This system illustrates the challenge that compositional tuning to improve one materials property (here, the critical field) may worsen others: in Ref. 62 it is shown that Pb$_{1-x}$Ba$_x$ZrO$_3$ exhibits temperature irreversibility (that is, the antiferroelectric phase is not recovered from the ferroelectric phase on cooling) and other references with similar observations are discussed. The tuning of critical electric field, structural parameters, and associated properties such as reversibility of the transitions has prompted extensive experimentation with complex substitutions, such as Pb$_{0.89}$Nb$_{0.02}$[(Zr$_{0.17}$Sn$_{0.43}$)$_{0.94}$Ti$_{0.06}$]$0.98$O$_3$ (also referred to as PNZST43/100y/2) [26, 65–68].

Study of compositional substitution phase diagrams also suggests that there are low-energy alternative antiferroelectric phases of distinct structure as well; this was noted already in the early work by Shirane [11]. Substitution of Pb by Sr is shown to result in an antiferroelectric intermediate phase separating the high-temperature paraelectric phase from the low-temperature PbZrO$_3$-like antiferroelectric phase [11]. In PbZr$_{1-x}$Sn$_x$O$_3$, a distinct antiferroelectric $P222_1$ phase is observed for $x > 0.05$; only for $x > 0.3$ does an $R3m$ ferroelectric phase become stable [69].

PbHfO$_3$ was first reported as antiferroelectric soon after the identification of antiferroelectricity in PbZrO$_3$ [70]. Despite their chemical similarity, the phase sequence is different from that of PbZrO$_3$ [71–73]: phase I (up to 163 C) is tetragonal with $c/a < 1$, phase II (163–215 C) is tetragonal with a larger $c/a$ (still less than 1) and phase III (above 215 C) is cubic. Phases I and II have both been characterized as antiferroelectric. Phase I appears to be isomorphic to the orthorhombic AFE structure of PZ. Less is known about Phase II. It appears to have a very large unit cell [72] and to be nonpolar, consistent with Raman scattering observations [74], and it has been suggested that it should be assigned to one of the orthorhombic space groups $P222_1$, $Pmm2$, $Pmmn$ or $P222$ [75, 76].

NaNbO$_3$ and AgNbO$_3$ are perovskite systems which

![FIG. 4: Projection of atomic displacements associated with the Σ2 and $R_{5}^{3}$ modes onto the ab plane. Squares and circles indication Pb and oxygen atoms, respectively. Filled and open circles show atoms on the Pb atomic layer and atoms on the Zr atomic layer, respectively. Part (a) shows atoms in the planes $z = 0$ and $z = 1/2c_p$ (open symbols) and part (b) shows atoms in the planes $z = c_p$ and $z = 3/2c_p$ (open symbols) where $c_p$ is the pseudocubic lattice parameter in the z direction. From Ref. [53].](image-url)
both show antiferroelectric phases as part of a complex sequence of phases with temperature [77–80]. The room temperature antiferroelectric phases in the two materials are isostructural in orthorhombic space group Pnma with eight formula units per $\sqrt{2}a_0 \times \sqrt{2}a_0 \times 4a_0$ unit cell. The structure is characterized by oxygen octahedron rotations as well as a $q=(0,0,\pi/2)$ mode, involving antipolar Nb displacements, that is responsible for the designation as an antiferroelectric [79]. In NaNbO$_3$, there is a transition to a low-temperature ferroelectric $R3c$ phase at 173 K and a sequence of phase transitions starting at 633 K and ending with a transition to a cubic paraelectric phase at 913 K [81]. In AgNbO$_3$, the room temperature antiferroelectric phase appears stable down to low temperature, and there is a different transition sequence with increasing temperature: two transitions have been tentatively identified at 340 K and 540 K but without any apparent change in symmetry, and starting at 626 K there is a sequence of phase transitions through orthorhombic and tetragonal phases that ends with a transition to a cubic paraelectric phase at 852 K [81]. First principles calculations have focused mainly on the zone center polar modes and oxygen octahedron rotations [82–87]. The lattice instabilities of the cubic perovskite structure are identified for AgNbO$_3$ and NaNbO$_3$. Both show unstable modes for oxygen octahedron rotations at R and M, as well as a unstable ferroelectric mode. This can produce a set of competing low energy states consistent with the observed sequence of phases. The electric field induced transition to a ferroelectric phase in NaNbO$_3$ has been well studied [88–90]; and occurs at high field perpendicular to the orthorhombic c axis. The dependence of the transition on the direction of the field has been studied in detail; a clear distinction can be drawn between transitions in which the polarization of the ferroelectric phase is along essentially the same direction as the sublattice polarizations of the antiferroelectric phase, and those in which it is along a different direction [90]. In addition, a small amount of K substitution for Na produces a ferroelectric phase at room temperature [91].

While, as discussed above, the orthorhombic $Pnma$ perovskites are generally not antiferroelectric, there can be some exceptions to this rule. The clearest would be in cases where the local dipolar distortions are driven by the A-site Pb or Bi displacements. The first-principles phonon dispersion for BiCrO$_3$ shows a clear antipolar instability at the X point related to a polar instability at $\Gamma$, and characteristic antiferroelectric hysteresis loops have been observed in films [92]. However, it should be noted that the measurements were made for a lower symmetry phase, not the $Pbnm$ phase. BiFeO$_3$ is a ferroelectric, but with RE doping on the Bi site, undergoes a transition to an orthorhombic $Pbnm$ phase. In this phase near the critical doping, double hysteresis loops are observed with the electric field inducing a transition back to the polar $R3c$ ferroelectric phase [50]. With our inclusive definition of antiferroelectricity, the doped system (and even pure SmFeO$_3$, since it is the same phase) can be considered antiferroelectric. Other nonpolar Bi perovskites, such as BiMnO$_3$, might also be antiferroelectric given proximity to a ferroelectric phase; indeed BiMnO$_3$ was thought for a while to be ferroelectric before additional experiments and first-principles calculations established that the ground state structure is nonpolar [93, 94]. Antiferroelectricity has also been discussed for Sr$_{1-x}$Ca$_x$TiO$_3$ [95].

Antiferroelectric phases have also been identified in double perovskites. The degree of cation disorder is a key feature in characterizing double perovskite systems. Cation disorder generally yields relaxor behavior, while a number of well-ordered systems show phases isostructural to PbZrO$_3$, which are thus characterized as antiferroelectric. A theoretical analysis is given in Ref. 96. One example is Pb(In$_{1/2}$Nb$_{1/2}$)O$_3$ [97–99] which is seen to have an antiferroelectric phase isostructural with PbZrO$_3$. Study of the PbNb$_{1/2}$B$_{1/2}$O$_3$ series [100] shows a transition from ferroelectric (B = Cr, Fe, Mn, Sc, In) to nonpolar (B = Lu, Yb, Tm) low-temperature phases depending on the B ionic radius; the case of B = In is at the crossover and thus the close competition between ferroelectric and nonpolar phases characteristic of antiferroelectricity is expected. A phase sequence from paraelectric to antiferroelectric to low temperature ferroelectric has been identified for a number of lead-based double perovskite systems including Pb(Yb$_{1/2}$Nb$_{1/2}$)O$_3$ [101], Pb(Mn$_{1/2}$Ta$_{1/2}$)O$_3$ [102], Pb(Mn$_{1/2}$Ta$_{1/2}$)O$_3$ [103], Pb(Co$_{1/2}$W$_{1/2}$)O$_3$ [104] and Pb(Sn$_{1/2}$Ta$_{1/2}$)O$_3$ [104, 105]. No detailed structural determination has been made of the antiferroelectric phases. Additional observations of antiferroelectric phases have been reported for non-lead perovskites, including Na(Bi$_{1/2}$Ti$_{1/2}$)O$_3$ [106], Bi(Mg$_{1/2}$Ti$_{1/2}$)O$_3$ (BiMgTiO$_3$) [107] and BiNbO$_3$ [108]. More information and references about these systems can be found in Ref. [17].

Antiferroelectricity has been noted in a number of other compounds, including NaVO$_3$ (mentioned in Ref. 109) and WO$_3$ [110]. BiNbO$_3$ is found to be paraelectric above 570 C, ferroelectric between 360 and 570 C and nonpolar below 360 C [111]. The latter phase can be presumed to be antiferroelectric due to its proximity to the intermediate ferroelectric phase. A dielectric anomaly observed at the Jahn-Teller transition of DyVO$_4$ ($T_c = 15.2$ K) was attributed to a strain-induced staggered polarization on two sublattices within the zircon unit cell [112]. The electric field needed to produce alignment of the two sublattices was estimated from a model analysis to be two orders of magnitude greater than that for PbZrO$_3$. To the extent that this meets the energetic criterion for antiferroelectricity, DyVO$_4$ would be a rare example of a antiferroelectric in which the unit cell does not change in the paraelectric-antiferroelectric transition. Finally, some exotic examples include early reports of antiferroelectricity in spinels [? ], betaine phosphate [114],
hydropyapatite, and thiourea, and more recently, in hybrid organic-inorganic metal-organic-framework (MOF) structures [115].

RELATION TO ALTERNATIVE FERROELECTRIC PHASES

As previously noted, none of the known antiferroelectric compounds conform to the collinear two-sublattice Kittel model. Therefore, in all known compounds, the electric-field-induced ferroelectric phase is not obtained from the antiferroelectric structure simply by flipping one of the sublattice polarizations. Even in a hypothetical Kittel-type compound, application of an electric field noncollinear with the sublattice polarization could lead to a nontrivial induced phase. The relation between the structure of the antiferroelectric phase and the structure of the alternative ferroelectric phases induced by electric fields or by changes in control parameters such as compositional substitution and epitaxial strain is therefore of considerable interest.

First principles studies of the electric field induced transitions for noncollinear fields (polarization rotation) have proved illuminating for ferroelectrics [116–118]. Modeling of electric field induced transitions in antiferroelectrics include studies of PbZrO$_3$ and NaNbO$_3$ [90].

ANTIFERROELECTRICITY IN THIN FILMS

The behavior of materials in thin film form is modified by strain, size effects, and structural and electronic relaxation and reconstruction at the surfaces and interfaces. These factors can strongly affect the energy difference between the antipolar and polar phases. In particular, an antiferroelectric material, in which this energy difference is small, can in some cases be tuned to the phase boundary with the related ferroelectric phase. Similarly, a bulk ferroelectric material with a low-energy alternative antipolar phase (which may not be manifest in observations of the bulk) could be driven antiferroelectric in thin film form; in both cases the depolarization field associated with any uncompensated polarization along the normal would tend to suppress the formation of a single-domain ferroelectric film. This tuning needs to be taken into consideration in the application of these materials in thin film devices: it can be beneficial as well as detrimental that the material in thin film form behaves differently from the material in bulk.

Thin films of PbZrO$_3$ have received the most attention. The electric-field-induced transition in a film on a Pt-coated Si substrate was reported to occur at 140 kV/cm for increasing field and 71 kV/cm for decreasing field, with a maximum polarization of 40 $\mu$C/cm$^2$ [119]. In another study, thin films of PbZrO$_3$ were grown on crystalline Si(100) with thicknesses ranging from 100 nm to 900 nm. At thicknesses below 500 nm, ferroelectric hysteresis loops were observed with spontaneous polarization of 31 $\mu$C/cm$^2$ and coercive field of 100 kV/cm at thickness of 260 nm; similar behavior was observed for thin films of antiferroelectric BiNbO$_3$ [120]. The appearance of ferroelectricity was attributed in this work to the electric field produced at the interface, rather than strain or size effects, because an earlier experiment reported double hysteresis loops in a 380 nm-thick film of PbZrO$_3$ on a Pt-coated Si substrate [121]. In contrast, in PbZrO$_3$ grown on SrTiO$_3$ with an SrRuO$_3$ buffer layer, corresponding to over 5% compressive strain, 8 nm thick films showed a ferroelectric rhombohedral phase with a high density of misfit dislocations, while thicker films showed the rhombohedral phase for the first 8 nm and then a bulk-like orthorhombic phase; this was attributed to the higher strain near the interface [16].

Superlattices of antiferroelectrics with ferroelectrics can show rich behavior due to the competition between the two phases. In single-unit-cell layers, it can be argued that the antiferroelectric phase cannot develop fully, and alternative structures are stabilized [122]. In thicker layers, the behavior evolves in a systematic way [123].

PROPERTIES FOR APPLICATIONS

There has been increasing interest in the technological applications of antiferroelectric materials [16]. Proposed applications include sensors, actuators, energy and charge storage devices, voltage regulators and electrooptic devices [124–129].

The relevance to energy storage applications comes from the enhancement of $\int D\,dE$ by the shape of the double hysteresis loop (see Figure 5). The energy stored is roughly twice what is stored by a linear dielectric with the same polarization at a field just above the transition field. Patented devices include a cardiac defibrillator [130].

The strain change at the electric-field induced transition has received particular attention, with proposals of strain and force generators [132] and electrostrictors with high strain response and small temperature dependence of strain [108]. In an applied electric field, the

FIG. 5: Schematic hysteresis loops for ferroelectrics, relax or ferroelectrics and antiferroelectrics. The shaded area corresponds to the stored energy density. From Ref. [131].
strain difference between the antiferroelectric and ferroelectric phases can result in a large nonlinear response. Specifically, the electric-field induced transition from the antiferroelectric to the ferroelectric phase, with a large jump in electrical polarization as seen in the double hysteresis loop, can be accompanied by a large strain change (in PbZrO$_3$, a volume expansion). Observations in (Pb$_{0.75}$La$_{0.25}$)(Sn,Ti,Zr)O$_3$ (PLZT) [33] and lead-free perovskites [133] suggest the application of this behavior for large-strain actuators. In PLZT it is observed that the field-induced polarization is almost temperature independent while the field-induced strain depends strongly on temperature. An intriguing twist has been noted in Ref. 26. In a system in which applied pressure drives a transition from the ferroelectric phase to an antiferroelectric phase, the decrease in volume of a poled ferroelectric ceramic when a reversed polarity electric field is applied can induce a transition into the antiferroelectric phase, with the counterintuitive effect that an electric field drives a system from ferroelectric to antiferroelectric.

**PROSPECTS**

This reexamination of antiferroelectricity in oxides raises many questions. Antiferroelectric materials overall have received much less attention than ferroelectrics, and only a few materials have been thoroughly studied. Much of the work has been on compositional tuning of PbZrO$_3$ and the lead-free perovskites to control essential features such as transition temperature, critical field, strain response and reversibility of the electric-field-induced transition, leading to complex multi-component systems. On the other hand, many nonpolar phases have been given the designation antiferroelectric without verification of the energetic criterion of a distinct low-energy ferroelectric phase.

A systematic approach to design and discovery of new antiferroelectric materials is therefore indicated. For example, it is striking that there is no oxide antiferroelectric that conforms to the simple two-sublattice Kittel model. Also, it would be a curiosity to find a definitive example of an antiferroelectric driven by a $q = 0$ lattice. Though the perovskite structure could in principle support such a phase, driven by the zone-center silent mode in which two oxygens move in equal and opposite directions, no compound in this structure is reported in ICSD. A more promising route to new antiferroelectrics is to follow the paradigm set by the canonical antiferroelectric oxide PbZrO$_3$. As we have seen above, the antipolar structure of PbZrO$_3$ is generated by a non-zone-boundary phonon, with auxiliary oxygen-octahedron rotation distortions stabilizing the antiferroelectric phase; a number of perovskite systems have been shown to have this same structure. It is possible that the reason this particular structure appears so prevalent is that, given the familiarity of PbZrO$_3$, it is easy to recognize. In fact, it would seem that there should be other, perhaps many choices, for antipolar phonons that could yield low energy structures when coupled to other modes. First principles calculations of full phonon dispersion of high-symmetry reference structures, including but not limited to perovskites, can be very valuable in identifying such instabilities and structures. Another promising approach, based on combination of high-throughput first-principles calculations with crystallographic database mining, is described in Ref. 134.

The functional properties of antiferroelectrics arise for the most part from the changes in properties of the material at the electric-field-induced transition; the most well-studied examples being the electric polarization, the strain and optical properties. As more classes of antiferroelectric materials are developed, there are opportunities to improve functional behavior by targeting particular properties for contrast in the two phases. For example, the two phases could have different magnetic ordering, leading to spin-lattice effects and magnetoelectric properties that are the subject of great current interest of multiferroics. It has already been demonstrated that antiferroelectric lattice instabilities can be favored by magnetic ordering, specifically, in perovskite LuCrO$_3$, in which the silent mode becomes unstable for the higher energy ferromagnetic ordering [135]. Yet other functionalities could be accessed by consideration of ferrielectrics, in which the sublattice polarizations of the “antiferroelectric” phase do not completely cancel, resulting in a net spontaneous polarization. These would show the characteristic behavior of antiferroelectrics at the electric-field-induced transition, but also could have a switchable polarization at lower fields [136].

In conclusion, this brief review and reexamination of the fundamental physics of antiferroelectricity suggests that these are a rich and interesting class of functional materials whose potential has yet to be fully tapped. Further study, especially in materials design, synthesis and characterization, should lead to significant progress, with the possibility of a variety of novel device technologies.
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