

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Scaling of the transition temperature of hole-doped cuprate superconductors with the chargetransfer energy

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text. 2012 EPL 100 37001 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0295-5075/100/3/37001)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:

IP Address: 98.221.86.176 This content was downloaded on 14/10/2013 at 01:26

Please note that terms and conditions apply.



Scaling of the transition temperature of hole-doped cuprate superconductors with the charge-transfer energy

C. WEBER¹, C. YEE², K. HAULE² and G. KOTLIAR²

 ¹ T.C.M. Group - University of Cambridge, Cavendish Laboratory - J.J. Thomson Ave., Cambridge CB3 0HE, UK, EU
² Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University - Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019, USA

received on 22 October 2012; accepted by S. Savrasov on 24 October 2012 published online 6 November 2012

Abstract – We use first-principles calculations to extract two essential microscopic parameters, the charge-transfer energy and the inter-cell oxygen-oxygen hopping, which correlate with the maximum superconducting transition temperature $T_{c,max}$ across the cuprates. We explore the superconducting state in the three-band model of the copper-oxygen planes using cluster Dynamical Mean-Field Theory. We find that the variation in the charge-transfer energy largely accounts for the empirical trend in $T_{c,max}$, resolving a long-standing contradiction with theoretical calculations.



Introduction. – Despite an immense body of theoretical and experimental work, we have limited microscopic insights of which materials-specific parameters govern the trends in the maximum transition temperature $T_{c,max}$ across the copper oxide superconductors. Structurally, all the cuprate families have in common CuO₂ planes which support superconductivity. They are described by the chemical formula $XS_{n-1}(CuO_2)_n$, where n CuO₂ planes are interleaved with n-1 spacer layers S to form a multilayer. These multi-layers are then stacked along the c-axis, separated by a different spacer layer X. Empirically, it is known that $T_{c,max}$ is strongly materials-dependent, ranging from 40 K in La₂CuO₄ to 138 K in HgBa₂Ca₂Cu₃O₈. Additionally, $T_{c,max}$ can be tuned both as a function of doping and the number n of CuO₂ planes.

Studies linking the known empirical trends to microscopics have generally established that the properties of the apical atoms (O, F or Cl, depending on the cuprate family) are the relevant materials-dependent parameters. However, conclusions vary regarding their effects on electronic properties, especially in multi-layer cuprates where not all CuO₂ have apical atoms. Early theoretical work by Ohta, *et al.*, found correlations between T_c and the Madelung potential of the apical oxygen, arguing that the apical potential controls the stability of the Zhang-Rice singlets [1]. They conclude that d_{Cu-O}^{apical} , the distance between the Cu and apical O, is uncorrelated with superconductivity. In a more recent DFT study, Pavarini *et al.*, argue that $d_{\text{Cu-O}}^{\text{apical}}$ tunes between the single-layer cuprate families, affecting the electronic structure primarily via the one-electron part of the Hamiltonian [2]. Moving the apical oxygens away from the copper oxide plane allows stronger coupling of in-plane O 2p orbitals to the Cu 4s, enhancing the strength of longer ranged hoppings. This effect is characterized by the increase of a range parameter $r \sim t'/t$, describing the relative strength of the nextnearest-neighbor hopping t' to nearest-neighbor hopping t in a one-band model. They find that materials with larger r have larger $T_{c,\max}$. Many-body corrections to t' were included by Yin *et al.* [3].

The development of cluster Dynamical Mean-Field Theory (c-DMFT) combined with first-principles calculations [4,5] has advanced our qualitative and quantitative understanding of the cuprates [6,7]. A satisfactory description of these materials at intermediate energy scales has been achieved, and the consensus is that the cuprates lie in the regime of intermediate correlation strength [8–10] near the Zaanen-Sawatzky-Allen (ZSA) boundary [11]. However, all numerical studies [12–14] contradict the empirical trend of $T_{\rm c,max}$ with the range parameter r.

In this paper, we address the origin of the variation of the experimental $T_{c,max}$ across the cuprates using recent advances in electronic structure methods. We carry out first-principles calculations of the hole-doped cuprates, extract chemical parameters by downfolding to the 3-band



Fig. 1: Parameters of the three-band p-d model for the CuO₂ planes in the cuprate superconductors. We show the two shortest-ranged oxygen-oxygen hoppings t_{pp} and $t_{pp'}$, and the on-site energies ϵ_d and ϵ_p .

p-d model, and correlate them against $T_{c,max}$. Using c-DMFT, we explore the superconducting state and identify which parameter is the key driver of transition temperatures, resolving the conflict between numerics and the empirical findings of ref. [2]. We conclude with suggestions for possible improvements in materials design to reach higher critical temperatures.

Trends in chemical parameters. – Effective lowenergy Hamiltonians containing the minimal set of bands are important tools for understanding chemical trends. We use the Wien2K code [15] to perform Linearized Augmented Plane Wave (LAPW) calculations on all major copper oxide families, and then extract model Hamiltonian parameters by downfolding [16] to orbitals constructed in the manner described in ref. [17]. In this work, we choose to downfold to a 3-band Hamiltonian describing the inplane Cu- $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ and O-2p orbitals (fig. 1). We believe four parameters capture the essential physics: the chargetransfer energy $\epsilon_d - \epsilon_p$ between the Cu and O atoms, the direct Cu-O hopping t_{pd} and the two shortest-ranged O-O hoppings t_{pp} , and $t_{pp'}$. The extracted values are tabulated in the supplementary material [18].

We find that only two parameters, $\epsilon_d - \epsilon_p$ and $t_{pp'}$, vary significantly across the cuprates. Although not crucial for our subsequent work, one would like to have a simple structural explanation for these trends. For the single-layer cuprates, the variation can be directly connected to $d_{\rm Cu-O}^{\rm apical}$ (also tabulated in the supplementary material [18]). As we bring the negatively-charged apical oxygen towards the CuO plane, the resulting electrostatic repulsion suppresses the hopping $t_{pp'}$, since $t_{pp'}$ describes transitions of electrons past the Cu site, and provides justification for fact that $t_{pp'}$ is smaller than t_{pp} [12]. This mechanism for the dependence of hoppings on d_{Cu-O}^{apical} has been pointed out in ref. [2] for one-band models. However, we show in fig. 2 that the electrostatic repulsion simultaneously increases $\epsilon_d - \epsilon_p$ by rendering it costly to place an electron on the Cu site. These simple structural trends are less clear for



Fig. 2: In single-layer cuprates, increasing the apical oxygen distance reduces the charge-transfer energy.

multi-layer cuprates, where additional variables such as the inter-layer distance introduce additional complexity.

Having identified the two relevant parameters, we plot $T_{c,max}$ against these quantities in fig. 3(a) and fig. 3(b) to identify possible correlations. Beginning with La₂CuO₄ (LSCO), the limiting case among the cuprates since it has the largest $\epsilon_d - \epsilon_p$ as well as the smallest $t_{pp'}$, the figures show that both i) decreasing $\epsilon_d - \epsilon_p$ and ii) increasing $t_{pp'}$ correlate with an enhanced $T_{c,max}$. To map our results to the one-band Hubbard model, we integrate out the oxygen orbitals to extract the range parameter $r \sim t'/t$ (shown in fig. 3(c)), and use the fact that the effective one-band correlation strength is controlled by $\epsilon_d - \epsilon_p$ in charge-transfer materials [19]. Our results show that both the *correlation strength* and *range parameter* vary significantly across the cuprates, in contrast with ref. [2] which focused only on the latter.

Correlation vs. causation. – In order to clarify how the identified microscopic parameters control $T_{\rm c,max}$, we use c-DMFT in the cellular form [4,5] with a 2×2 cluster of impurities to solve the downfolded three-band model. The non-local self-energy in c-DMFT captures the shortranged correlations which are crucial to describe d-wave superconductivity. Since the fermionic minus sign problem prevents impurity solvers based on quantum Monte Carlo from accessing the low-temperature superconducting regime, we use finite-temperature exact diagonalization (ED) at T = 30 K as the impurity solver [20]. In this work, we extend previous c-DMFT calculations of the oneband model [13,21] to the three-band model, with realistic parameters obtained from first-principles calculations. The refinement captures the admixture of the Cu and O character near the Fermi level via a bath representing both the Cu and O degrees of freedom in the DMFT self-consistency condition.

The three-band Hamiltonian we treat with c-DMFT is as follows:

$$H = \sum_{i\alpha j\beta\sigma} t_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} c_{i\alpha\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\beta\sigma} + \sum_{i\alpha\sigma} \epsilon_{\alpha} n_{i\alpha\sigma} + U_{dd} \sum_{i\sigma} n_{id\uparrow} n_{id\downarrow}, \quad (1)$$



Fig. 3: Correlations of $T_{c,\max}$ in the copper oxides with the microscopic parameters of the three-band model Hamiltonian with (a) the charge-transfer energy $\epsilon_d - \epsilon_p$ (b) the next-nearest neighbor oxygen-oxygen hopping $t_{pp'}$ (c) the effective one-band range parameter $r \sim t'/t$. The trend of the dependence of the one-band range parameter agrees with ref. [2].

where i, j run over the in-plane CuO₂ unit cells, α, β label the orbitals p_x, p_y and $d_{x^2-y^2}$, and σ is the electron spin. The hoppings $t_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ and onsite energies ϵ_{α} are those sketched in fig. 1, except for the *d*-orbital onsite energy, where we subtract out a doping- and material-independent double-counting correction E_{dc} to account for correlations included in both LDA and DMFT. The atomic doublecounting [22], which is very successful for all-electron DFT+DMFT [17], cannot be used because the Wannier functions of the three-band model significantly depart from the atomic wave functions. To determine E_{dc} for



Fig. 4: Calculated doping dependence for LSCO of the staggered magnetization $S^z = \frac{1}{2}(n_{\uparrow} - n_{\downarrow})$ and static *d*-wave superconducting order parameter $\Delta \sim \langle cc \rangle_{\tau=0}$. We plot 10Δ to fit it on the same scale as S^z . Optimal superconducting strength Δ_{\max} is obtained for doping $x_{opt} \approx 0.13$. The real part of the anomalous self-energy Re $\Sigma^{an}(\omega = 0)$ follows qualitatively the order parameter Δ . The calculations were performed at T = 30 K with c-DMFT and an ED impurity solver, using an 8-site discretization of the bath.

the Wannier representation, we match the low-energy Matsubara Green's function of the three-band model to the corresponding quantity in the *ab initio* all-electron calculation (see supplementary material [18]). A good match was attained for $E_{\rm dc} = 3.12 \,\mathrm{eV}$ for an $d_{x^2-y^2}$ onsite Coulomb repulsion of $U_{dd} = 8 \,\mathrm{eV}$.

To test our method, we use the extracted parameters for the canonical cuprate LSCO and explore the T = 0 phase diagram as a function of doping. Our results, shown in fig. 4, are qualitatively similar to experiment. The calculations stabilize antiferromagnetism for low dopings x <0.05, which gives way to a dome of *d*-wave superconductivity. The static order parameter $\Delta = \langle \langle c_1 c_2 \rangle \rangle_{\tau=0}$, where 1 and 2 are nearest neighbor sites on the impurity plaquette, reaches a maximum Δ_{\max} near $x \sim 0.13$. We take the magnitude of Δ_{\max} as a proxy for the maximum superconducting temperature $T_{c,\max}$. The zero-frequency limit of the anomalous self-energy Σ^{an} is an additional indicator of superconductivity, which our results show qualitatively follows the magnitude of the order parameter.

We argue that although two independent low-energy parameters correlate with the experimental $T_{c,\max}$, it is the charge-transfer energy that controls the variation in Δ_{\max} , and thus $T_{c,\max}$, across the cuprate families. To address this issue, we take the most correlated cuprate, LSCO, and compute Δ_{\max} as we either i) decrease $\epsilon_d - \epsilon_p$ or ii) increase $t_{pp'}$. Figure 5(a) shows that reducing the correlation strength for fixed $t_{pp'}$ enhances the order parameter Δ , in agreement with the empirical trend in fig. 3(a). However, fig. 5(b) shows that increasing $t_{pp'}$ across the physical parameter regime hardly modifies Δ_{\max} , in contrast with the empirical trend in fig. 3(b). Further increasing $t_{pp'}$ to larger, unphysical values strongly suppresses $T_{c,\max}$. Thus, our calculations support the hypothesis that a larger



Fig. 5: Optimal superconducting order parameter Δ_{max} of LSCO as we (a) decrease the charge-transfer energy $\epsilon_d - \epsilon_p$ and (b) increase oxygen-oxygen hopping $t_{pp'}$. Shaded are the physical ranges spanned by the cuprate families.

hopping range r suppresses $T_{c,max}$, in agreement with calculations on the one-band [13,14] and three-band [12] models.

The dependence of $T_{c,max}$ on the two controlled parameters can be simply rationalized. For $\epsilon_d - \epsilon_p$, its large value in the strong correlation limit suppresses charge-fluctuations, rendering the residual superexchange interaction between the doped holes weak, resulting in low superconducting temperatures. As we decrease $\epsilon_d - \epsilon_p$, superconducting tendencies increase as we pass through the intermediate correlation regime, until we reach the weak correlation limit. Although the ground state of the 3-band model for large U_{dd} and $\epsilon_d - \epsilon_p \sim 0$ has not been rigorously established, we expect the large kinetic energy to suppress the effective interactions and thus superconductivity. Thus, we believe intermediate correlation strengths, a regime intimately related to the charge-transfer metal-to-insulator transition, is a crucial ingredient for cuprate superconductivity. Turning to $t_{pp'}$, we find that increasing this hopping amplitude lowers the van Hove singularity at $(0, \pi)$ away from the Fermi level. The resulting decrease in density of states suppresses $T_{\rm c}$, an effect which simple methods capture [23]. We note, however, that calculations based on projected BCS states find the opposite trend [24], which warrants further examination.

Conclusions. – We have used electronic structure methods to identify the dependence of $T_{c,max}$ on two fundamental parameters: the charge-transfer energy $\epsilon_d - \epsilon_p$ and inter-cell oxygen-oxygen hopping $t_{pp'}$. We find that the position of the apical oxygen tunes both parameters, but the strength of superconductivity, Δ_{\max} , is mainly sensitive to $\epsilon_d - \epsilon_p$. We expect future refinements to explain the remaining variability in $T_{c,max}$. Our work provides a natural interpretation of experiments where epitaxial compression in LSCO resulted in an enhancement of $T_{\rm c}$ [25]. Epitaxy increases $d_{\rm Cu-O}^{\rm apical}$ and thus reduces $\epsilon_d - \epsilon_p$. Furthermore, our result provides microscopic insight into the multi-layer cuprates, such as Bi-2223: in addition to layer-dependent doping [26], the smaller value of the charge-transfer energy in the outer layers may explain the enhancement of superconductivity in the outer layers. It has been suggested theoretically and demonstrated experimentally [27] that proximity to a metallic layer reduces the charge-transfer energy. Using this principle in heterostructure design should result in even higher transition temperatures.

* * *

We thank A.-M. TREMBLAY and A. MILLIS for enlightening discussions. KH and CY were supported by NSF DMR-0746395, GK was supported by NSF DMR-0906943, CW was supported by Swiss National Foundation for Science.

REFERENCES

- OHTA Y., TOHYAMA T. and MAEKAWA S., *Phys. Rev. B*, 43 (1991) 2968.
- [2] PAVARINI E., DASGUPTA I., SAHA-DASGUPTA T., JEPSEN O. and ANDERSEN O. K., Phys. Rev. Lett., 87 (2001) 047003.
- [3] YIN W.-G. and KU W., Phys. Rev. B, 79 (2009) 214512.
- [4] KOTLIAR G., SAVRASOV S. Y., HAULE K., OUDOVENKO V. S., PARCOLLET O. and MARIANETTI C. A., *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 78 (2006) 865.
- [5] MAIER T., JARRELL M., PRUSCHKE T. and HETTLER M. H., *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **77** (2005) 1027.
- [6] GULL E., PARCOLLET O., WERNER P. and MILLIS A. J., Phys. Rev. B, 80 (2009) 245102.
- [7] HAULE K. and KOTLIAR G., Phys. Rev. B, 76 (2007) 104509.
- [8] COMANAC A., DE' MEDICI L., CAPONE M. and MILLIS A. J., Nat. Phys., 4 (2008) 287.
- [9] WEBER C., HAULE K. and KOTLIAR G., Nat. Phys., 6 (2010) 574.
- [10] WANG X., GULL E., DE' MEDICI L., CAPONE M. and MILLIS A. J., Phys. Rev. B, 80 (2009) 045101.
- [11] ZAANEN J., SAWATZKY G. A. and ALLEN J. W., Phys. Rev. Lett., 55 (1985) 418.
- [12] KENT P. R. C., SAHA-DASGUPTA T., JEPSEN O., ANDERSEN O. K., MACRIDIN A., MAIER T. A., JARRELL M. and SCHULTHESS T. C., *Phys. Rev. B*, **78** (2008) 035132.

- [13] KANCHARLA S. S., KYUNG B., SÉNÉCHAL D., CIVELLI M., CAPONE M., KOTLIAR G. and TREMBLAY A.-M. S., *Phys. Rev. B*, **77** (2008) 184516.
- [14] MAIER T., JARRELL M., PRUSCHKE T. and KELLER J., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **85** (2000) 1524.
- [15] BLAHA P., SCHWARZ K., MADSEN G. K. H., KVASNICKA D. and LUITZ J., WIEN2K, An Augmented Plane Wave + Local Orbitals Program for Calculating Crystal Properties (Karlheinz Schwarz, Technische Universität Wien, Austria) 2001.
- [16] SOUZA I., MARZARI N. and VANDERBILT D., Phys. Rev. B, 65 (2001) 035109.
- [17] HAULE K., YEE C.-H. and KIM K., Phys. Rev. B, 81 (2010) 195107.
- [18] WEBER C., YEE C.-H., HAULE K. and KOTLIAR G., arXiv:1108.3028 (2011).
- [19] KOTLIAR G., Int. J. Mod. Phys. B, 5 (1991) 341.
- [20] CAFFAREL M. and KRAUTH W., Phys. Rev. Lett., 72 (1994) 1545.

- [21] CIVELLI M., Phys. Rev. B, 79 (2009) 195113.
- [22] ANISIMOV V.I., POTERYAEV A.I., KOROTIN M.A., ANOKHIN A.O. and KOTLIAR G., J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 9 (1997) 7359, http://stacks.iop.org/0953-8984/9/i=35/a=010.
- [23] KOTLIAR G. and LIU J., Phys. Rev. B, 38 (1988) 5142.
- [24] PATHAK S., SHENOY V. B., RANDERIA M. and TRIVEDI N., Phys. Rev. Lett., 102 (2009) 027002, http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102. 027002.
- [25] LOCQUET J.-P., PERRET J., FOMPEYRINE J., MCHLER E., SEO J. W. and TENDELOO G. V., Nature, 394 (1998) 453.
- [26] TROKINER A., LE NOC L., SCHNECK J., POUGNET A. M., MELLET R., PRIMOT J., SAVARY H., GAO Y. M. and AUBRY S., Phys. Rev. B, 44 (1991) 2426.
- [27] ALTIERI S., TJENG L. H., VOOGT F. C., HIBMA T. and SAWATZKY G. A., Phys. Rev. B, 59 (1999) R2517.