## WHAT IS THE ORIGIN OF THE BLACK HOLE -BULGE MASS CORRELATION?

#### By Curtis McCully

### OUTLINE

- What is the Black hole-Bulge mass correlation
- Observations
- Possible Theoretical Explanations

#### BH - BULGE MASS CORRELATION

#### Magorrian Relation



## MOTIVATION

- BH has affects in galaxy formation much farther than is expected from its gravitation alone
- The radius of influence  $r_h$  can be defined as follows:  $M(r < r_h) = 10M_{BH}$
- For Milky Way:

### OBSERVATIONS: USING $M-\sigma_{\star}$

• Virial Theorem: 
$$2\langle T \rangle = \langle V \rangle$$

• Velocity Dispersion  $\sigma_{\star} \Rightarrow$  probe of the mass

#### REVERBERATION MAPPING



Tuesday, December 8, 2009

#### BLACK HOLE MASS OBSERVATIONS

- At low z: Use Reverberation mapping
- At higher z: BH mass from  $H_{\beta}$  width or Continuum velocity widths
- Can measure line widths for bulge mass estimates using the width of eg. [O III] for sigma

Shen et al. 2008

[O III] not a perfect
 surrogate for sigma (Up to a factor ~ 5 uncertainty)



- ♦ [O III] not a perfect surrogate for sigma (Up to a factor ~ 5 uncertainty)
- L to R<sub>BLR</sub>
  uncertainties



[O III] not a perfect
 surrogate for sigma (Up to
 a factor ~ 5 uncertainty)

- $L to R_{BLR}$ uncertainties
- Inversely correlated to Eddington ratio?

9.0 8.5 8.0 (M<sub>bh</sub>/ 7.5 log 7.0 6.5 6.0 -2.0 -1.5 -1.0-0.5-2.5 $\log(L_{bol}/L_{Edd})$ 

9.0

8.5

8.0

7.5

7.0

6.5

6.0

-2.5

-1.0

-0.5

-1.5

-2.0

(M<sub>bh</sub>)

60

[O III] not a perfect
 surrogate for sigma (Up to
 a factor ~ 5 uncertainty)

- $L to R_{BLR}$ uncertainties
- Inversely correlated to Eddington ratio?

Uncertainties in  $\sigma_{\star}$  and  $M_{BH}$  propagate to be the uncertainties in the inferred mass and therefore the Magorrian Relation.

## MODERN RESULTS



## MODERN RESULTS



#### EVOLUTION OF M-SIGMA RELATION



### FEEDBACK MODELS

- Self-regulated BH Growth
- Eddington Limited rapid BH growth
- Bulge and BH grow in tandem

Begelman and Nath (2005)

## ACCRETION MODELS

- Supercritical accretion rates create an outflow that forms a shell from a shock
- ♦ As the BH accretes mass the velocity of the shell increases to reach sigma forming the  $M \sigma_{\star}$  relation
- Therefore, the  $M \sigma_{\star}$  relation only holds at the end of the formation of a galaxy

King (2003)

## COMBINATION MODELS

Star Formation Feedback (SNe and Radiation pressure)



Tuesday, December 8, 2009

## MODEL PREDICTIONS

- Feedback models predict that there should be no dependence on redshift and insensitive to dark matter halo (Begelman and Nath 2005)
- There could be redshift dependence in Accretion models (King 2003)
- There is predicted redshift dependence from the combination model and is dependent on an NFW dark matter profile (Xu et al 2007).

### REFERENCES

- Begelman, M. C., & Nath, B. B. 2005, MNRAS, 361, 1387
- King, A. 2003, ApJ, 596, L27
- Magorrian, J., et al. 1998, AJ, 115, 2285
- Peterson, B. M. 2006, Memorie della Societa Astronomica Italiana, 77, 581
- Salviander, S., Shields, G. A., Gebhardt, K., & Bonning, E. W. 2007, ApJ, 662, 131
- Shen, J., Vanden Berk, D. E., Schneider, D. P., & Hall, P. B. 2008, AJ, 135, 928
- Xu, B., Wu, X., & Zhao, H. 2007, ApJ, 664, 198

## CONCLUSIONS

- There is a tight correlation between the Mass of the Central Black Hole and the Stellar Velocity Dispersion
- From the Virial Theorem we can use  $\sigma_{\star}$  as a tracer of mass
- We find a tight correlation between the Mass of the Central Black Hole and the Mass of the Bulge: The Magorrian Relation
- This phenomenon is not well understood theoretically
- There are two main types of physical interpretations
  - Accretion models
  - Feedback models