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## 1-d quantum systems

## quantum mechanics

- a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$
- a self-adjoint hamiltonian operator $H \geq 0$ on $\mathcal{H}$ ( $H$ generates translation in time, $t \mapsto e^{i t H}$ )
in a one dimensional space (e.g., a quantum wire)

i.e., the algebra of operators (observables) is generated by operators (operator-valued distributions) $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}(x)$, localized in a one dimensional space:

$$
\left[\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}(x), \mathcal{O}_{\alpha^{\prime}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right]=0 \quad x \neq x^{\prime}
$$

## But a physical wire is not a one-dimensional continuum:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\stackrel{\circ}{0} \begin{array}{c}
{ }^{\prime} \\
x \in\{0,1 \epsilon, 2 \epsilon, 3 \epsilon, \ldots, N \epsilon\} \quad N=L / \epsilon \gg 1
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

We are interested in systems where quantum phenomena are correlated over large distances compared to the microscopic scale $\epsilon$.
This happens when the system goes critical at very low temperature.
We are interested in doing things with the system at some typical distance of order $L$, with $\epsilon \ll L$.

We want to get close to the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

## Advertisement

I argued that circuits made of bulk-critical quantum wire, joined at boundaries and junctions, would be ideal for asymptotically large-scale quantum computing. The $c=24$ monster-symmetric wire would be especially ideal. [cond-mat/0505084,0505085]

## Abstract examples: the nonlinear models

Let $M$ be a manifold. Put a copy of $M$ at each point $x$.

$$
\mathcal{H}=\bigotimes_{x} L_{2}(M)=L_{2}\left(\prod_{x} M\right)
$$

The states in $\mathcal{H}$ are the $L_{2}$ functions of the classical field $\phi$

$$
\phi \in \prod_{x} M: x \mapsto \phi(x) \in M
$$

The hamiltonian is parametrized by the metric $g$

$$
H=\sum_{x} \epsilon^{-1}\left[\Delta_{\phi(x)}+\operatorname{dist}^{2}(\phi(x), \phi(x+\epsilon))\right]
$$

generalizing the Heisenberg model, where $(M, g)=$ round $S^{3}$.

Formally, in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$
H=\int d x\left[g^{-1}(\pi(x), \pi(x))+g(d \phi(x), d \phi(x))\right]
$$

where

$$
\left[\pi(x), \phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right]=\delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)
$$

We say that $g$ is naively dimensionless. No inconvenient powers of $\epsilon$ appear when we take the formal limit.

But this formal limit is valid only when $M$ is asymptotically large, when $g \rightarrow \infty$.
More generally, as we send $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have to make the metric $g$ depend on $\epsilon$ in a certain way

$$
\epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} g=\beta(g)
$$

so that our measurements at distances of order $L$ are independent of $\epsilon$ in the limit.
We can calculate $\beta(g)$ as an expansion in powers of $g^{-1}$,

$$
\beta(g)=-\operatorname{Ricci}(g)+R^{2}(g)+\cdots
$$

This is the renormalization group flow. The continuum limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ requires stability in the far past.

## Bulk-critical systems

I will be considering systems that are exactly critical (in the bulk): fixed points of the RG, $\beta=0$. The geometric analogy would be Ricci-flat.

These systems are scale-invariant, because scaling $x$ is equivalent to scaling $\epsilon$, and nothing depends on $\epsilon$.

A fair number of general theorems can be proved about such 1-d quantum systems.

It turns out that these systems are conformally invariant, not just scale invariant. In consequence, the Virasoro algebra acts on $\mathcal{H}$. The Virasoro algebra is the central extension of $\operatorname{diff}\left(S^{1}\right)$,

$$
\left[L_{m}, L_{n}\right]=(m-n) L_{m+n}+\frac{c}{12} m\left(m^{2}-1\right) \delta_{m+n}
$$

In one spatial dimension, the rigid structure of quantum field theory combined with the constraint of conformal invariance seems to be one of those optimal mathematical objects which have a rich collection of realizations, that are almost classifiable.

## A theorem about conformally invariant 1-d systems

## Unitary representation theory of the Virasoro algebra

$$
c \geq 1 \quad \text { or } c \in\{1-6 / m(m+1): m=2,3,4, \ldots\}
$$

For the nonlinear models, $c=\operatorname{dim}(M) \geq 1$.

The thermal partition function of the 1-d system

$$
Z=\operatorname{tr} e^{-\beta H}
$$

It can be shown that, for $L / \beta \gg 1$,

$$
\ln Z=\frac{\pi c}{6} \frac{L}{\beta}+o\left(\frac{L}{\beta}\right)
$$

where $c$ is the central charge of the Virasoro algebra (appropriately normalized).
So the unitary representation theory of the Virasoro algebra yields a theorem on the possible values of this physical measurement.

## Boundary conditions

It might seem, after we have restricted ourselves to systems with $\beta=0$, that there is nothing more to be said about the RG flow on such systems.

But I left something out.


We need to impose some boundary condition at $x=0$, and also at $x=L$.
The boundary condition is additional data parametrizing the system.
For example, in the nonlinear model, we might require $\phi(0) \in N$, for $N$ a sub-manifold of $M$, and $\phi(L) \in N^{\prime}$, for another sub-manifold $N^{\prime}$.

For the general bulk-critical system, we consider the space of all possible boundary conditions, parametrized by coordinates $\lambda^{a}$.

The boundary partition function $z(\lambda, \beta)$

$$
\ln Z=\ln z(\lambda, \beta)+\frac{\pi c}{6} \frac{L}{\beta}+\ln z\left(\lambda^{\prime}, \beta\right)+o(1)
$$

$\lambda$ being the boundary condition at $x=0$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$ the boundary condition at $x=L$.

The boundary renormalization group flow

$$
\left(\epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon}+\beta^{a}(\lambda) \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda^{a}}\right) \ln z=0
$$

Entropy and boundary entropy

$$
S=\left(1-\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}\right) \ln Z=s+\frac{\pi c}{3} \frac{L}{\beta}+s^{\prime}
$$

Gradient formula for the boundary entropy [DF \& A. Konechny, 2004]

$$
\frac{\partial s}{\partial \lambda^{a}}=-g_{a b} \beta^{b}(\lambda)
$$

The gradient formula implies that $s$ decreases along the RG flow

$$
-\epsilon \frac{\partial s}{\partial \epsilon}=\beta^{a} \frac{\partial s}{\partial \lambda^{a}}=-\beta^{a} g_{a b}(\lambda) \beta^{b} \leq 0
$$

with equality iff $\beta=0$.

The gradient formula implies 2nd law of boundary thermodynamics

$$
\beta \frac{\partial s}{\partial \beta}=-\epsilon \frac{\partial s}{\partial \epsilon}=-\beta^{a} g_{a b}(\lambda) \beta^{b} \leq 0
$$

The boundary behaves thermodynamically like an isolated system.

## No general gradient formula is known for the bulk

The $c$-theorem says that $c$ extends to the non-scale-invariant bulk systems so that

$$
\beta^{i} \frac{\partial c}{\partial \lambda^{i}}=-\beta^{i} g_{i j}^{\text {bulk }} \beta^{j} \leq 0
$$

but this has not been derived from a general bulk gradient formula.

## Supersymmetric 1-d systems, critical in the bulk

a conserved fermionic super-charge

$$
H=\hat{Q}^{2}
$$

A 2nd gradient formula for susy boundaries [DF \& A. Konechny, 2008]

$$
\frac{\partial \ln z}{\partial \lambda^{a}}=-g_{a b}^{S}(\lambda) \beta^{b}(\lambda)
$$

The $\lambda^{a}$ now restricted to the susy coupling constants.

## implying

$$
-\epsilon \frac{\partial \ln z}{\partial \epsilon}=\beta \frac{\partial \ln z}{\partial \beta}=\beta^{a} \frac{\partial \ln z}{\partial \lambda^{a}}=-\beta^{a} g_{a b}^{S} \beta^{b} \leq 0
$$

so $\ln z$ decreases under the RG flow. and the boundary energy $-\partial \ln z / \partial \beta$ is nonnegative.
The boundary behaves thermodynamically like an isolated supersymmetric system:

$$
-\frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \beta}=Z^{-1} \operatorname{tr}\left(e^{-\beta H} H\right)=Z^{-1} \operatorname{tr}\left(e^{-\beta H} \hat{Q}^{2}\right) \geq 0
$$

## Action principal for open string theory

$\beta^{a}=0$ is the classical string equation of motion, so the gradient formula gives an action principle: the equation of motion is the stationarity condition on an action function. The super gradient formula was originally conjectured in string theory in the form

$$
\frac{\partial z}{\partial \lambda^{a}}=-G_{a b}^{S} \beta^{b} \quad G_{a b}^{S}=z g_{a b}^{S}
$$

$z$ is the string action, not the physical $\ln z$. The bosonic boundary gradient formula had been indirectly conjectured in string theory: the equivalence to the physical formula was not as obvious.

In the remainder of the talk, I will sketch a direct proof that

$$
\beta \frac{\partial \ln z}{\partial \beta} \leq 0
$$

i.e., that the susy boundary energy is non-negative, that $\ln z$ decreases under the RG flow.

The steps are exactly the same as used in the proof of the gradient formula. At the end I will flash a few slides of the proof of the gradient formula, then pose some questions, then, if time permits, explain a crucial technical lemma.

## Assumption: a locally conserved supercharge density

energy density and super-charge density

$$
\begin{gathered}
H=\int_{0}^{L} d x \mathcal{H}(t, x) \\
\hat{Q}=\int_{0}^{L} d x \hat{\rho}(t, x) \\
{[\hat{Q}, \hat{\rho}(t, x)]_{+}=2 \mathcal{H}(t, x)}
\end{gathered}
$$

local conservation of super-charge

$$
\partial_{t} \hat{\rho}(t, x)+\partial_{x} \hat{\jmath}(t, x)=0
$$

## Boundary charge operators

## boundary hamiltonian

$$
h(t)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{\epsilon} d x \mathcal{H}(t, x)
$$

boundary super-charge

$$
\hat{q}(t)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{\epsilon} d x \hat{\rho}(t, x)
$$

super-partners

$$
[\hat{Q}, \hat{q}(t)]_{+}=2 h(t)
$$

thermodynamic boundary energy

$$
-\frac{\partial \ln z}{\partial \beta}=\langle h\rangle
$$

Separate $\hat{Q}$ into boundary and bulk parts at $x=\epsilon$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{q}_{\epsilon}(t)=\int_{0}^{\epsilon} d x \hat{\rho}(t, x) \quad \hat{Q}_{b u l k}(t)=\int_{\epsilon}^{L} d x \hat{\rho}(t, x) \\
\hat{Q}=\hat{q}_{\epsilon}(t)+\hat{Q}_{b u l k}(t)
\end{gathered}
$$

## locality implies

$$
\left[\hat{Q}_{b u l k}(0), \hat{q}(0)\right]_{+}=0
$$

SO

$$
\langle 2 h\rangle=\left\langle[Q, \hat{q}(0)]_{+}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left[\hat{q}_{\epsilon}(0), \hat{q}(0)\right]_{+}\right\rangle
$$

but this equation is useless at $\epsilon=0$, where it would trivially give the positivity result.

The problem is that $\left\langle[\hat{q}(0), \hat{q}(0)]_{+}\right\rangle$is divergent.
The boundary cannot be separated from the bulk, in general.

## Use time dependence

## Fourier transform and define response functions

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{\epsilon}(\omega)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t e^{i \omega t}\left\langle\left[\hat{q}_{\epsilon}(t), \hat{q}(0)\right]_{+}\right\rangle \\
G_{\epsilon}^{ \pm}(\omega)= \pm \int_{0}^{ \pm \infty} d t e^{i \omega t}\left\langle\left[\hat{Q}_{b u l k}(t), \hat{q}(0)\right]_{+}\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

SO

$$
2 \pi \delta(\omega)\langle 2 h\rangle=g_{\epsilon}(\omega)+G_{\epsilon}^{+}(\omega)+G_{\epsilon}^{-}(\omega)
$$

bulk super-conformal invariance implies

$$
G_{\epsilon}^{+}(i \pi / \beta)=0=G_{\epsilon}^{-}(-i \pi / \beta)
$$

so

$$
\int \frac{d \omega}{2 \pi} \frac{\pi^{2} / \beta^{2}}{\omega^{2}+\pi^{2} / \beta^{2}} G_{\epsilon}^{ \pm}(\omega)=0
$$

SO

$$
\langle 2 h\rangle=\int \frac{d \omega}{2 \pi} \frac{\pi^{2} / \beta^{2}}{\omega^{2}+\pi^{2} / \beta^{2}} g_{\epsilon}(\omega)
$$

Now take $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
g(\omega)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t e^{i \omega t}\left\langle[\hat{q}(t), \hat{q}(0)]_{+}\right\rangle \\
\beta \frac{\partial \ln z}{\partial \beta}=-\beta\langle h\rangle=-\frac{\beta}{2} \int \frac{d \omega}{2 \pi} \frac{\pi^{2} / \beta^{2}}{\omega^{2}+\pi^{2} / \beta^{2}} g(\omega)
\end{gathered}
$$

which is uv-finite as long as $\operatorname{dim}[g(\omega)]<1$, i.e., $\operatorname{dim}[\hat{q}]<1$.

We have $g(\omega) \geq 0$ and $g(\omega)=0$ iff $\hat{q}=0$, so

$$
\beta \frac{\partial \ln z}{\partial \beta} \leq 0
$$

with equality iff the boundary is critical (superconformal).

## The gradient formula

## boundary operators

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\hat{Q}, \hat{\phi}_{a}(t)\right]_{+}=\phi_{a}(t)} \\
\frac{\partial \ln z}{\partial \lambda^{a}}=\beta\left\langle\phi_{a}\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

boundary beta-functions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{q}=-2 \beta^{a} \hat{\phi}_{a} \\
h=\frac{1}{2}[\hat{Q}, \hat{q}]_{+}=-\beta^{a} \phi_{a}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\Lambda \frac{\partial \ln z}{\partial \Lambda}=\beta \frac{\partial \ln z}{\partial \beta}=-\beta\langle h\rangle=\beta\left\langle\beta^{a} \phi_{a}\right\rangle=\beta^{a} \frac{\partial \ln z}{\partial \lambda^{a}}
$$

$$
\left\langle\phi_{a}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left[\hat{Q}, \hat{\phi}_{a}(0)\right]_{+}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left[\hat{q}_{\epsilon}(t)+\hat{Q}_{b u l k}(t), \hat{\phi}_{a}(0)\right]_{+}\right\rangle
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{a}(\omega) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t e^{i \omega t}\left\langle\left[\hat{q}(t), \hat{\phi}_{a}(0)\right]_{+}\right\rangle \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t e^{i \omega t}\left\langle\left[-2 \beta^{b} \hat{\phi}_{b}(t), \hat{\phi}_{a}(0)\right]_{+}\right\rangle \\
& =-2 \beta^{b} g_{a b}(\omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\phi_{a}\right\rangle & =\int \frac{d \omega}{2 \pi} \frac{\pi^{2} / \beta^{2}}{\omega^{2}+\pi^{2} / \beta^{2}} g_{a}(\omega) \\
& =-2 \beta^{b} \int \frac{d \omega}{2 \pi} \frac{\pi^{2} / \beta^{2}}{\omega^{2}+\pi^{2} / \beta^{2}} g_{a b}(\omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial \ln z}{\partial \lambda^{a}}=-g_{a b}^{S} \beta^{b} \\
g_{a b}(\omega)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t e^{i \omega t}\left\langle\left[\hat{\phi}_{b}(t), \hat{\phi}_{a}(0)\right]_{+}\right\rangle \\
g_{a b}^{S}=2 \beta \int \frac{d \omega}{2 \pi} \frac{\pi^{2} / \beta^{2}}{\omega^{2}+\pi^{2} / \beta^{2}} g_{a b}(\omega) \\
=\pi \int d t e^{-\pi|t| / \beta}\left\langle\left[\hat{\phi}_{b}(t), \hat{\phi}_{a}(0)\right]_{+}\right\rangle \\
=2 \pi \int_{0}^{\beta} d \tau \sin \left(\frac{\pi \tau}{\beta}\right)\left\langle\hat{\phi}_{b}(-i \tau), \hat{\phi}_{a}(0)\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

## Some questions

(1) Why do we need bulk conformal invariance?
(2) Why do we need canonical uv behavior in the boundary?

- no negative dimension boundary operators
- no strongly irrelevant boundary operators
( Does the result apply to composite boundaries/junctions?
- Can $\ln z$ (and/or $s$ ) be bounded below?


## Bulk conformal invariance and zeros of response functions

$$
\partial_{t} \hat{Q}_{b u l k}(t)=\int_{\epsilon}^{L} d x\left[-\partial_{x} \hat{\jmath}(t, x)\right]=\hat{\jmath}(t, \epsilon)
$$

Define response functions

$$
R_{a}^{ \pm}(\omega)= \pm \int_{0}^{ \pm \infty} d t e^{i \omega t-\delta|t|}\left\langle\left[i \hat{\jmath}(t, \epsilon), \hat{\phi}_{a}(0)\right]_{+}\right\rangle
$$

$R_{a}^{+}(\omega)$ is analytic in the upper half-plane, $R_{a}^{-}(\omega)$ in the lower.

## Use the conservation equation

$$
G_{a, \epsilon}^{ \pm}(\omega)= \pm \int_{0}^{ \pm \infty} d t e^{i \omega t-\delta|t|}\left\langle\left[\hat{Q}_{b u l k}(t), \hat{\phi}_{a}(0)\right]_{+}\right\rangle=\frac{R_{a}^{ \pm}(\omega)}{\omega \pm i \delta}
$$

$\tau=i t, 0<\tau<\beta$

$$
\left\langle\hat{\jmath}(-i \tau, \epsilon) \hat{\phi}_{a}(0)\right\rangle=\int \frac{d \omega}{2 \pi i} \frac{e^{-\omega \tau}}{1+e^{-\omega \beta}}\left[R^{+}(\omega)+R^{-}(\omega)\right]
$$

## poles at

$$
\omega_{n}=\frac{2 \pi i n}{\beta} \quad n \in \frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}
$$

SO

$$
\left\langle\hat{\jmath}(-i \tau, \epsilon) \hat{\phi}_{a}(0)\right\rangle=\beta^{-1} \sum_{n} e^{-\omega_{n} \tau}\left[\theta(n) R^{+}\left(\omega_{n}\right)-\theta(-n) R^{-}\left(\omega_{n}\right)\right]
$$

but

$$
j(-i \tau, x)=A G(x+i \tau)+\bar{A} G(x-i \tau)
$$

so

$$
R_{a}^{+}(i \pi / \beta)=0=R_{a}^{-}(-i \pi / \beta)
$$

