

Symplectic approach to canonical transformations

Consider $\begin{cases} Q_i = Q_i(p, q) \\ P_i = P_i(p, q) \end{cases}$ restricted canonical transform (*)

Recall that $H(Q, P, t)$ is obtained from $H(q, p, t)$ by substituting (i.e. it does not change under restricted transforms)

$$\begin{cases} q_j = q_j(P, Q) \\ P_j = P_j(P, Q) \end{cases} (**) \Leftarrow \text{inverse of } (*)$$

$$\text{Then } \dot{Q}_i = \frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial q_j} \dot{q}_j + \frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial p_j} \dot{p}_j = \frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial q_j} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial p_j} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_j}$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial P_i} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j} \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial P_i} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_j} \frac{\partial q_j}{\partial P_i}$$

$$\text{Thus, } \dot{Q}_i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial P_i} \quad \text{iff} \quad \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial q_j} \right)_{q,p} = \left(\frac{\partial p_j}{\partial P_i} \right)_{Q,P} \\ \left(\frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial p_j} \right)_{q,p} = - \left(\frac{\partial q_j}{\partial P_i} \right)_{Q,P} \end{cases}$$

Similarly, use $\dot{P}_i = - \frac{\partial H}{\partial Q_i}$ to obtain:

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial q_j} \right)_{q,p} = - \left(\frac{\partial p_j}{\partial Q_i} \right)_{Q,P} \\ \left(\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial p_j} \right)_{q,p} = \left(\frac{\partial q_j}{\partial Q_i} \right)_{Q,P} \end{cases}$$

Now use symplectic notation:

$$\dot{\vec{\eta}} = J \frac{\partial H}{\partial \vec{\eta}} \quad \Leftarrow H = H(\vec{\eta}, t)$$

Consider a restricted canonical transform

$$\vec{\xi} = \vec{\xi}(\vec{\eta}).$$

$$\text{Now, } \dot{\xi}_i = \underbrace{\frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial \eta_j}}_{M_{ij}} \dot{\eta}_j \quad \Rightarrow \quad \dot{\vec{\xi}} = \underbrace{M}_{\text{Jacobian matrix}} \dot{\vec{\eta}}.$$

$$\text{Next, } \dot{\vec{\xi}} = M J \frac{\partial H}{\partial \vec{\eta}}$$

If we change vars in H , $H = H(\vec{\xi}, t)$,

$$\text{we obtain: } \frac{\partial H}{\partial \eta_i} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \xi_j} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial \eta_i}}_{M_{ji} = (\tilde{M})_{ij}}, \text{ or}$$

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial \vec{\eta}} = \tilde{M} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \vec{\xi}}$$

$$\text{Finally, } \dot{\vec{\xi}} = \underbrace{M J \tilde{M}}_J \frac{\partial H}{\partial \vec{\xi}}$$

since the transform'n is canonical

$$\text{So, } \underbrace{M J \tilde{M}}_{(1)} = J \Rightarrow M J = J \tilde{M}^{-1}, \text{ or}$$

$$\underbrace{J(MJ)}_{\text{II}} (-J) = \underbrace{J(J \tilde{M}^{-1})}_{\text{II}} (-J) \Rightarrow J M = \tilde{M}^{-1} J.$$

Finally, $\underline{\bar{M}} J M = J$ ⁽²⁾

(1) & (2) are symplectic conditions for a canonical transform'n.

Ex. Consider $n=2$ $\underline{\eta} = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\underline{\xi} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \\ P_1 \\ P_2 \end{pmatrix}$

and the generating f'n

$$F' = q_1 P_1 + q_2 Q_2$$

leads to $\begin{cases} Q_1 = q_1, & P_1 = p_1 \\ Q_2 = p_2, & P_2 = -q_2 \end{cases}$

Then $\begin{pmatrix} \dot{Q}_1 \\ \dot{Q}_2 \\ \dot{P}_1 \\ \dot{P}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_M \begin{pmatrix} \dot{q}_1 \\ \dot{q}_2 \\ \dot{p}_1 \\ \dot{p}_2 \end{pmatrix}$

On the other hand, $\underline{\dot{\xi}} = J \frac{\partial H}{\partial \underline{\xi}}$ yields

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{Q}_1 \\ \dot{Q}_2 \\ \dot{P}_1 \\ \dot{P}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_J \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial H}{\partial Q_1} \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial Q_2} \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial P_1} \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial P_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

It's easy to check that (1) & (2) are satisfied.

Now consider $\vec{\xi} = \vec{\xi}(\vec{\eta}, t)$ and focus first on an infinitesimal canonical

transform: $\vec{\xi} = \vec{\eta} + \delta\vec{\eta} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} Q_i = q_i + \delta q_i, \\ P_i = p_i + \delta p_i. \end{cases}$

The generating function is

$$F_2 = \underbrace{q_i P_i}_{\substack{\text{identity} \\ \text{transform}}} + \epsilon \underbrace{G(q, P, t)}_{\substack{\text{small} \\ \text{prm}}}$$

Then $\begin{cases} p_j = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial q_j} = P_j + \epsilon \frac{\partial G}{\partial q_j} \Rightarrow \delta p_j = -\epsilon \frac{\partial G}{\partial q_j} \\ Q_j = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial P_j} = q_j + \epsilon \frac{\partial G}{\partial P_j} \Rightarrow \delta q_j = \epsilon \frac{\partial G}{\partial P_j} \end{cases}$

to $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$, simply replace $\{P\}$ by $\{p\}$ everywhere in G & replace $\frac{\partial G}{\partial P_j} \rightarrow \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_j}$
 \Downarrow
 $G = G(q, p, t)$

Finally, $\delta\vec{\eta} = \epsilon J \frac{\partial G}{\partial \vec{\eta}}$ and

$$M = \frac{\partial \vec{\xi}}{\partial \vec{\eta}} = \mathbb{I} + \frac{\partial \delta\vec{\eta}}{\partial \vec{\eta}} = \mathbb{I} + \epsilon J \underbrace{\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \vec{\eta} \partial \vec{\eta}}}_{\substack{\text{symm. matrix,} \\ \text{ij element:} \\ \left(\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \eta_i \partial \eta_j} \right)}}$$

Next, $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{I} + \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \vec{\eta} \partial \vec{\eta}} \right) \vec{J} = \mathbb{I} - \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \vec{\eta} \partial \vec{\eta}} \vec{J}$

But then

$$M \tilde{M} = \left(\mathbb{I} + \epsilon \vec{J} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \vec{\eta} \partial \vec{\eta}} \right) \vec{J} \left(\mathbb{I} - \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \vec{\eta} \partial \vec{\eta}} \vec{J} \right) \approx \vec{J} + \epsilon \vec{J} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \vec{\eta} \partial \vec{\eta}} \vec{J} - \epsilon \vec{J} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \vec{\eta} \partial \vec{\eta}} \vec{J} = \vec{J}.$$

\uparrow
 $\theta(\epsilon)$

Finally, the reasoning above applies to

$$\vec{z}(t_0) \rightarrow \vec{z}(t_0 + dt)$$

\downarrow
acts as ϵ

Then $\vec{z}(t_0) \rightarrow \vec{z}(t)$ also satisfies the symplectic condition if we build it up in steps of dt .

But the transformation $\vec{\eta} \rightarrow \vec{z}(t_0)$ is symplectic since it is time-independent. So, if both $\vec{\eta} \rightarrow \vec{z}(t_0)$ & $\vec{z}(t_0) \rightarrow \vec{z}(t)$ are canonical, so is $\vec{\eta} \rightarrow \vec{z}(t)$. Thus any canonical transform, time-dependent or not, satisfies the symplectic conditions (1) & (2).

It can be shown that canonical transformations form a group, with group multiplication defined as 2 successive canonical transformations.

Poisson brackets and canonical invariants

Poisson bracket is defined as

$$[u, v]_{q,p} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial q_i}$$

In matrix form,

$$[u, v]_{\vec{\eta}} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{\eta}}^T J \frac{\partial v}{\partial \vec{\eta}}$$

Note that

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} [q_j, q_k]_{q,p} &= \frac{\partial q_j}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial q_k}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial q_j}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial q_k}{\partial q_i} = 0, \\ [p_j, q_k]_{q,p} &= \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial q_k}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial q_k}{\partial q_i} = -\delta_{jk}, \\ [p_j, p_k]_{q,p} &= 0, \\ [q_j, p_k]_{q,p} &= \delta_{jk}. \end{aligned} \right.$$

In matrix form,

$$[\vec{\eta}, \vec{\eta}]_{\vec{\eta}} = J.$$

$[\eta_l, \eta_m]_{\vec{\eta}}$ is the l, m element of this matrix

Now consider $\vec{z} = \vec{z}(\vec{\eta}, t)$, a time-dependent canonical transformation $(p, q) \rightarrow (P, Q)$.

In matrix language,

$$[\vec{z}, \vec{z}]_{\vec{\eta}} = \frac{\partial \vec{z}}{\partial \vec{\eta}} \underbrace{J}_{M, \text{ Jacobian matrix}} \frac{\partial \vec{z}}{\partial \vec{\eta}} = \widetilde{M} J M = J. \quad (*)$$

Conversely, if (*) is valid the $\vec{\eta} \rightarrow \vec{z}$ transform must be canonical.

Since $[\vec{z}, \vec{z}]_{\vec{z}} = J$, (*) implies that Poisson brackets of canonical vars themselves (called fundamental PBs) are invariant under canonical transformations. This is equivalent to $\widetilde{M} J M = J$, the symplectic condition of a canonical transform.

Now consider

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \vec{\eta}} = \widetilde{M} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \vec{z}} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{\eta}} = \widetilde{M} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{z}} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{z}} M \end{cases},$$

Hence

$$[u, v]_{\vec{\eta}} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{\eta}} J \frac{\partial v}{\partial \vec{\eta}} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{z}} \underbrace{M J M}_{J} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \vec{z}} = [u, v]_{\vec{z}}.$$

So, all Poisson brackets are canonical invariants.

To emphasize that, we shall drop the subscripts: $[u, v]_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow [u, v]$ and so on.

Note that $[u, u] = [v, v] = 0$,
 $[u, v] = -[v, u]$ (antisymmetry).

Furthermore,

$$[au + bv, w] = a[u, w] + b[v, w] \quad (\text{linearity})$$

$$[uv, w] = [u, w]v + u[v, w] \quad (\text{distributive property})$$

Finally,

$$[u, [v, w]] + [v, [w, u]] + [w, [u, v]] = 0$$

Jacobi's identity

Other canonical invariants:

Poisson bracket $\{u, v\}$, defined as

$$\{u, v\}_{q,p} = \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial u} \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial u} \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial v}, \text{ or}$$

$$\{u, v\}_{\vec{\eta}} = \frac{\partial \vec{\eta}}{\partial u} J \frac{\partial \vec{\eta}}{\partial v} \quad \text{in matrix notation}$$

Fundamental Poisson brackets:

$$\begin{cases} \{q_i, q_j\}_{q,p} = 0, & \{p_i, p_j\}_{q,p} = 0, \\ \{q_i, p_j\}_{q,p} = \delta_{ij} \end{cases}$$

In matrix notation, $\{\vec{\eta}, \vec{\eta}\} = J$

One can use the Jacobian and the symplectic condition to show that $\{u, v\}$ is canonically inv.

—
 Lagrange & Poisson brackets are "inverses" of one another, in the following sense:
 Consider u_i ($i=1, \dots, 2n$), $2n$ indep. f's of canonical vars q_k & p_k ($k=1, \dots, n$).
 Then $\{\vec{u}, \vec{u}\}$ is a $2n \times 2n$ matrix with $\{u_i, u_j\}$ as the i, j th element. Similarly, $[\vec{u}, \vec{u}]$ is a $2n \times 2n$ matrix. Then it can be shown that

$$\{\vec{u}, \vec{u}\} [\vec{u}, \vec{u}] = -\mathbb{I}_{2n}.$$

—
 Lagrange brackets do not obey Jacobi's identity.

—
 Magnitude of a volume element in phase space is canonically inv:

Consider $\vec{\eta} \rightarrow \vec{\xi}$ [2n-dim phase space]

Then volume element

$$(d\eta) = dq_1 dq_2 \dots dq_n dp_1 \dots dp_n$$

⇓

$$(d\xi) = dQ_1 \dots dQ_n dP_1 \dots dP_n$$

Now, $(d\zeta) = \underbrace{\|M\|}_{\substack{\text{absolute value} \\ \text{of det of Jacobian} \\ \text{matrix}}} (d\eta)$

For example, with $n=1$ we have:

$$dQ dP = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial q}{\partial Q} & \frac{\partial q}{\partial P} \\ \frac{\partial p}{\partial Q} & \frac{\partial p}{\partial P} \end{vmatrix} dq dp = [q, p]_{\zeta} dq dp$$

But the symplectic condition yields:

$$|M|^2 |J| = |J| \Rightarrow |M| = \pm 1, \text{ or} \\ \underline{\underline{\|M\| = 1.}}$$

Then $(d\zeta) = (d\eta)$ and therefore

$V_n = \int \dots \int (d\eta)$ is a canonical invariant

↳ volume of an arbitrary region of phase space

If $n=1$, $(d\eta) = dq dp$ & $V_1 = \int dq dp$