

Physical Mathematics
and
 $d=4$ $\mathcal{N}=2$ Field Theory

Gregory Moore
Rutgers University



Stanford, Nov. 27, 2018

Phys-i-cal Math-e-ma-tics, n.

Pronunciation: Brit. /'fɪzɪkl̩ ˌmæθ(ə)'mæɪtɪks / , U.S. /'fɪzək(ə)l̩ ˌmæθ(ə)'mædɪks/

Frequency (in current use):



1. Physical mathematics is a fusion of mathematical and physical ideas, motivated by the dual, but equally central, goals of elucidating the laws of nature at their most fundamental level, together with discovering deep mathematical truths.

2014 G. Moore *Physical Mathematics and the Future*,
<http://www.physics.rutgers.edu/~gmoore>

.....

1573 *Life Virgil* in T. Phaer & T. Twyne tr. *Virgil Whole .xii. Bks. Æneidos* sig. Aiv^v, Amonge other studies he cheefly applied himself to Physick and Mathematickes.




Kepler

Snapshots from the
Great Debate
over
the relation between



Galileo

Mathematics and Physics




Newton



Leibniz

When did Natural Philosophers become either Physicists or Mathematicians?

Even around the turn of the 19th century ...



But 60 years later ... we read in volume 2 of Nature ...



1869: Sylvester's Challenge

A pure mathematician speaks:

of physical philosophy ; the one here in print," says Professor Sylvester, "is an attempted faint adumbration of the nature of mathematical science in the abstract. What is wanting (like a fourth sphere resting on three others in contact) to build up the ideal pyramid is a discourse on the relation of the two branches (mathematics and physics) to, and their action and reaction upon, one another—a magnificent theme, with which it is to be hoped that some future president of Section A will crown the edifice. and make the tetralogy (symbolisable by $A + A'$, A , A' , AA') complete."



1870: Maxwell's Answer

An undoubted physicist responds,

SECTIONAL PROCEEDINGS

SECTION A.—*Mathematical and Physical Science*.—President,
Prof. J. Clerk Maxwell, F.R.S.

The president delivered the following address :—

Maxwell recommends his somewhat-neglected dynamical theory of the electromagnetic field to the mathematical community:

phenomena must be studied in order to be appreciated. Another theory of electricity which I prefer denies action at a distance and attributes electric action to tensions and pressures in an all-pervading medium, these stresses being the same in kind with those familiar to engineers, and the medium being identical with that in which light is supposed to be propagated.”

1900: The Second ICM



Hilbert announced his famous 23 problems for the 20th century, on August 8, 1900

Mathematische Probleme.

Vortrag, gehalten auf dem internationalen Mathematiker-Kongreß zu Paris 1900.

Von

D. Hilbert.

Who of us would not be glad to lift the veil behind which the future lies hidden; to cast a glance at the next advances of our science and at the secrets of its development


1900: Hilbert's 6th Problem



To treat [...] by means of axioms, those physical sciences in which mathematics plays an important part [...]

October 7, 1900: Planck's formula, leading to h .

Prerequisite: 750:502 Quantum Mechanics, or equivalent. Lorentz group; relativistic wave-equations; second quantization; global and local symmetries; QED and gauge invariance; spontaneous symmetry breaking; nonabelian gauge theories; Standard Model; Feynman diagrams; cross sections, decay rates; renormalization group.



1931: Dirac's Paper on Monopoles

Quantised Singularities in the Electromagnetic Field

P.A.M. Dirac


Received May 29, 1931

§ 1. *Introduction*

The steady progress of physics requires for its theoretical formulation a mathematics that gets continually more advanced. This is only natural and to be expected. What, however, was not expected by the scientific workers

for the description of general facts of the physical world. It seems likely that this process of increasing abstraction will continue in the future and that advance in physics is to be associated with a continual modification and generalisation of the axioms at the base of the mathematics rather than with a

1972: Dyson's Announcement



MISSED OPPORTUNITIES¹

BY FREEMAN J. DYSON

It is important for him who wants to discover not to confine himself to one chapter of science, but to keep in touch with various others.

JACQUES HADAMARD

1. **Introduction.** The purpose of the Gibbs lectures is officially defined as “to enable the public and the academic community to become aware of the contribution that mathematics is making to present-day thinking and to modern civilization.” This puts me in a difficult position. I happen to be a physicist who started life as a mathematician. As a working physicist, I am acutely aware of the fact that the marriage between mathematics and physics, which was so enormously fruitful in past centuries, has recently ended in divorce. Discussing this divorce, the

Well, I am happy to report that
Mathematics and Physics have
remarried!



But, the relationship has altered somewhat...

A sea change began in the 1970's

A number of great mathematicians got interested in the physics of gauge theory and string theory

and at the same time a number of great physicists started producing results requiring ever increasing mathematical sophistication,

Physical Mathematics

With a great boost from string theory, after 40 years of intellectual ferment a new field has emerged with its own distinctive character, its own aims and values, its own standards of proof.

One of the guiding principles is certainly Hilbert's 6th Problem (generously interpreted): Discover the ultimate foundations of physics.

As predicted by Dirac, this quest has led to ever more sophisticated mathematics...

But getting there is more than half the fun: If a physical insight leads to an important new result in mathematics – that is considered a great success.

It is a success just as profound and notable as an experimental confirmation of a theoretical prediction.

1

What can $d=4, \mathcal{N}=2$ do for you?

2

Review: $d=4, \mathcal{N}=2$ field theory

3

Wall Crossing 101

4

Conclusion

Two Types Of Physical Problems

Type 1: Given a QFT find the spectrum of the Hamiltonian, and compute forces, scattering amplitudes, expectation values of operators

Algebraic & Quantum

Type 2: Find solutions of Einstein's equations, and solve Yang-Mills equations on those Einstein manifolds.

Geometrical & Classical

Exact Analytic Results

They are important

Where would we be without the harmonic oscillator?

Onsager's solution of the 2d Ising model
in zero magnetic field



Modern theory of phase transitions and RG.

QFT's with "extended supersymmetry" in spacetime dimensions ≤ 6 have led to many results answering questions of both type 1 & 2.

QFT's with "extended supersymmetry" in spacetime dimensions ≤ 6 have led to many results answering questions of both types 1 & 2.



Surprise: There can be very close relations between questions of types 1 & 2



We found ways of computing the exact (BPS) spectrum of many quantum Hamiltonians via solving Einstein and Yang-Mills-type equations.


Another surprise: In deriving exact results about $d=4$ QFT it turns out that interacting QFT in SIX spacetime dimensions plays a crucial role!

Cornucopia For Mathematicians

Provides a rich and deep
mathematical structure.

Gromov-Witten Theory, Homological Mirror

Symmetry, Knot Homology, stability conditions on derived categories, geometric Langlands program, Hitchin systems, integrable systems, construction of hyperkähler metrics and hyperholomorphic bundles, moduli spaces of flat connections on surfaces, cluster algebras, Teichmüller theory and holomorphic differentials, “higher Teichmüller theory,” symplectic duality, automorphic products and modular forms, quiver representation theory, Donaldson invariants & four-manifolds, motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants, geometric construction of affine Lie algebras, McKay correspondence,



The Importance Of BPS States

Much progress has been driven by trying to understand a portion of the spectrum of the Hamiltonian – the “BPS spectrum” –

BPS states are special quantum states in a supersymmetric theory for which we can compute the energy exactly.

So today we will just focus on the BPS spectrum in $d=4$, $\mathcal{N}=2$ field theory.

Added Motivation For BPS-ology

Counting BPS states is also crucial to the string-theoretic explanation of Beckenstein-Hawking black hole entropy in terms of microstates.
(Another story, for another time.)

+ ...

1 What can $d=4, \mathcal{N}=2$ do for you?

2 **Review: $d=4, \mathcal{N}=2$ field theory**

3 Wall Crossing 101

4 Conclusion

1 What can $d=4, \mathcal{N}=2$ do for you?

2 Review: $d=4, \mathcal{N}=2$ field theory

2A *Definition, Representations, Hamiltonians*

2B *The Vacuum And Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking*

2C *BPS States: Monopoles & Dyons*

2D *Seiberg-Witten Theory*

2E *Unfinished Business*

Definition Of $d=4, \mathcal{N}=2$ Field Theory

This is a special kind of four-dimensional quantum field theory with supersymmetry

Definition: A $d=4, \mathcal{N} = 2$ theory is a four-dimensional QFT such that the Hilbert space of states is a representation of

The $d=4, \mathcal{N}=2$ super-Poincare algebra !

OK.....

..... So what is the
 $d=4, \mathcal{N}=2$ super-Poincare algebra??

d=4, $\mathcal{N}=2$ Poincaré Superalgebra

(For mathematicians)

Super Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{s}^1$

$$\mathfrak{s}^0 = \text{poin}(1, 3) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(2)_R \oplus \mathbb{R}^2_{\text{central}}$$

$$\mathbb{R}^2_{\text{central}} \cong \mathbb{C}$$

Generator Z = “ $\mathcal{N}=2$ central charge”

$$\mathfrak{s}^1 = [(2; 2)_{+1} \oplus (2^*; 2)_{-1}]_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$$\text{Sym}^2 \mathfrak{s}^1 \rightarrow \text{transl} \oplus \mathbb{R}^2_{\text{central}} \subset \mathfrak{s}^0$$

d=4, $\mathcal{N}=2$ Poincaré Superalgebra

(For physicists)

$\mathcal{N}=1$ Supersymmetry:

There is a fermionic operator Q on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}

$$\{Q, Q^\dagger\} = 2H$$

$\mathcal{N}=2$ Supersymmetry:

There are two operators Q_1, Q_2 on the Hilbert space

$$\{Q_i, Q_j^\dagger\} = 2\delta_{i,j}H$$

$$\{Q_1, Q_2\} = 2Z$$

The Power Of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Supersymmetry

Representation theory:

Field and particle multiplets

Hamiltonians:

*Typically depend on very few parameters
for a given field content.*

BPS Spectrum:

Special subspace in the Hilbert space of states

Important Example Of An $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Theory

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric version of Yang-Mills Theory

Recall plain vanilla Yang-Mills Theory:

Recall Maxwell's theory of a vector-potential = gauge field: A_μ

In Maxwell's theory electric & magnetic fields are encoded in $F_{\mu\nu} := \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$


Yang-Mills theory also describes physics of a vector-potential = gauge field: A_μ

But now A_μ are MATRICES and the electric and magnetic fields are encoded in

$$F_{\mu\nu} := \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + [A_\mu, A_\nu]$$

$\mathcal{N}=2$ Super-Yang-Mills For $U(K)$

Gauge fields:



Doublet of gluinos:

Complex scalars
(Higgs fields):

All are $K \times K$ matrices

Gauge transformations: $\varphi \rightarrow g^{-1} \varphi g$

Hamiltonian Of $\mathcal{N}=2$ U(K) SYM

The Hamiltonian is completely determined, up to a choice of Yang-Mills coupling e_0^2

$$H = e_0^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \text{Tr} \left(\vec{E}^2 + \vec{B}^2 + |\vec{D}\varphi|^2 \right) + e_0^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \text{Tr} \left([\varphi, \varphi^\dagger]^2 \right)$$

Energy is a sum of squares.

Energy bounded below by zero.

1 What can $d=4, \mathcal{N}=2$ do for you?

2 Review: $d=4, \mathcal{N}=2$ field theory

2A *Definition, Representations, Hamiltonians* ↓

2B *The Vacuum And Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking*

2C *BPS States: Monopoles & Dyons*

2D *Seiberg-Witten Theory*

2E *Unfinished Business*

Classical Vacua

Classical Vacua: Zero energy field configurations.

$$H = e_0^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \text{Tr} \left(\vec{E}^2 + \vec{B}^2 + |\vec{D}\varphi|^2 \right) \\ + e_0^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \text{Tr} ([\varphi, \varphi^\dagger]^2)$$

$$\vec{E} = \vec{B} = 0 \quad \varphi = \textit{cnst.}$$

$$[\varphi, \varphi^\dagger] = 0 \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\varphi = \text{Diag}\{a_1, \dots, a_K\}$$

Any choice of a_1, \dots, a_K gives a vacuum!

Quantum Moduli Space of Vacua

The continuous vacuum degeneracy is an exact property of the quantum theory:

$$\langle \text{Vac} | \varphi | \text{Vac} \rangle = \text{Diag} \{ a_1, \dots, a_K \}$$

The quantum vacuum is not unique!

Manifold of quantum vacua \mathcal{B}

Parametrized by the complex numbers a_1, \dots, a_K

Gauge Invariant Vacuum Parameters

$$u_s := \langle \text{Vac}(u) | \text{Tr}(\varphi^s) | \text{Vac}(u) \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{B} := \{u := (u_1, \dots, u_K)\}$$

Physical properties depend on the choice of vacuum u in \mathcal{B} .

We will illustrate this by studying the properties of “dyonic particles” as a function of u .

Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking

$$\langle \text{Vac}(u) | \varphi | \text{Vac}(u) \rangle = \text{Diag}\{a_1, \dots, a_K\}$$

broken to:

$$U(K) \longrightarrow U(1)^K$$

(For mathematicians)

φ is in the adjoint of $U(K)$: Stabilizer of a
generic $\varphi \in \mathfrak{u}(K)$ is a Cartan torus

Physics At Low Energy Scales: LEET

Only one kind of light comes out of the flashlights from the hardware store....

Most physics experiments are described very accurately by using (quantum) Maxwell theory (QED). The gauge group is $U(1)$.


The true gauge group of electroweak forces is $SU(2) \times U(1)$

The Higgs vev sets a scale: $\langle \varphi \rangle = 246 \text{ GeV}$


The subgroup preserving $\langle \varphi \rangle$ is $U(1)$ of E&M.

At energies $\ll 246 \text{ GeV}$ we can describe physics using Maxwell's equations + small corrections:

$\mathcal{N}=2$ Low Energy $U(1)^K$ Gauge Theory



Low energy effective theory (LEET) is described by an $\mathcal{N}=2$ extension of Maxwell's theory with gauge group $U(1)^K$



K different "electric" and
 K different "magnetic" fields:

$$\vec{E}^I \quad \vec{B}^I \quad I = 1, \dots, K$$

& their $\mathcal{N}=2$ superpartners

1 What can $d=4, \mathcal{N}=2$ do for you?

2 Review: $d=4, \mathcal{N}=2$ field theory

2A *Definition, Representations, Hamiltonians* ↓

2B *The Vacuum And Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking* ↓

2C *BPS States: Monopoles & Dyons*

2D *Seiberg-Witten Theory*

2E *Unfinished Business*


Electro-magnetic Charges

The theory will also contain “dyonic particles” – particles with electric and magnetic charges for the fields $\vec{E}^I \quad \vec{B}^I \quad I = 1, \dots, K$

(Magnetic, Electric) Charges:

$$\gamma = (p^I, q_I)$$

Dirac
quantization: *On general principles, the vectors γ are in a symplectic lattice Γ .*



$$\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle = p_1^I q_{2,I} - p_2^I q_{1,I} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

BPS States: The Definition

Charge sectors: $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathcal{H}_\gamma$

In the sector \mathcal{H}_γ the operator
 \mathbb{Z} is just a c-number $Z_\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$

Bogomolny bound: In sector \mathcal{H}_γ

$$E \geq |Z_\gamma|$$

$$\mathcal{H}_\gamma^{\text{BPS}} := \{\psi : E\psi = |Z_\gamma|\psi\}$$

The Central Charge Function

The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ “central charge” Z_γ depends on γ :


$$Z_{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2} = Z_{\gamma_1} + Z_{\gamma_2}$$

This linear function is also a function of $u \in \mathcal{B}$:

$$\text{On } \mathcal{H}_\gamma^{\text{BPS}} \quad E = |Z_\gamma(u)|$$

So the mass of BPS particles depends on $u \in \mathcal{B}$.

Coulomb Force Between Dyons



$\vec{F}(u)$ is a nontrivial function of $u \in \mathcal{B}$

It can be computed from $Z_\gamma(u)$

Computing $Z_\gamma(u)$ allows us to
determine the entire LEET!

1 What can $d=4, \mathcal{N}=2$ do for you?

2 Review: $d=4, \mathcal{N}=2$ field theory

2A *Definition, Representations, Hamiltonians* ↓

2B *The Vacuum And Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking* ↓

2C *BPS States: Monopoles & Dyons* ↓

2D *Seiberg-Witten Theory*

2E *Unfinished Business*

So far, everything I've said
follows easily from
general principles

General $d=4$, $\mathcal{N}=2$ Theories

1. A moduli space \mathcal{B} of quantum vacua.
2. Low energy dynamics described by an effective $\mathcal{N}=2$ abelian gauge theory.
3. The Hilbert space is graded by a lattice of electric + magnetic charges, $\gamma \in \Gamma$.
4. There is a BPS subsector with masses given exactly by $|Z_\gamma(u)|$.

But how do we compute

$$Z_\gamma(u)$$

as a function of γ and u ?




Seiberg-Witten Paper

Seiberg & Witten (1994) found a way for the case of SU(2) SYM.



$Z_\gamma(u)$ can be computed in terms of the periods of a meromorphic differential form λ on a Riemann surface Σ both of which depend on u .




In more concrete terms: there is an integral formula like:

$$Z_\gamma(u) = \oint_{c(\gamma)} (1 + 2uz + z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} z^{-\frac{3}{2}} dz$$

$c(\gamma)$ is a closed curve...

Up to continuous deformation need only two basic curves – all other periods are integral linear combinations



In mathematical terms,

$$\gamma \rightarrow c(\gamma)$$

describes an isomorphism of the electromagnetic charge lattice Γ with the homology lattice $H_1(E_u; \mathbb{Z})$

1 What can $d=4, \mathcal{N}=2$ do for you?

2 Review: $d=4, \mathcal{N}=2$ field theory

2A *Definition, Representations, Hamiltonians* ↓

2B *The Vacuum And Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking* ↓

2C *BPS States: Monopoles & Dyons* ↓

2D *Seiberg-Witten Theory* ↓

2E *Unfinished Business*

The Promise of Seiberg-Witten Theory: 1/2

Seiberg & Witten found the exact LEET for the particular case: $G=\text{SU}(2)$ SYM.

They also gave cogent arguments for the exact BPS spectrum of this particular theory.

Their breakthrough raised the hope that for general $d=4$ $\mathcal{N}=2$ theories we could find many analogous exact results.

The Promise of Seiberg-Witten Theory: 2/2

U.B. 1: Compute $Z_\gamma(u)$ for other theories.


U.B. 2: Find the space of BPS states for other theories.

U.B. 3: Find exact results for path integrals – including insertions of “defects” such as “line operators,” “surface operators”,

U.B. 1: The LEET: Compute $Z_\gamma(u)$.

Extensive subsequent work quickly showed that the SW picture indeed generalizes to all known $d=4$, $\mathcal{N}=2$ field theories:

Many important contributions from faculty here: Shamit Kachru, Renata Kallosh, Steve Shenker, and Eva Silverstein.



$Z_\gamma(u)$ are periods of a meromorphic differential form on Σ_u

But, to this day, there is no general algorithm for computing Σ_u for a given $d=4$, $\mathcal{N}=2$ field theory.

But what about U.B. 2:
Find the BPS spectrum?

In the 1990's the BPS spectrum was only
determined in a handful of cases...

(SU(2) with ($\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric) quarks flavors: $N_f = 1,2,3,4$,
for special masses: Bilal & Ferrari)

Knowing the value of $Z_\gamma(u)$ does not tell us
whether there are, or are not, BPS particles of
charge γ . It does not tell us if \mathcal{H}_γ^{BPS} is zero
or not.

In the past 12 years there has been a great deal of progress in understanding the BPS spectra in a large class of other $\mathcal{N}=2$ theories.

One key step in this progress has been a much-improved understanding of the “*wall-crossing phenomenon*.”

- 1 What can $d=4, \mathcal{N}=2$ do for you?
- 2 Review: $d=4, \mathcal{N}=2$ field theory
- 3 Wall Crossing 101
- 4 Conclusion

Recall we want to compute the space of BPS states :

$$\mathcal{H}_\gamma^{\text{BPS}} = \{ \psi : E\psi = |Z_\gamma(u)|\psi \}$$

It is finite dimensional.

We would like to know the dimension.

A tiny change of couplings can raise the energy above the BPS bound:

The dimension can depend on u !



Atiyah & Singer To The Rescue



Family of vector spaces $\dim \mathcal{H}_u$ jumps with u

But there is an operator $\mathcal{F}^2 = 1$

$$I(u) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_u} \mathcal{F} = \dim(\mathcal{H}_u^+) - \dim(\mathcal{H}_u^-)$$

Much better behaved!

Much more computable!

Example: Index of elliptic operators.

BPS Index

For $\mathcal{H}_{u,\gamma}^{BPS}$ take $\mathcal{F} = (-1)^F$ (Witten index)

$$\Omega(\gamma) \sim \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{u,\gamma}^{BPS}} \mathcal{F}$$

Arguments from index theory prove:

$\Omega(\gamma)$ is *invariant* under change of parameters such as the choice of u ...

Index Of An Operator: 1/5

Suppose T_u is a family of linear operators depending continuously on parameters $u \in \mathcal{B}$

$$T_u : V \rightarrow W$$

A natural question is: What is the space of zero-modes $Ker(T_u)$ or $Ker(T_u^*)$ as function of u ?

So we form $\mathcal{H}_u = Ker(T_u) \oplus Ker(T_u^*)$ and we can let $\mathcal{F} = +1 \oplus -1$

Index Of An Operator – 2/5

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$I(u) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_u} \mathcal{F} = \dim(\ker(T_u)) - \dim(\ker(T_u^*))$$

If V and W are finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces then:

$$\dim(\ker T_u) - \dim(\ker T_u^\dagger) = \dim V - \dim W$$

independent of the parameter u !

Index Of An Operator: 3/5

Example: Suppose $V=W$ is one-dimensional.

$$T_u(\psi) = u\psi \quad \psi \in V \quad u \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$u \neq 0 \quad \dim(\ker T_u) = \dim(\ker T_u^\dagger) = 0$$

$$u = 0 \quad \dim(\ker T_u) = \dim(\ker T_u^\dagger) = 1$$

So if we take $\dim V = 3$ and $\dim W = 2$ and consider the index of

$$T_u = \begin{pmatrix} u & u & u^2 \\ \sin(u) & \sin(u) & \sin(u) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{Ind}(T_u) = 3 - 2 = 1$$

Index Of An Operator: 4/5

Now suppose T_u is a family of linear operators between two *infinite-dimensional* Hilbert spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\ker T_u) - \dim(\ker T_u^\dagger) &= \dim \mathcal{H}_1 - \dim \mathcal{H}_2 \\ &= \infty - \infty \end{aligned}$$

Still the LHS makes sense for suitable (Fredholm) operators and is *invariant* under *continuous* changes of (Fredholm) operators.

Index Of An Operator: 5/5

The BPS index $\Omega(\gamma)$ is the index of the supersymmetry operator Q on Hilbert space.

(In the weak-coupling limit it is literally the L^2 index of a Dirac operator on a moduli space magnetic monopole solutions to the Yang-Mills equations on \mathbb{R}^3 .)

The Wall-Crossing Phenomenon


But even the BPS

index $\Omega(\gamma)$ can

depend on u !!

How can that be ?

BPS particles can form
bound states which are
themselves BPS!



γ_1 



R_{12}



γ_2




Denef's Boundstate Radius Formula

$$R_{12}(u) = \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle \frac{|Z_{\gamma_1}(u) + Z_{\gamma_2}(u)|}{2\text{Im}(Z_{\gamma_1}(u)Z_{\gamma_2}(u)^*)}$$

The Z_γ 's are functions of the moduli $u \in \mathcal{B}$

So the moduli space of vacua \mathcal{B}
is divided into two regions:

$$R_{12}(u) > 0 \quad \underline{\text{OR}} \quad R_{12}(u) < 0$$




$$R_{12} > 0$$

$$R_{12} < 0$$

Wall of Marginal Stability

Consider a path of vacua crossing the wall:



$$R_{12} = \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle \frac{|Z_1 + Z_2|}{2\text{Im}(Z_1 Z_2^*)}$$

Crossing the wall: $\text{Im}(Z_1 Z_2^*) \rightarrow 0$



The Primitive Wall-Crossing Formula

(Denef & Moore, 2007)

$$R_{12} = \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle \frac{|Z_1 + Z_2|}{2\text{Im}(Z_1 Z_2^*)}$$

Crossing the wall: $\text{Im}(Z_1 Z_2^*) \rightarrow 0$




$$\Delta \mathcal{H}^{BPS} = \mathcal{H}_{J_{12}}^{\text{spin}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\gamma_1}^{BPS} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\gamma_2}^{BPS}$$

$$2J_{12} + 1 = |\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle|$$

Non-Primitive Bound States

But this is not the full story, since the same marginal stability wall holds for charges $N_1\gamma_1$ and $N_2\gamma_2$ for $N_1, N_2 > 1$.

The primitive wall-crossing formula assumes the charge vectors γ_1 and γ_2 are primitive vectors.





Kontsevich-Soibelman WCF



In 2008 K & S wrote a wall-crossing formula for Donaldson-Thomas invariants of Calabi-Yau manifolds..

But their formula could in principle apply to "BPS indices" of general boundstates in more general situations.

We needed a physics argument for why their formula should apply to $d=4$, $\mathcal{N}=2$ field theories, in particular.



We gave a physics derivation of the KSWCF

A key step used explicit constructions of hyperkahler metrics on moduli spaces of solutions to Hitchin's equations.

Hyperkahler metrics are solutions to Einstein's equations.

Hitchin's equations are special cases of Yang-Mills equations.

So Physics Questions of Type 1 and Type 2
become closely related here.

Algebraic & Quantum

Geometrical & Classical

Proof of the KSWCF: Consequences

The explicit construction made use of techniques from the theory of integrable systems, in particular, a form of Zamolodchikov's Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz

The explicit construction of HK metrics also made direct contact with the work of Fock & Goncharov on moduli spaces of flat connections on Riemann surfaces. ("Higher Teichmüller theory")

Important further developments of this theory have been made recently here at Stanford by Laura Fredrickson, Shamit Kachru, and Rafe Mazzeo

Wall-Crossing: Only half the battle...

The wall crossing formula only describes the CHANGE of the BPS spectrum across a wall of marginal stability.


It does NOT determine the BPS spectrum!

Nevertheless, we found a solution of this problem for an infinite class of $d=4$ $\mathcal{N}=2$ theories known as:

“Theories of class S”

Theories Of Class S

Superconformal
6d theory





$d=4$ $N=2$
theory

Witten, 1997
GMN, 2009
Gaiotto, 2009

Type II duals via
“geometric engineering”
KLMVW 1996

Captures most of the theories normally considered, and many many more.

Spectral Networks



The combinatorics of how these graphs jump can be used to determine the BPS degeneracies $\Omega(\gamma; u)$

- 1 What can $d=4, \mathcal{N}=2$ do for you?
- 2 Review: $d=4, \mathcal{N}=2$ field theory
- 3 Wall Crossing 101
- 4 Conclusion

Conclusion For Physicists

Seiberg and Witten's breakthrough in 1994, opened up many interesting problems. Some were quickly solved, but some remained stubbornly open.

But the past ten years has witnessed a renaissance of the subject, with a much deeper understanding of the BPS spectrum and the line and surface defects in these theories.

Conclusion For Mathematicians

This progress has involved nontrivial and surprising connections to other aspects of Physical Mathematics:

Hyperkähler geometry, cluster algebras, moduli spaces of flat connections, Hitchin systems, integrable systems, Teichmüller theory, ...



- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14

S-Duality and the modular groupoid
 AGT:
 Liouville & Toda theory

- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21

Higgs_branches
 Cluster algebras
 Holographic duals
 N=4 scattering

Ω -backgrounds,
 Nekrasov partition functions, Pestun localization.
 $Z(S^3 \times S^1)$
 ScfmI indx

- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35

Nekrasov-Shatashvili:
 Quantum
 Integrable systems
 Three dimensions, Chern-Simons, and mirror symmetry



NOT

That's all Folks!