682A. Exercises 3. Due April 22nd

1. Generalize the Cooper pair calculation to higher angular momenta. Consider an interaction that has an attractive component in a higher angular momentum channel, such as

$$N(0)V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} = \begin{cases} -g_l(2l+1)P_l(\hat{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{k}}'), & (|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{k}}|,|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{k}}'| < \omega_0), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(1)

where you may assume *l* is even.

(a) By decomposing the Legendre Polynomial in terms of spherical harmonics, $(2l+1)P_l(\hat{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\mathbf{k}}') = 4\pi \sum_m Y_{lm}(\mathbf{k})Y_{lm}^*(\hat{\mathbf{k}})$, show that this interaction gives rise to bound Cooper pairs with a finite angular momentum, given by

$$|\psi_P\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \phi_{\mathbf{k}m} Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) c^{\dagger}{}_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} c^{\dagger}{}_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow}|0\rangle$$

with a bound-state energy given by

$$E = -2\omega_0 \exp\left[-\frac{2}{g_l N(0)}\right]$$

(b) A general interaction will have several harmonics:

$$V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{l} g_{l}(2l+1)P_{l}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{k}}'),$$

not all of them attractive. In which channel (s) will the pairs tend to condense?

- (c) Why can't you use this derivation for the case when l is odd?
- 2. Explicit calculation of the Free energy.
 - (a) Assuming that the Debye frequency is a small fraction of the band-width, show that the difference between the superconducting and normal state Free energy can be written as the integral

$$\mathcal{F}_{S} - \mathcal{F}_{N} = -2TN(0) \int_{-\omega_{D}}^{\omega_{D}} d\epsilon \ln\left[\frac{\cosh\left(\frac{\sqrt{\epsilon^{2} + |\Delta|^{2}}}{2T}\right)}{\cosh\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2T}\right)}\right] + V\frac{|\Delta|^{2}}{g_{0}}$$

Why is this free energy invariant under changes in the phase of the gap parameter $\Delta \rightarrow \Delta e^{i\phi}$?

(b) By differentiating the above expression with respect to Δ , confirm the zero temperature gap equation,

$$\frac{V}{gN(0)} = \int_0^{\omega_D} \frac{d\epsilon}{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + \Delta_0^2}},$$

where $\Delta_0 = \Delta(T = 0)$ = is the zero temperature gap and use this result to eliminate g_0 , to show that the free energy can be written

$$\mathcal{F}_{S} - \mathcal{F}_{N} = N(0)\Delta_{0}^{2} \Phi\left[\frac{\Delta}{\Delta_{0}}, \frac{T}{\Delta_{0}}\right]$$

where the dimensionless function

$$\Phi(\delta,t) = \int_0^\infty dx \left\{ -4t \ln\left[\frac{\cosh\left(\frac{\sqrt{x^2 + \delta^2}}{2t}\right)}{\cosh\left(\frac{x}{2t}\right)}\right] + \frac{\delta^2}{\sqrt{x^2 + 1}} \right\}.$$

Here, the limit of integration have been moved to infinity. Why can we do this without loss of accuracy?

- (c) Use Mathematica or Maple to plot the Free energy obtained from the above result, confirming that the minimum is at $\Delta/\Delta_0 = 1$ and the transition occurs at $T_c = 2\Delta_0/3.53$.
- 3. The standard two-component Nambu spinor approach does not allow a rotationally invariant treatment of the electron spin and the Zeeman coupling of fermions to a magnetic field. This drawback can be overcome by switching to a four-component "Balian Werthammer" spinor, denoted by

$$\psi_{\mathbf{k}} = \begin{pmatrix} c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}} \\ -i\sigma_{2}(c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}})^{T} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} \\ -c_{\mathbf{k}\downarrow} \\ c^{\dagger}_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow} \\ c^{\dagger}_{-\mathbf{k}\uparrow} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(2)

(a) Show using this notation that the total electron spin can be written

$$\vec{S} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \psi^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}} \vec{\sigma}_{(4)} \psi_{\mathbf{k}}$$
(3)

where

$$\vec{\sigma}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma} & 0\\ 0 & \vec{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4}$$

is the four component Pauli matrix. (You may find it useful to use the relationship $\vec{\sigma}^T = i\sigma_2 \vec{\sigma} i\sigma_2$). In practical usage, the subscript "4" is normally dropped.

(b) Show that in a Zeeman field, the BCS Hamiltonian

$$H_{MFT} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} c^{\dagger}{}_{\mathbf{k}\alpha} [\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \delta_{\alpha\beta} - \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} \cdot \vec{B}] c_{\mathbf{k}\beta} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left[\bar{\Delta} c_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow} c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} + c^{\dagger}{}_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} c^{\dagger}{}_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow} \Delta \right] + \frac{V}{g_0} \bar{\Delta} \Delta$$
(5)

can be re-written using Balian Werthammer spinors in the compact form

$$H_{MFT} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \psi^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}} \left[\underline{h}_{\mathbf{k}} - \vec{\sigma}_4 \cdot \vec{B} \right] \psi_{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{V}{g_0} \bar{\Delta} \Delta$$
(6)

where $\underline{h}_{\mathbf{k}} = \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}\tau_1 + \Delta_1\tau_1 + \Delta_2\tau_2$ as before, but the $\vec{\tau}$ now refer to the four-dimensional Nambu matrices

$$\vec{\tau} = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \underline{1} \\ \underline{1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i\underline{1} \\ i\underline{1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \underline{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\underline{1} \end{bmatrix} \right). \tag{7}$$

- (c) Show that the quasiparticle energies in a field are given by $\pm E_k \sigma B$.
- (d) Suppose that the magnetic field only coupled to the spin of the electron, not to its current. What would the "Pauli-limited" upper critical field of the superconductor be? (i.e the field at which the gap would go to zero)
- 4. The Nambu Green's function for He-3B is given by

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = [i\omega_n - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}\tau_3 - \Delta \hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \vec{\sigma}\tau_1]^{-1}$$
(8)

- (a) Explain how you would calculate the superfluid stiffness for this neutral superfluid? In a charged superconductor, one can calculate such a stiffness by introducing an external vector potential. However, At first sight, there are no physical gauge fields that couple to the current in a neutral superfluid. Is this a problem for your calculation?
- (b) Carry out your calculation and write down an equation that generalizes the superfluid stiffness of a BCS singlet state to the B-phase of He-3B.
- (c) In superfluid Helium-3, if you twist the phase of the order parameter, you induce a mass superflow. What happens if you twist the direction (in spin space) of the superfluid order parameter?